Preparation of partially $^2\text{H}/^{13}\text{C}$-labelled RNA for NMR studies. Stereo-specific deuteration of the $\text{H}^{5''}$ in nucleotides
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ABSTRACT

An effective in vitro enzymatic synthesis is described for the production of nucleoside triphosphates (NTPs) which are stereo-specifically deuterated on the H5'' position with high selectivity (>98%), and which can have a variety of different labels ($^{13}\text{C},^{15}\text{N},^2\text{H}$) in other positions. The NTPs can subsequently be employed in the enzymatic synthesis of RNAs using T7 polymerase from a DNA template. The stereo-specific deuteration of the H5'' immediately provides the stereo-specific assignment of H5' resonances in NMR spectra, giving access to important structural parameters. Stereoc-chemical H-exchange was used to convert commercially available 1,2,3,4,5,6-$^2\text{H}$-1,2,3,4,5,6-$^{13}\text{C}$-d-glucose (d$_5^{-}$^{13}C$_5$-d-glucose) into [1,2,3,4,5,6(R)-$^2\text{H}$-1,2,3,4,5,6-$^{13}\text{C}$]-d-glucose (d$_6^{-}$^{13}C$_6$-d-glucose). [1',3',4',5',6'-$^2\text{H}$-1',2',3',4',5',6'-$^{13}\text{C}$]-GTP (d$_5^{-}$^{13}C$_5$-GTP) was then produced from d$_4^{-}$^{13}C$_4$-d-glucose and guanine base via in vitro enzymatic synthesis employing enzymes from the pentose-phosphate, nucleotide biosynthesis and salvage pathways. The overall yield was ∼60 mg NTP per 1 g glucose, comparable with the yield of NTPs isolated from Escherichia coli grown on enriched media. The d$_5^{-}$^{13}C$_5$-GTP, together with in vitro synthesised d$_5$-UTP, d$_5$-CTP and non-labelled ATP, were used in the synthesis of a 31 nucleotide RNA derived from the primer binding site of hepatitis B virus genomic RNA. ($^{13}\text{C},^\text{H}$) hetero-nuclear multiple-quantum spectra of the specifically deuterated sample and of a non-deuterated uniformly $^{13}\text{C}$/^{15}\text{N}-labelled sample demonstrates the reduced spectral crowding and line width narrowing compared with $^{13}\text{C}$-labelled non-deuterated RNA.

INTRODUCTION

Structure determination by NMR of RNA molecules is intrinsically difficult because of the strong resonance overlap. This overlap is most severe in the H2' to H5'/H5'' region of 1D and 2D $^1\text{H}$-NMR spectra, but is also evident in hetero-nuclear NMR correlation spectra, since many $^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}/^{1}\text{H}$ resonances group in rather narrow regions. Thanks to uniform $^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$ labelling, at present a size limit of structure determination by NMR of RNA molecules is reached of ~50 nt (1). For larger RNA molecules, resonance overlap will further increase, compounded by the increased line broadening, which in turn will reduce the efficiency of hetero-nuclear NMR experiments (2). As a result, assignment of many proton resonances becomes more and more ambiguous. It may then become difficult, if not impossible, to derive a sufficient number of accurate distances from Nuclear Overhauser Effect (NOE) data to calculate a reliable NMR structure. To extend this size limit spectral simplification is necessary.

The special nature of the resonance overlap in RNAs, i.e. grouping of resonances in particular spectral regions (2,3), suggests that selective labelling methods are needed, rather than uniform labelling. It has been suggested on theoretical grounds that via a combination of selective deuteration and selective $^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$ labelling, it should be possible to study RNAs with a size well over 100 nt (2,3). Substitution of hydrogens for deuterium both removes resonances from NMR spectra and reduces dipole–dipole induced relaxation, resulting in spectral simplification and improved efficiency of hetero-nuclear NMR experiments. It has been shown that deuteration of DNA and RNA oligonucleotides decreases the transverse relaxation rates of the remaining protons and results in decreased line widths and improves spectral resolution of those proton resonances (4–8).

Synthesis of specifically (deuterium) labelled RNA has been carried out successfully using phosphoramidite chemistry (9–11). This chemical synthesis has the advantage that non-uniform labelling schemes can easily be applied. However, in general, large isotopically labelled RNA oligonucleotides are prepared using labelled nucleoside triphosphates (NTPs) transcribed by T7 RNA polymerase directed by a DNA template (12–14). Isolation of the required NTPs from Escherichia coli grown on minimal medium composed of 90% $^3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and sodium deuterioacetate, leads to uniform $^\text{H}$ labelling of ~90% (4,5). The H5 and H8 protons can then be reintroduced via chemical exchange. The disadvantage of this biosynthetic approach is that sugar protons cannot be reintroduced.
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A versatile alternative route towards selective labelling of larger RNAs is the in vitro synthesis of NTPs using enzymes from the pentose-phosphate, nucleotide biosynthesis and salvage pathways, originally proposed by Tolbert and co-workers (6,15,16). With this method specifically deuterated NTPs for labelled RNA can be synthesised in high yield from glucose. This synthetic scheme provides many different labelling patterns useful for RNA NMR studies by the combination of a variety of commercially available isotopically labelled variants of glucose with distinctly isotope labelled bases. In addition, this method provides a choice between fully deuterated and selectively deuterated ribose moieties. For example, protonation of both C1' and C2' (3,6) or only C2' (17) have been reported. For such RNAs, the H3', H4', H5' and H5'' resonances are removed from the crowded H2'-H5'/H5'' spectral region, which takes away the overlap of the (H2',C2') and (H3',C3') cross-peaks in (1H,13C) correlation experiments. The H2' then become more easily assigned and the important sequential H2'-H6'-H8' NOE contacts become accessible. The sugar-pucker can still be determined, e.g. from J_HH,H_E-couplings or via long-range J_HH,E-couplings (18,19). In addition, removal of the H4' takes away the dipolar interaction between H4' and C4', so that coherence transfer from C4' to H5'' becomes more efficient (2,17) and, thus, determination of the J_CEP and J_CTP-couplings more accurate. The J_CEP-coupling together with J_CTP- and/or J_HH,E-couplings unambiguously establish the β-torsion angle (2). The J_CEP-coupling unambiguously establishes the β-torsion angle when it is trans; otherwise either the J_HH,E- or J_HH,U-coupling is needed in addition (2).

Thus, although this in vitro synthesis method as described above is quite versatile, a drawback is that both H5' and H5'' are also removed. The H5' (and H5'') spins provide important structural parameters. Information from J-coupling involving either H5' or H5'' spins is required to determine the γ-torsion angle; for example, the J_HH,U-coupling directly provides information on the γ-torsion angle (2,18). Also, as pointed out above, either H5' or H5'' is needed to unambiguously determine the β-torsion angle, e.g. together the J_CEP and J_HH,E-couplings unambiguously determine the β-torsion angle (2). In addition, the H5''-H2' intra-sugar NOE contacts can provide information on sugar pucker, while intra-residue H5'-H6'-H8' NOEs give information on the γ-torsion angle, and most importantly H5''-H2' sequential sugar NOE contacts strongly constrain the backbone (2). Note that in each of these examples it is assumed that the H5' and H5'' resonances could be stereo-specifically assigned.

To resolve this drawback, we have devised a synthetic method, which stereo-specifically reintroduces the H5'. This new approach is still based on the in vitro synthesis of NTPs via the pentose-phosphate and nucleotide biosynthesis and salvage pathways pathway, but employs a stereo-specifically labelled glucose as the starting product. This makes it possible to stereo-specifically deuterate the C5' on the H5'' position with a very high degree of selectivity. Here, we describe and demonstrate this isotope enrichment method. To illustrate the method, a 31 nt RNA molecule corresponding to the primer binding site (PBS; Fig. 1) of the pre-genomic RNA from hepatitis B virus (HBV) was synthesised with 13C and deuterium labels in the ribose ring at specific positions. Guanosine triphosphate (GTP) was synthesised with 13C labels in the ribose ring, deuterium labels at the 1', 3', 4' and 5' positions and protons at the 2' and 5' positions, and incorporated into the PBS; cytidine triphosphate (CTP) and uridine triphosphate (UTP) were prepared with deuterium labels on the 1', 3', 4', 5' and 5'' positions and a proton at the 2' position in the sugar moiety, and also incorporated into the PBS.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Chemicals were purchased from Sigma (Tyreso, Sweden). [1,2,3,4,5,6,6-2H7-1,2,3,4,5,6-13C6]-D-glucose and [1,2,3,4,5,6,6-2H7-1,2,3,4,5,6-13C6]-D-glucose were purchased from Martek Corporation (Columbia, MD). The sodium salt of 3-phosphoglycerate was prepared from the barium salt of 3-phosphoglycerate by exchanging the barium for sodium with AGW50-X8 strong cation-exchanger, hydrogen form (200–400 mesh) obtained from BioRad (Sundbyberg, Sweden) (20). All enzymes were purchased from Sigma Chemical except for T7 polymerase (21), phosphoribosylpyro-phosphate synthetase (PRPP synthetase) (22,23), adenine phosphoribosyltransferase (24), CTP synthetase (25,26), xanthine–guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (27,28) and uracil phosphoribosyltransferase (29,30), which were purified from over-expressing strains using a general purification scheme as described previously (6,15).

**Synthesis of (6S)-[1,2,3,4,5,6-H]-1,2,3,4,5,6-[13C]-D-glucose by stereo-specific hydrogen exchange (9) (Fig. 2)**

In view of the cost of 1,2,3,4,5,6-2H1,2,3,4,5,6-[13C]-D-glucose (1), the synthetic sequence was first carried out with unlabelled D-glucose. This provided reference material for the synthesis with labelled material. Due to the extensive 1H and 13C labelling, routine 1H and 13C NMR experiments could not be used to fully characterise the products obtained from 1. Therefore, reactions with labelled material were monitored by TLC using unlabelled reference material, and labelled products were characterised by high resolution mass spectrometry.

**1,2,3,4,5,6-H]-1,2,3,4,5,6-[13C]-1,2,3,4,6-penta-O-acetyl-D-glucopyranose**

A suspension of labelled D-glucopyranose (1, 4.00 g, 20.7 mmol) in a mixture of acetic anhydride (32 ml) and pyridine (36 ml) was stirred for 3 h. The solution was then concentrated and the
Residue was co-evaporated twice with toluene. The residue was dissolved in CHCl₃ and washed with three times with H₂SO₄ (aq., sat.), water, NaHCO₃ (aq., sat.) and brine, and then dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in CHCl₃ a n d w a s h e d t h r e e t i m e s w i t h H₂SO₄ (3%, aq.), water, NaHCO₃ (aq., sat.) and brine, and then dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated. The oily crude product was dissolved in CHCl₃ and refluxing under high-intensity UV light for 10 h the mixture was diluted with CHCl₃ (20 ml), washed with sodium bisulfite (aq., 10%), NaHCO₃ (aq., sat.) and brine, and then dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, heptane:ethyl acetate 7:3) gave 5 (2.23 g, 4.63 mmol, 40%) and perbenzoylated methyl D-glucoside (4.18 g, 6.70 mmol, 60%). Compound 5 had R f 0.15 (SiO₂, heptane:ethyl acetate 4:1). FAB MS: calculated 510.1846 [M + Na]⁺, found 510.1853.

(6S)-1,2,3,4,5,6-6H-1,2,3,4,5,6-13C-1,6-anhydro-2,3,4-tri-O-benzoyl-6-bromo-D-glucopyranose (6)

Compound 5 (2.10 g, 4.31 mmol) was dissolved in hot CCl₄ (50 ml), and Br₂ (2.70 g, 16.9 mmol) was added. After refluxing under high-intensity UV light for 10 h the mixture was diluted with CHCl₃ (20 ml), washed with sodium bisulfite (aq., 10%), NaHCO₃ (aq., sat.) and brine, and then dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated. Fast atom bombardment mass spectroscopy (FAB MS): calculated 587.0889 [M + Na]⁺, found 587.0895.

Pentachlorophenol 1,2,3,4,5,6,6-6H-1,2,3,4,5,6-13C-2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (4)

HBr (33%) in acetic acid (30 ml) was added to a suspension of 2 (8.00 g, 19.8 mmol) in a mixture of acetic acid and acetic anhydride (2:1, 18 ml) at 0°C during 20 min. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature (rt) for 90 min and was then partitioned between CHCl₃ and water. The organic phase was washed three times with NaHCO₃ (aq., sat.) and brine, and then dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated. Yielding crude 3. Sodium (0.50 g, 22 mmol) and pentachlorophenol (5.82 g, 21.9 mmol) were added to EtOH (100 ml). After stirring for 1 h the solution was concentrated and the residue was dissolved in acetonitrile (40 ml). Crude bromide 3 was added to this solution and heated at reflux for 5 h. After cooling to ambient temperature and filtration through celite, the solvent was removed and the residue was dissolved in CHCl₃. The resulting solution was washed with water, dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated. Recrystallisation from EtOH (95%) gave 4 (7.01 g, 11.5 mmol, 58%). R f 0.51 (SiO₂, heptane:ethyl acetate 4:1). FAB MS: calculated 629.9959 [M + Na]⁺, found 629.9963.

1,2,3,4,5,6,6-6H-1,2,3,4,5,6-13C-1,6-anhydro-2,3,4-tri-O-benzoyl-D-glucopyranose (5)

A mixture of 4 (7.00 g, 11.5 mmol) and Amberlite IRA 400(OH) (20 ml) was stirred at 55°C for 2 h, then filtered and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in pyridine (145 ml) and benzoyl chloride (10.0 g, 71.5 mmol) was added, after which the solution was heated at 65–70°C for 7 h. After concentration, the oily crude product was dissolved in CHCl₃ and washed three times with H₂SO₄ (3%, aq.), water, NaHCO₃ (aq., sat.) and brine, and then dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, heptane:ethyl acetate 4:1 → 3:1) gave 5 (2.23 g, 4.63 mmol, 40%) and perbenzoylated methyl D-glucoside (4.18 g, 6.70 mmol, 58%). Compound 5 had R f 0.15 (SiO₂, heptane:ethyl acetate 4:1). FAB MS: calculated 510.1846 [M + Na]⁺, found 510.1853.

(6R)-1,2,3,4,5,6-6H-1,2,3,4,5,6-13C-1,6-anhydro-2,3,4-tri-O-benzoyl-6-bromo-D-glucopyranose (7)

Compound 6 (1.91 g, 3.38 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (60 ml) after which AIBN (5 mg) and Bu₃SnH (1.47 g, 5.07 mmol) were added. After heating at reflux for 40 min, the solvent was concentrated and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, heptane:ethyl acetate 7:3 → CHCl₃:MeOH 10:1), yielding 7 (1.45 g, 2.98 mmol, 88%). R f 0.15 (SiO₂, heptane:ethyl acetate 4:1). FAB MS: calculated 509.1784 [M + Na]⁺, found 509.1783.

(6R)-1,2,3,4,5,6-6H-1,2,3,4,5,6-13C-1,2,3,4,6-penta-O-acetyl-D-glucopyranose (8)

A suspension of 7 (1.43 g, 2.94 mmol) in MeOH (35 ml) and methanolic NaOMe (2 M, 0.1 ml) was heated at reflux for 1 h. The solution was then cooled and neutralised with Amberlite IR120(H). The solvent was evaporated and the residue was dissolved in water, washed with toluene, treated with charcoal (1.2 g) and filtered through celite. The solvents were evaporated again and the residue was dissolved in a mixture of acetic anhydride (12 ml) and H₂SO₄ (0.2 ml) pre-cooled to 0°C. Stirring was continued for 3 h at this temperature and then H₂O (70 ml) was added. The solution was extracted twice with CHCl₃ and the combined organic phases were washed three times with NaHCO₃ (aq., sat.) and then with brine. Concentration gave 8 (711 mg, 1.77 mmol, 60%), which was used directly in the next step. Compound 8 had R f 0.29 (SiO₂, heptane:ethyl acetate 1:1). FAB MS: calculated 425.1632 [M + Na]⁺, found 425.1638.

(6S)-1H-(6R)-1,2,3,4,5,6-6H-1,2,3,4,5,6-13C-D-glucose (9)

Compound 8 (691 mg, 1.72 mmol) was suspended in MeOH (40 ml) and methanolic NaOMe (2 M, 0.1 ml) was added. After stirring for 1 h, the solution was neutralised with Amberlite IR120(H), filtered and concentrated. The oily residue was...
crystallised from EtOH (95%) to give 9 (314 mg, 1.63 mmol, 95%). Rf, 0.13 (SiO2, CH2Cl2:methanol 4:1). FAB MS: calculated 215.1103 [M + Na]⁺, found 215.1113.

Nucleotide synthesis

Enzymatic reactions were modified from Tolbert and co-workers (16). A brief, though complete, description is given below. All reactions were monitored by HPLC: Vydac 302IC4.6 column, detection at 260 nm. Mobile phase: A = NaH2PO4/Na2HPO4 (1:1 molar ratio) 25 mM in water, adjusted to pH 2.8 with acetic acid; B = NaH2PO4/Na2HPO4 (1:1 molar ratio) 125 mM in water, adjusted to pH 2.9 with acetic acid. Gradient: 0% B for 2 min, then linear form 0–100% B in 17 min, hold 100% for 2 min and return to 0% B in 0.1 min. Potassium phosphate buffer (50 mM) was used in reactions containing PRPP synthetase because the enzyme is inactivated in solutions with low phosphate concentration. The pH of the reactions was monitored periodically to maintain the pH between 7.0 and 7.9. Carbencillin (100 µg/ml) was added to prevent bacterial growth in the reactions. NTPs from the enzymatic reactions were purified by using boranate affinity chromatography on affigel 601 (BioRad) to remove the majority of salts and proteins in order to prepare the nucleotides for transcription (12,20).

Preparation of [1,3′,4′,5′,6-2H5]GTP (d5-GTP)

Sodium 3-phosphoglycerate (4.5 mmol), guanine (0.75 mmol, 120 mg), α-ketoglutaric acid (3.0 mmol, 435 mg) and NaCl (4 mmol, 286 mg) were placed into a round bottom flask. This was dissolved in 60 ml of 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.5, with 10 mM MgCl2 and 20 mM dithiothreitol (DTT). The pH was brought to 7.5 with 1 M NaOH. ATP (33 µmol, 18 mg), NADP⁺ (10 µmol, 3 mg) and [1,2,3,4,5,6-2H6]-2H1,2,3,4,5,6-13C6 glucose (0.46 mmol, 90 mg) were added to the mixture. The reaction was started by adding 150 U phosphoglyceratemutase, 36 U myokinase, 36 U L-glutamic dehydrogenase, 45 U phosphoglyceratemutase, 36 U enolase, 60 U pyruvate kinase, 3.75 U uracil phosphoribosyl transferase and 2 U nucleoside monophosphate kinase. At day 3, 5 and 7, 1.5 mmol sodium-3-phosphoglycerate, 10 µmol ATP, 50 U phosphoglycerate mutase, 25 U enolase, 25 U myokinase and 25 U pyruvate kinase were added, and after 11 days the reaction was frozen to stop it and purified by boranate chromatography. The yield was 85%, ε260 = 10 000 cm⁻1 mol⁻1.

Preparation of [1,3′,4′,5′,6-2H5]CTP (d5-CTP) from d5-U TP

d5-UTP (0.1 mmol), 10 mmol NH4Cl and 0.50 mmol sodium-3-phosphoglycerate in 200 ml of a solution containing 5 mM MgCl2 and 1 mM DTT pH 7.5 were placed into a 500 ml three neck flask. To start the reaction 100 U phosphoglycerate mutase, 50 U enolase, 50 U pyruvate kinase, 50 U myokinase, 3 U CTP synthetase and 50 µmol ATP were added. The reaction was monitored with HPLC. The reaction was stopped after 48 h and purified by boranate chromatography. The yield of the reaction was 90% as determined by UV absorbance. CTP was quantified by absorbance at 259 and 280 nm: ATP ε259 = 15 400, ε260 = 1911, CTP ε259 = 7204, ε260 = 6905.

In vitro transcription

PBS RNA (5′-GGAGGUCUUCGUUCGGCAUUUUCAC-CUCC-3′) was synthesised by in vitro transcription (28–30) with T7 RNA polymerase (16) using unlabelled NTPs from Pharmacia (Stockholm, Sweden), uniform 13C/15N-labelled NTPs from Silantes (Munchen, Germany) and the different isotopically labelled NTPs produced in this paper. Transcription conditions in general were 40 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 5 mM DTT, 1 mM spermidine, 400 mM DNA template, 5 mM GMP, 0.01% Triton X-100, 80 mg/ml PEG 8000, 0.1 mg/ml T7 RNA polymerase. Uniform 13C/15N-labelled transcription had 1 mM 13C/15N-NTPs each and 30 mM MgCl2. Deuterated transcription had 2 mM 2H-NTPs and 25 mM MgCl2. The RNA was purified by 20% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, 8 M urea, electro-eluted, ethanol precipitated, desalted and dissolved in 100 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 6.2. The final concentration of the uniform 13C/15N-labelled sample was 0.3 mM and of the deuterated sample was 0.03 mM.

NMR experiments

NMR data were collected on a Bruker DRX 600 spectrometer with a Bruker z-gradient TXI cryo probe at a temperature of 296 K. Two-dimensional heteronuclear multiple-quantum (2D HMOC) spectra were acquired with a spectral width of 9057 Hz in the proton dimension and 3396 Hz in the carbon dimension. The spectra were recorded with 512 complex data points in the t2 dimension (proton) and 256 points in the t1 dimension (carbon). The spectra of the uniformly labelled sample were recorded in 90% H2O/10% D2O with 16 scans per t1 increment, and of the deuterated sample in 100% D2O with 192 scans per t1 increment.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Preparation of specifically deuterated NTPs

The synthesis of the specifically deuterated NTPs is similar to previously published procedures (16,17). Glucose is converted to ribose-5-phosphate and subsequently attached to a base in a single coupled enzymatic reaction.
Specific labelling at the C5′ position was achieved by stereospecific hydrogen exchange of the D6(S) to a proton in perdeuterated glucose. The 6(S) proton of glucose becomes the H5′ of ribose during the enzymatic NTP synthesis. Exchange of D6(S) in perdeuterated uniformly 13C-labelled glucose (d6-13C-glucose) to a proton yields 6(S)-H-1,2,3,4,5,6(R)-H-13C6-D-glucose (d6-13C-glucose) (9). Synthesis of 9 was performed essentially as described for (6R)-6-2H-D-glucose of D6(S) in perdeuterated uniformly 13C-labelled glucose. The 6(S) proton of glucose becomes the specific hydrogen exchange of the D6(S) to a proton in β-D-glucose. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A procedure different from per-acetylated glucose 2, was substituted with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32). When unlabelled glucose 4 was treated with sodium pentachlorophenoxide to give 4. Treatment of 4 with methanolic sodium methoxide afforded 1,6-anhydro glucose 5, but was also accompanied by formation of substantial amounts (32%) of methyl β-D-glucoside. A different procedure was therefore investigated in an attempt to avoid this problem (32).
Figure 4. 1D traces in H direction through the G16 H1′–C1′ cross-peak (at 6.0/92.2 p.p.m.) in the HMQC spectrum of Figure 3, showing the acquired line narrowing upon deuteration. The G16 H1′–C1′ resonance has half-height-line widths of 25 Hz (top) and 18 Hz (bottom) in the non-deuterated (indicated as doubly labeled) and deuterated samples, respectively.

Indeed present in Figure 3A. The absence of this peak in Figure 3B confirms that the deuteration scheme functioned, i.e. no 3′ protons on the G residues.

Most importantly, the individual H5′ can easily be distinguished in the G-labelled sample (Fig. 3D), whereas they still overlap with the H5″ protons in the spectrum of the uniformly labelled sample (Fig. 3C). As for the H2′ they can be assigned via chemical shift comparison.

The labelling is stereo-selective to a very high degree, i.e. no H5″ could be detected in the spectra. We estimate from the noise level in the HMQC spectrum compared to the H5′ peak heights that the stereo-selectivity is better than 98%. This is important, because in this way stereo-specific assignment of H5′ versus H5″ does not have to be based on intensity comparisons. More importantly, stereo-specific assignment of H5′ and H5″ protons makes the extraction of important structural parameters possible. For example, it is required for the unambiguous determination of the torsion angles γ and β from J-couplings. It also provides many useful intra-residue, sequential and long-range NOE contacts (2).

The H1′ protons resonate in a separate spectral region and usually do not overlap with other resonances in HMQC spectra. However, as is visible from Figure 3A, with 31 resonances, quite some overlap is present between the individual H1′ resonances of the uniformly labelled PBS. The residue-specific labeling resolves the overlap (Fig. 3B). Immediately, six (out of seven) resonances can be identified, including a H1′ proton with a rather unusual chemical shift (at 97/4.2 p.p.m.), which can be attributed to G16. The peak immediately confirms the formation of the UUCG loop.

The acquired line narrowing due to the specific deuteration can be appreciated from the ‘H-1D traces shown in Figure 4. Here, ‘H-1D traces of a single H1′/C1′ cross-peak (6.0/92.2 p.p.m.) in the HMQC spectrum of the deuterated and of the uniformly labelled RNA (Fig. 3, arrows) are compared. The resonance from the deuterated RNA has a line width of ~18 Hz, compared with ~25 Hz in the uniformly labelled RNA (part of it is caused by the JH31-C31-couplings, max. 8 Hz). The line narrowing (of ~8 Hz) can be attributed to the removal of part of the ‘H–‘H dipolar interactions. For a molecule of this size the ‘H–‘H dipolar interactions can be roughly estimated to contribute ~10 Hz to the line width of the sugar protons (2,3,17). Even narrower lines are expected for the U and C residues in the deuterated sample, since here the 13C–‘H dipolar interaction is also removed (also ~10 Hz). The line narrowing translates into improved resolution, which further benefits assignment. It also improves coherence transfer efficiencies in hetero-nuclear experiments, for example in HCP- and HCN-correlation experiments (2,3,17).

Finally, we note that, thanks to the deuteration and the use of cryoprobe technology, the HMQC spectra could be recorded with good signal-to-noise overnight (~14 h) for the deuterated RNA, which had a concentration of only 30 µM.

CONCLUSIONS

We have described an effective enzymatic synthesis for the production of NTPs, which are stereo-selectively deuterated on the H5″ position with high selectivity. The yield is ~6% (60 mg NTPs/g glucose), comparable with the yield from NTPs isolated from E.coli bacteria grown on enriched media. The stereo-selectivity we estimate to be better than 98% from the HMQC spectra. The method employs the NTP synthesis route based on enzymes of the pentose-phosphate, nucleotide biosynthesis and salvage pathways. In this way a host of different specific labelling patterns can be incorporated into RNAs, including stereo-selectively H5″ deuterated residues.

We have demonstrated the versatility of this method to produce specific labelling patterns via a 31 nt RNA sample. The RNA was synthesised with fully protonated adenine residues, with cytidine and uridine residues deuterated only on the ribose in the 1′, 3′, 4′ and 5′/5″ positions, and with guanine residues with 13C labels in the ribose ring and deuterium labels on the 1′, 3′, 4′ and 5″ positions.

This selective, non-uniform labelling can solve many of the spectral overlap problems. The deuteration reduces spectral crowding by removal of H resonances, while the resulting line narrowing further improves spectral resolution. Moreover, it improves the coherence transfer efficiency (e.g. from C4′ to 31P in HCP experiments), so that isotope-edited NMR experiments can be fully exploited to further reduce resonance overlap.

The possibility of producing NTPs via the enzymatic route has important additional advantages. The H5′ can now be stereo-specific assigned, so that the γ- and β-torsion angles can unambiguously be determined, while intra- and inter-residue NOEs involving H5′ become accessible, further improving structure definition.

It is expected that structure determination of larger RNA molecules (>50 nt) is possible when a rationally designed labelling scheme is applied. This requires non-uniform and stereo-selective labelling which is now available with the enzymatic synthesis of NTPs described above.
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