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ABSTRACT

Single-stranded DNA or double-stranded DNA has the potential to adopt a wide variety of unusual duplex and hairpin motifs in the presence (trans) or absence (cis) of ligands. Several principles for the formation of those unusual structures have been established through the observation of a number of recurring structural motifs associated with different sequences. These include: (i) internal loops of consecutive mismatches can occur in a B-DNA duplex when sheared base pairs are adjacent to each other to confer extensive cross- and intra-strand base stacking; (ii) interdigitated (zipper-like) duplex structures form instead when sheared G-A base pairs are separated by one or two pairs of purine-purine mismatches; (iii) stacking is not restricted to base, deoxyribose also exhibits the potential to do so; (iv) canonical G-C or A-T base pairs are flexible enough to exhibit considerable changes from the regular H-bonded conformation. The paired bases become stacked when bracketed by sheared G-A base pairs, or become extruded out and perpendicular to their neighboring bases in the presence of interacting drugs; (v) the purine-rich and pyrimidine-rich loop structures are notably different in nature. The purine-rich loops form compact triloop structures closed by a sheared G-A, A-A, A-C or sheared-like G<sup>anti</sup>-C<sup>syn</sup> base pair that is stacked by a single residue. On the other hand, the pyrimidine-rich loops with a thymidine in the first position exhibit no base pairing but are characterized by the folding of the thymidine residue into the minor groove to form a compact loop structure. Identification of such diverse duplex or hairpin motifs greatly enlarges the repertoire for unusual DNA structural formation.

INTRODUCTION

In addition to the regular duplex structure with canonical G-C and A-T base pairs, many DNA sequences adopt a variety of unusual structures in either the single-stranded or double-stranded state. For example, in the double-stranded state, the dinucleotide (CG)<sub>2</sub> repeats were found to adopt the left-handed Z-DNA structure (1,2). The existence of such structure in vivo has been verified recently by the discovery of proteins that can specifically recognize the left-handed duplex structure (3–5). Similarly, the single-stranded G-rich DNA sequences have long been found to adopt a stable G-tetrad quadruplex structure in vitro (6–8). Its presence in vivo has also been established recently by the discovery of enzymes that can specifically recognize such sequences to promote (9), cleave (10), or unwind (11–13) the G4-DNA structure. Since tandem repeat sequences are highly abundant in the eukaryotic genomes (14), there is thus great interest in trying to decipher the unusual structures of such repeat sequences (7,15–21), and hence their biological functions. In addition, single-stranded DNA sequences screened by the SELEX technology can form unique three-dimensional structures (aptamer) to match the target molecules (22). Moreover, single-stranded DNA virus (23) or plasmid (24) occasionally contains an unusual fold-back structure at its 3′ end to complete the replication process. Such unusual structural motifs may represent novel targets for pharmaceutical research.

In the present survey, some principles for the unusual DNA duplex and hairpin formation will be summarized through the observation of a number of recurring structural motifs associated with different sequences. Such motifs represent stable structural elements that may be of general importance. Comprehensive coverage of all such motifs may allow one to predict stable secondary structure from any specific nucleic acid sequences. The principles to be described in this article are: (i) cross-strand base/base stacking resulting from adjacent sheared base pairs; (ii) interdigitated base/base stacking resulting from separated sheared base pairs; (iii) base/deoxyribose stacking in single-residue GNA, ANA, ANC and GNC loops closed by a sheared base pair; (iv) minor groove interaction in pyrimidine-rich bi- or tri-loops; and (v) perpendicular base/base interaction.

Recently, a sheared RNA G-U pair was also observed in a conserved hairpin loop of the Escherichia coli 16S rRNA in solution (25) and in the large subunit ribosome structure in crystal (26). Other structural aspects of RNA motifs have been reviewed in several articles (27–32), and will not be further...
described here. Likewise, the multi-stranded DNA triplex and quadruplex structures have also been described in several recent review articles (7,33,34).

INTERNAL LOOP MOTIFS: SHEARED BASE PAIRING AND CROSS-STRAND STACKING

Recently, several three-dimensional structures of DNA duplexes containing a $2 \times 2$ (two consecutive mismatched base pairs) (35–39), or $4 \times 4$ (four consecutive mismatched base pairs) (40) internal loop have been determined. From the published UV melting data, it was shown that such unusual duplexes exhibit stability only slightly less than those containing canonical G-C or A-T base pairs. Examination of these internal loop structures shows that tandem sheared base pairs is the critical structural element responsible for their stability (41,42), which confers the duplexes with excellent cross-strand stacking between the sheared base pairs and excellent intra-strand base stacking between the sheared base pair and its flanking base pair.

**Sheared G·A, A·A and A·C base pairs**

Incorporation of mismatch base pairs into DNA duplexes usually destabilizes the parent duplexes to varying degrees depending upon the nature of the incorporated mismatches. However, recent data have shown that tandem sheared purine-purine (36–38,42–44) or purine-pyrimidine (38) base pairs are the exceptions to this rule. Such tandem mismatches were found to be rather stable and are compatible with flanking Watson-Crick base pairs to form B-DNA like, although locally distorted, duplex structures. The schemes of the sheared G·A pairing and its extension to the A·A (44) and A·C (38) are shown in Figure 1. Such base pairing is special in that the H-bonding donor and acceptor in the Watson-Crick H-bonding side are not employed. Instead, one purine base uses its functional groups in the minor groove edge to pair with the functional groups in the major groove edge of another purine or pyrimidine base (Fig. 2) to form the so-called sheared pairing. Such sheared pairing is well characterized by the extraordinary upfield shifting of the guanosine imino proton from the paired region (~13 p.p.m.) to the unpaired

---

**Figure 1.** Extension of the sheared G·A base pair (top left) to the sheared A·A base pair (top middle) and then to the sheared A·C base pair (top right). Two H-bonds (connected by dotted lines) are possible for a sheared G·A pair, while only one single H-bond is found for the sheared A·A and A·C base pairs. NOEs detected for such sheared base pairs are denoted by dotted arrows. The bottom figures show the formation of sheared-like (or trans Watson-Crick/Sugar-edge) G<sub>anti</sub>:C<sub>syn</sub> base pair (right) (32) from the canonical G·C base pair (left) via the intermediate sheared G·C base pair (middle). The flipping of cytosine base to the rare syn domain is necessary to prevent the steric hindrance between the G·2NH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>5</sub> protons (middle). It also facilitates the formation of a second G<sub>anti</sub>:H<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>3N</sub> H-bond (right).
NOEs (shown as dotted arrows in Fig. 1) (37) in H2O solution. C4NH2 was also well characterized by the observation of cross-strand solution state. Similarly, a sheared A´C base pair configuration establishes the sheared configuration of the G´A pairing in ° at low temperature (47). However, when two such sheared base pairs (a 2 internal loop) occur, with the original partial intra-strand stacking being completely replaced with the perfect inter-strand stacking. In addition, the sheared G-A pairs also exhibit excellent intra-strand stacking with their flanking canonical base pairs (43,54). This strong stacking may compensate for the backbone distortion from the e(t)ζ(g) domain to the e(g)ζ(t) domain necessary to accommodate such a dramatic change of base pairing. The transformation of backbone torsion angle from the ζ(g) domain to the ζ(t) domain is correlated with the huge chemical shift changes (2 p.p.m. upfield shifting) for the phosphorus atoms connecting the adjacent sheared G-A base pairs (46,55).

2 × 2 (GC)/(AA) internal loops

As described above, strong cross-strand homo G/G and A/A stacking were observed for the classical tandem sheared G-A base pairs (Fig. 2a) (36,37,43,54). However, such cross-strand base stacking is not restricted to the homo purine bases. Hetero purine/purine (G/A) or purine/pyrimidine (A/C) cross-strand base stacking can also occur, as observed recently in the S′-G15C16/A7A6-S′ motif, shown in Figure 2b. In this novel 2 × 2 internal loop of the human HIV-1 reverse transcriptase DNA inhibitor (56), the paired G15/A7 bases and A6/C16 bases were found to participate in sheared pairing, with the G15 base stacking upon the cross-stranded A6 base, and the A7 base upon the cross-stranded C16 base (Fig. 2b). It is also interesting to note that the stacking between the pyrimidine (C16) base and the purine (A7) base is quite good, with the six-membered ring of the C16 base perfectly stacking on top of the six-membered ring of the A7 base. Furthermore, the deoxyribose of the C16 residue also participates in stacking with the following 3′ end A17 base (another type of base/deoxyribose interaction, see below), with its H2 proton situating almost on top of the A17 base (38). Such stacking is nicely correlated with the dramatic upfield shifting to −0.5 p.p.m. for the C16 H2 proton (38). The participation of a smaller size pyrimidine residue (C16 in the present case) in the tandem sheared-pairing motif has thus drawn an interesting conclusion; while its base stacks well with the cross-stranded A7 base, its deoxyribose stacks instead with the following intra-stranded 3′ end A17 base. The entire pyrimidine moiety thus participates in stacking with the bases above and below to stabilize this unique motif.

4 × 4 internal loops

One of the major structural features of the 2 × 2 internal loop in the tandem sheared base pairs is the good intra-strand stacking between the sheared base pairs and their neighboring base pairs. Therefore it is possible to construct a stable 4 × 4 internal loop if the mismatches surrounding the tandem sheared base pairs can also provide good intra-strand stacking. Indeed it was recently found that the wobble T-G and wobble A-C base pairs serve as such mismatches to bracket the tandem sheared base pairs to form a stable duplex containing a series of four consecutive mismatches (40). As shown in Figure 3, the bases of the head-to-head H-bonded wobble G6-T16 and C3-A19 base pairs can align very well with the side-by-side H-bonded sheared G17-A5 and A4-C18 base pairs, respectively. These result in good T16/G17/A4/C3 stacking on one side and good G6/A5/C18/A19 stacking on the other side, with the cross-strand stacking occurring between the G17/A4 and A5/C18 bases. Such a 4 × 4 internal loop duplex is only slightly less stable than the canonically H-bonded duplex as judged from the UV-melting studies. Thus, when an

Figure 2. The cross-eye stereo views of the cross-strand G/G and A/A stacks in the 5′-(GA)/(AG)-5′ motif (a) and the cross-strand A/C and G/A stacks in the 5′-(GC)/(AA)-5′ motif (b). The 8A and 16C nucleotides are drawn in white to illustrate the difference between the purine/purine (8A/4A) and purine/pyrimidine (7A/16C) stacks. The H-bonds are connected by white dotted lines and the unusual α(t) torsion angles connecting the tandem sheared base pairs marked by pink arrows. The transformation of the ζ torsion angles from the regular gauche domain to the trans domain extends the phosphate backbone and allows the cross-strand stacking to occur.

region (~10 p.p.m.), due to the fact that it does not participate in H-bonding (45,46). It therefore serves as a good marker for the NMR studies of nucleic acid molecules containing such motifs.

The best evidence for a sheared G-A pair is the strong cross-strand A6NH2→GH1 and medium-strength A6NH2→GH4 NOEs (shown as dotted arrows in Fig. 1) (37) in H2O solution at low temperature (~5°C). Weak cross-strand G3NH2→AH8 NOE could also be observed. Such NOEs unambiguously establish the sheared configuration of the G-A pairing in solution state. Similarly, a sheared A-C base pair configuration was also well characterized by the observation of cross-strand C4NH2→AH1′, C3NH2→AH2 and CH5→AH2 NOEs (Fig. 1) in the H2O/NOESY spectrum at low temperature (47).

2 × 2 (GA)2 internal loops

To date, no single-sheared G-A pair has ever been found to exist in a B-DNA duplex environment consisting of canonical G-C and A-T base pairs; only tandem sheared G-A paired motif can be embedded in a B-DNA (48,49) or A-RNA duplex (50). The reason for this is not yet clear, but may possibly be due to the fact that a single sheared base pairing causes considerable backbone torsion angle distortions and interrupts the regular intra-strand base stacking of a B-DNA duplex. Existence of a single sheared G-A pair is therefore not favored, except for serving as the closing base pair in a single-residue GNA loop (51–53). However, when two such sheared base pairs (a 2 internal loop) are present in tandem, a completely different story results. Excellent cross-strand G/G and A/A stacking (Fig. 2a) occur, with the original partial intra-strand stacking being completely replaced with the perfect inter-strand stacking. In addition, the sheared G-A pairs also exhibit...
oligonucleotide 21mer hairpin containing a canonically base-paired 5'-TAAA/ATTT-5' segment is replaced by an all mismatched 5'-CAAG/ACGT-5' segment, the melting temperature decreases only by ~5 °C (40). This is quite extraordinary, since in a regular DNA duplex, the melting temperature usually decreases by ~10 °C when a single mismatch is created (57), not to mention that four consecutive mismatches are simultaneously present in a 4×4 internal loop duplex as discussed here. Besides, all the mismatched internal-loop hairpin contains two H-bonds less (each AC mismatch has only one H-bond at a neutral pH condition, see Fig. 3) than the hairpin containing the canonical 5’-TAAA/ATTT-5’ segment. It is thus clear that next to H-bonding, the unique cross-strand and intra-strand base stacking also play significant roles in stabilizing double-helical nucleic acid structures of an unusual nature.

Such particular base stacks are clearly revealed in Figure 4, which shows the down-to-the-helical view and the major groove view of the base stacks of the entire 4×4 internal loop segment (Fig. 4b and c) and the nearest-neighbor stacks (Fig. 4d). It is clear from Figure 4b that the 4×4 internal loop segment does show an extraordinary base stacking arrangement. Unlike the regular 36° twisting angles adopted between the base pairs in a canonical duplex (Fig. 4a), the base pair twisting angles in the 4×4 internal loop segment fluctuate a lot, with those between the outer internal-loop base pair and the inner internal-loop base pair (C3-A19/A4-C18 and G6-T16/A5-G17) adopting rather large twisting angles of 66 ± 3° and 58 ± 4°, respectively, while that between the inner internal-loop base pairs of A4-C18/A5-G17 adopting an even negative twisting angle of −11 ± 1° to accommodate the phenomenal cross-strand purine/purine (A4/G17) and purine/pyrimidine (A5/C18) stacking (Fig. 4c) (40). Another interesting feature of this 4×4 internal loop is the excellent stacking of all six-membered rings of every base in the internal loop, irrespective of the A, G, C or T residue (Fig. 4d). Such stacks, without doubt, contribute significantly to the stability of hairpins containing such 4×4 internal loop in the stem region.

Sequence dependence of the tandem G·A pairs

The sheared G·A pairing and cross-strand G/G and A/A base stacks were first discovered in the d(PyGAPu)2 motifs with a preceding 5’ end pyrimidine and a following 3’ end purine (48,54,58,59). Soon after it was discovered that the (GA)2 motif conformation changes dramatically when preceded and followed by alternative flanking base pairs (45). Thus, when the preceding 5’ end base of the d(GA)2 motif is switched from a pyrimidine to a purine and the following 3’ end base from a purine to a complementary pyrimidine, the resulting d(PuGAPy)2 sequence becomes less stable. Indeed, the G·A base pair in the d(AGAT)2 motif adopts a head-to-head G·A configuration instead with much poorer inter-strand purine–purine stacking (60), while the duplex containing the d(GGAC)2 motif reveals no stable structure at all as judged from the much broader NMR spectra (S.-H.Chou et al., unpublished data). Such a notion has, however, been somewhat expanded recently through the observation that the tandem G·A base pairs in the non-symmetrical 5’-d(PuGAPu)/d(PyAGPy)-5’ motifs also adopt the shearing modes and the characteristic cross-strand purine/purine stacking (37). It is also important to note that although tandem G·A base pairs appear frequently in the tRNA secondary structure (61), they exhibit quite different sequence dependent behavior (50). For example, the tandem G·A base pairs in the t(AGAU)2 motif were found to possibly adopt the sheared pairing modes, contrary to the head-to-head pairing mode mentioned above.
for a similar DNA sequence. Moreover, in the r(GGAC) sequence, the tandem G-A base pairs were found to adopt the head-to-head pairing mode, and is the most stable motif among all studied tandem G-A base pairs (62), although no stable species could be detected for the similar DNA sequences. Furthermore, the r(GAGC) motif, which has never been found to occur in DNA, was stably existent in RNA (50). DNA and RNA thus follow very different flanking sequence-dependent rules regarding the pairing in the (GA) motifs. The reasons for such subtle differences are still unclear.

Other sheared-pairing related DNA structures

Since shearing G-A pairing is such a unique structural element in DNA, it is natural to ask if it also happens in other multi-stranded DNA structures. Indeed, G-A pairing is found to be involved in some higher order DNA structures. For example, in the GGA triplet repeat sequence, the minor groove edge of the guanine base in the G-tetraplex structure can pair with the adenine base to form more complicated higher ordered motifs, like those of the A (G-G-G-G-) pentad (63), A (G-G-G-G-) A hexad (64) or G(-A)G(-A)G(-A)G heptad (65). Recently the structure of a DNA aptamer containing a L-argininamide-binding pocket has also been determined (66) and found to contain extensive base mismatches, including three sheared G-A pairs, to maximize the stacking interaction in an 18-residue loop. Such examples indicate that tandem sheared base-paired motifs are indeed important elements in forming unusual DNA structures.

INTERDIGITATED (ZIPPER-LIKE) STACKING

To date, many different types of DNA mismatch have been discovered (67). However, most of these mismatches remain paired co-planarly, irrespective of the configuration they adopt. Recently, however, an entirely novel interdigitated or zipper-like conformation for the mismatched G/G base pair (35,68–70), A/A base pair (71) or even the canonical G/C and A/T base pairs (72) was discovered, in which the base pairing is disrupted, and the unpaired bases are intercalated with each other, when such bases are bracketed by a pair of sheared G-A base pairs. Structural characteristic of such stacking is different from the cross-strand base/base stacking mentioned above, in that only a single residue is involved in stacking, with both of its base and deoxyribose involved in stacking.

Zipper-like 5'-GGA/AGG-5' motif

The first zipper-like motif was discovered (35) in the purin-rich (TGGAA)n strand of the human centromeric tandem repeats (73,74), in which the interdigitated 5'-GGA/AGG-5' motif is bracketed by a pair of canonical A-T base pairs. Although it is still not clear if the two complementary
is now under the strong ring-current shifting effect of the adenine base. Another interesting feature for the 5′-GGA/AGG-5′ motif is that since the central guanosines are unpaired, their \(^{2}\text{NH}_2\) groups are free to form H-bonds with the cross-strand phosphate backbone (35), which further stabilizes such interdigitated structural motifs. Therefore, such a zipper-like motif contains some unique structural features such as base/sugar stacking, cross-strand base-phosphate H-bonding, and cross-strand unpaired base stacking that are rarely observed in any other nucleic acid structures.

Zipper-like 5′-GGGA/AGGG-5′ and 5′-GAAA/AAAG-5′ motifs

Since most centromeric satellite sequences are asymmetric in the distribution of purine content and usually result in one strand being purine-rich vis-à-vis the other strand, it is natural to ask if the distinctive zipper-like 5′-GGA/AGG-5′ motif can be extended to the longer 5′-G(Pu\(_n\))A/A(Pu\(_n\))G-5′ sequences \((n \geq 2)\). Indeed, the 5′-G(Pu(Pu)A)/A(Pu(Pu))G-5′ sequences were found to adopt the similar elongated zipper-like motifs, with the 5′-G(GG)A/A(GG)G-5′ sequence exhibiting the highest melting temperature, and the 5′-G(AA)A/A(AA)G-5′ sequence the lowest melting temperature (70).

One of the reasons for this melting temperature difference is possibly due to the lack of cross-strand ANH2-phosphate H-bonds in the 5′-G(AA)A/A(AA)G-5′ motif, because ANH2 groups are not situated in a right position to form such a bond. Interestingly, in the 5′-G(GG)2/A(A2G2)G-5′ motif, the sugar conformations of the unpaired guanines are not uniform, with the two outer zipper G\(_2\) deoxyribose adopting the unusual C3′-endo conformation (as demonstrated by the very strong intra H8-H3′ NOE), while those of the inner zipper G\(_2\) deoxyribose adopting an intermediate O4′-endo conformation (70). Such elongated zipper-like motifs exhibit a narrow minor groove, with the shortest inter-strand P-P distance being only 8.8 Å. This is also correlated with the short inter-strand sugar H1′–H1′ distances (as revealed by their medium-strength NOEs). Such a feature is rarely observed in any nucleic acid structure, except in the cytidine-rich ‘i’ motif, which also forms a four-stranded interdigitated structure and exhibits medium-strength inter-strand sugar H1′–H1′ NOEs (75). One stabilizing factor that deserves noting for such motifs is the anti-parallel stacking between the unpaired guanines in the zipper region (Fig. 5, bottom). Theoretical calculation has indicated that guanine base is much more polar than adenine base (76,77), and hence the stability of the G/G stacking would depend to a great extent upon whether they are engaged in anti-parallel (stabilizing) or parallel stacking (destabilizing). In the current 5′-G(GG)2/A(A2G2)G-5′ motif, the four central guanines are all engaged in anti-parallel stacking and therefore partially account for the extraordinary stability of such a highly distorted duplex structure.

The successful extension of zipper-like structures from the 5′-GGGA/AGGG-5′ motif to the 5′-GAAA/AAAG-5′ motif further implies the possibility of extending to even longer zipper structures, like that of the 5′-GGGGA/AGGGG-5′ motif. However, such sequences are prone to G-4 tetrad formation and are therefore difficult to study by either NMR or X-ray methods. Replacement of the zipper guanines by either inosine or adenine to interrupt the consecutive guanines may help observe such a long zipper structure.
Although the 5′-GAAA/AAGG-5′ motif is less stable than the 5′-GGGA/AGGG-5′ motif, a DNA duplex containing such a motif has recently been crystallized by the addition of Co²⁺ ions in the solution and its structure determined by an X-ray diffraction method (71). Interestingly, the 5′-GGGA/AGGG-5′ motif in the solution state and the 5′-GAAA/AAGG-5′ motif in the solid state both form similar zipper-like structures with excellent overlap (70), although some difference in the base orientation and groove width was observed, due to the failure of the adenine zipper in the 5′-GAAA/AAGG-5′ motif to form cross-strand H-bonds.

A further point of interest about such motifs is that the isolated G-strand [CTACGGGACCGA]₆ of another evolutionarily conserved centromeric dodeca-satellite DNA sequence in Drosophila also forms highly stable intramolecular hairpin structures (Tₘ ~75°C) that are stabilized by the formation of non-Watson–Crick G-A pairs (19). The homopurine tetranucleotide 5′-GGGA-3′ was found to be the major determinant in stabilizing this dodeca-satellite G-strand hairpin structure. Three possible pairing registers for this tetra-nucleotide have been proposed, including registers with a d(GA)₂ motif, a d(GGA)₂ motif, or even a d(GGGA)₂ motif with two interacting G-G pairs between the G-A pairs (19). However, the exact nature of the G-G interactions and the non-Watson–Crick G-A pairing were not yet clear. But it is interesting to note that a single-stranded DNA binding protein that specifically binds the unstructured dodeca-satellite C-strand has recently been uncovered (21). This indicates that the purine-rich G-strand has the potential to separate from its complementary pyrimidine-rich C-strand to form altered DNA structures to organize the Drosophila centromere structure.

**Zipper-like Watson–Crick base pair motifs**

While studying the DNA analogs of the RNA E-like motif 5′-NGUAP/ZAAGQ-5′ (where N/Z and P/Q are complementary canonical base pairs) (78), it was accidentally discovered that the central T/A pair does not form a H-bonded base pair at all. Instead, the central T/A pair in the 5′-GTA/AAG-5′ motif exhibits considerable structural change, with their H-bonding completely disrupted and the two bases engaged in stacking with each other to form a zipper-like conformation bracketed by two sheared G-A base pairs (72) as shown in Figure 5 (bottom). Furthermore, such a zipper-like structure occurs not only in the 5′-GTA/AAG-5′ or 5′-GAA/ATG-5′ motif containing a potential T/A or A/T base pair, but also in the 5′-GGA/ACG-5′ or 5′-GCA/AGG-5′ motif containing a potential G/C or C/G base pair. Obviously, such special structures are stable enough to compensate for the energy loss of two or three H-bonds of the disrupted base pairs, since NMR spectra of the DNA hairpins containing such 5′-GXA/AYG-5′ motifs in the stem region reveal only one single set of resonances with no other species detected at all (72). While abundant and distinctive NOEs allowed the structural determination of such zippers with certainty, they can in fact be very clearly verified by the appearance of characteristic upfield-shifted signals of ~3 p.p.m. for the T-H₃ imino proton or >2 p.p.m. for the G-H₁ imino proton of the central complementary X/Y pair (72). These indicate that the X/Y pair in the 5′-GXA/AYG-5′ motif is not H-bonded either in canonical or Hoogsteen modes. The extraordinary upfield shifting of the X/Y H₁/H₄ proton signals by ~1 and ~2 p.p.m., respectively, is also consistent with the picture of a zipper-like structure, in which the unpaired X/Y deoxyribose are stacked upon the adenine bases of the sheared G-A base pairs (72), akin to that shown in Figure 6 (bottom). Such extraordinary upfield shifting of deoxyribose protons usually implies the existence of an unusual deoxyribose/base stacking pattern not present in a canonical B-DNA environment, in which the deoxyribosyls are located far away from the base stacking core and are not strongly affected by their ring-current shifting. The rather sharp proton signals of these zippers also indicate that they are very stable in the NMR time scale (72).

The stereo space-filling pictures of the d(GGA)₂ motif, d(GGGA)₂ motif and 5′-d(GTA)/d(AAG)-5′ motif viewed into the major groove are shown in Figure 5 for comparison.

**Non-symmetrical zipper-like 5′-GAA/AGG-5′ motif: three-purine stacking**

While base stacking in the above-mentioned symmetrical 2 × 2 and 4 × 4 internal loops and symmetrical zipper-like 3 × 3 and 4 × 4 interdigitated structures occur with an unusual two-sided stacking pattern (see Figs 4 and 6), that of the non-symmetrical 2 × 3 internal loop in the highly conserved 5′-GGGA/AGGG-5′ ‘bubble’ present at the 3′ end hairpin of the single-stranded DNA genome of parvoviruses (23) is completely different (Fig. 6, middle). This motif contains an unpaired adenosine stacked between two
bracketed sheared G-A pairs. However, the extraordinary cross-strand G/G and A/A stacking in the tandem sheared G-A pairs has undergone considerable changes. A novel three-purine stacking pattern (Fig. 6) is observed instead; the inserted A18 base is completely un-stacked from its neighboring G17 and A19 bases, but stacked nicely with the cross-strand A4 and G3 bases to form a novel three-purine A4/A18/G3 stack that is different from the double G/G or A/A stack present in the 5′-(GA)/(AG)-5′ motifs (Fig. 6, top) or the (GGA)2 motif (Fig. 6, bottom). Also, unlike the case in a bulged duplex that usually exhibits an ~20° kink in the helical axis when the bulge is bracketed by canonical G-C or A-T base pairs (79), no significant kink is observed for the helix containing the bulged-adenine that is bracketed by sheared G-A pairs. These facts indicate that the structure of the non-symmetrical internal loop can be very different from that of the symmetrical internal loop. The well structured 5′-(GAA)/(AG)-5′ internal loop in the parvovirus genomes can explain their resistance to the single-strand-specific endonuclease (23).

The above-mentioned zipper-like or interdigitated stacking is not restricted to the DNA only. It has also been observed in the crystal structure of a tRNA molecule to stabilize its tertiary folding (80) or in the solution structure of a theophylline-binding RNA aptamer (81).

Adjacent sheared base pairs versus separated sheared base pairs

From the description above of the unusual DNA conformations, basically two major types of unique motifs can be generated by the sheared base pairing: either a distorted duplex with well stacked adjacent sheared base pairs (36,37,40,43,44,46,48,82) or an interdigitated duplex motif bracketed by separated sheared base pairs (35,68–70,72). When two sheared base pairs occur in tandem (adjacent), they form a compact cross-strand stacking core that can be further extended in either direction by wobble base pairs to form a well stacked distorted duplex with four consecutive mismatches. The stable formation of such DNA duplex with four mismatches indicates that the energy loss from mismatch pairing can be compensated by the excellent cross-stand and intra-strand base stacking. On the other hand, when the tandem sheared base pairs are interrupted and separated by a G/G, or A/A mismatch, or by a T/A, or G/C canonical base pair, or even by two pairs of G/G mismatches, dramatically different DNA conformation are observed instead. The base pairs of the central residues, whether they are canonical or not, are broken. Instead, they are switched to the zipper-like or interdigitated conformation. The reason for such a dramatic switch is again possibly due to the need for cross-strand base stacking and cross-strand H-bonding to stabilize the consecutive mismatches.

HAIRPIN LOOP MOTIFS

UUCG (83), GNRA (84) and AGNN (85,86) tetraloops are important RNA structural motifs. The first and last residues in these tetraloops form a non-canonical base pair to close the loop. To date, two is considered the minimum number required for the unpaired residues in a stable RNA hairpin loop (85–88). On the other hand, DNA hairpin loops are more compact, and a single unpaired residue in the loops is usually sufficient when the loops are closed by a sheared G-A (51,52,89), A-A (90), A-C (24,47,91,92) or even a G_{anti}-C_{syn} base pair (93). Such compact DNA miniloops are occasionally found in the replication origins (94), promoter region (95,96), or enzyme (topoisomerase) binding site (91,92,97) that have potential to form cruciform structures. They also appear in the 3′ end fold-in structures of some linear DNA viruses (23,98) or linear plasmids (24). On the other hand, DNA loops with two or three unpaired residues are possible when the first loop residue is a thymidine, which can fold into the minor groove to exhibit specific interaction with the stem G-C base pairs (99–102). The purine-rich GGA sequence and its complementary pyrimidine-rich TCC sequence thus can each form different yet stable loop structures to facilitate the cruciform formation (100).

Recently, there have been systematic studies of thermodynamically stable DNA or RNA hairpin triloops or tetraloops using a temperature-gradient gel electrophoresis method (103–105). Some of the stable loop motifs identified from the combinatorial library are found in nature while others are not, which may serve as the new targets for NMR structural studies.

Single-residue GNA, ANA and ANC loops closed by a sheared mismatched base pair

The single-residue GNA (51–53,89), ANA (90) or ANC loops (47,97) are characterized by the simultaneous stacking of the base and deoxyribose of the unpaired N residue upon the closing guanine and adenine bases of the GNA loop (51,53,89), the closing adenine bases of the ANA loop (90) or the closing adenine and cytosine bases of the ANC loop (47,97) (Fig. 7b), respectively. Such sheared or side-by-side

Figure 7. (a) The cross-eye stereo structure in space-filling mode of a DNA hairpin containing the AGC minilooop. The G6H4 proton is situated directly above the cytidine base and is colored in white and marked with a pink arrow. (b) The cross-eye stereo structure of the AGC minilooop in stick mode viewed down to the helix. The simultaneous stacking of the base and deoxyribose of the loop G6 residue with the A5 and C7 bases of the closing sheared A-C pair is obvious in this view.
pairing nature of the closing base pairs makes it possible for a single residue in the loop to span two helical strands (Fig. 7b), which is unlikely when the closing base pair is a bonded by a head-to-head canonical G-C or A-T base pair. Such a base/deoxyribose stacking interaction is rarely observed in a regular right-handed B- or A-form DNA, although it occurs in the left-handed Z-form DNA (1), and in the above-mentioned zipper-like structures. The most distinguishing feature for the NMR spectra of such base/deoxyribose stacks is the observation of dramatically upfield shifting of the deoxyribose H4' protons of the unpaired loop residues (53), which are situated directly upon the adenine or cytosine base (Fig. 7) that exhibit strong or medium ring-current shifting effect. This is revealed by the fact that the chemical shifts of the unpaired N-H4 protons in the GNA and ANA loops shift upfield to the remarkable 1.9 p.p.m. region (more than 2 p.p.m.) (53,90), while those of the N-H4's in the ANC or GNC loops (described in the next section) to the less dramatic 3.5 p.p.m. (~0.5 p.p.m.) region (47), due to the fact that adenine is a stronger ring-current shifting base than the cytosine. Interestingly, the C-H4' bond of the unpaired deoxyribose interacts with its stacked base in a perpendicular way. Such a perpendicular (r(C-H4')/n(aryl ring) interaction has also been occasionally observed in the three-dimensional structures of other nucleic acid and protein molecules (106,107).

Single-residue GNC loop closed by a sheared-like G\textsubscript{anti}-C\textsubscript{syn} base pair

The sheared-like G\textsubscript{anti}-C\textsubscript{syn} pairing is the most recent addition to this unusual family of compact DNA miniloops (Fig. 1) (93). It belongs to the trans Watson–Crick/Sugar-edge geometric family according to the nomenclature adopted for non-Watson–Crick base pairs by the Nucleic Acids Databank (32). It is special because, as described above, only sheared pairing of the mismatched types has been discovered in DNA to date. No canonical Watson–Crick G-C or A-T base pair has ever been found to assume a sheared-like pairing mode to close a compact DNA loop. Such an issue is not trivial, since the d(GXC) (X = G or C) tandem repeats, when expanded beyond a certain threshold, can lead to the fragile X syndrome, one of the most common genetic causes of human mental retardation. The H-bonding profile of the folded-in T6 residue with the surrounding stem base pairs is enlarged in the bottom figure, with the potential H-bonds being connected by dotted red lines.

Figure 8. The cross-eye stereo pictures of the T6T7T8 triloop hairpin. The first loop thymidine residue (T6) folds into the minor groove, while the non-adjacent T7 and C5 bases exhibit excellent stacking (top). The H-bonding profile of the folded-in T6 residue with the surrounding stem base pairs is enlarged in the bottom figure, with the potential H-bonds being connected by dotted red lines.

Z-DNA structure or is unpaired as in the L3 loop structure. The syn-cytidine nature is clearly revealed by the very strong intra-sugar CH6-CH1' NOEs (93), which are either of comparable magnitudes to or stronger than those of the CH5-CH6 NOEs, indicating that the CH6-CH1' distance is ~2.5 Å or less, a value that is only possible when the glycosidic angle of cytidine is transformed into the syn domain. Interestingly, the sugar pucker of the syn-cytidine in such sheared G\textsubscript{anti}-C\textsubscript{syn} closing base pair remains in the C2'-endo domain, unlike those of the syn-cytidines in the out-of-alternation Z-DNA structure that are in the unusual C3'-endo domain (111,112).

Recently, a reversed closing sheared G-C pair was reported in the P loop structure of the peptidyl transferase center in the 50S ribosome to present three unpaired loop guanosine residues for RNA recognition (115). Such a special closing sheared G-C pair was also detected in the crystal structure of the 50S ribosome complex (26,32). However, it is interesting to note that such a reversed sheared RNA G-C pair is very different in nature from the sheared DNA G-C pair described in the present article in two important aspects. First, it achieves the unique G'N-C'\textsubscript{NH3} and G'\textsubscript{NH2}-C'\textsubscript{N} H-bonding by locally reversing the backbone of the cytidine residue in the sheared G-C pair, resulting in considerable changes in the backbone torsion angles. Secondly, the glycosidic bond of the cytidine residue in the sheared G-C pair is conserved in the regular \textit{anti} domain. Such a reversed sheared G\textsubscript{anti}-C\textsubscript{anti} pairing is not possible for the d(GXC) triloops described here, because there is only one nucleotide in the loop to bridge the two opposite strands.
well-defined NOE could be observed (S.-H. Chou noting that when the first loop residue is a cytidine, no such pairs away (Fig. 8, bottom) (100,101). However, it is worth residue to the H1 groove is the observation of strong NOEs exhibited by the T1 residue of these loops into the minor groove to exhibit excellent hydrophobic stacking with the non-adjacent guanine bases. The perpendicular interactions between the G7H8-A6(π-ring) and the phenoxazone-O-T2(π-ring) are obvious. In the right figure, the complex structure is drawn in the down-to-the-helix view.

Minor groove interaction in pyrimidine-rich bi- or tri-loops

Contrary to the simultaneous base/deoxyribose stacking of the N residue upon the closing base pairs of the GNA, ANA, ANC or GNC loops, in which the first loop residue is a thymidine, the story is completely different for the pyrimidine-rich loops. When the first loop residue is a thymidine, it is looped into the minor groove instead (Fig. 8, top) to interact with the stem G-C base pairs through H-bonds (Fig. 8, bottom). No sheared closing pair is necessary to bridge the two strands for such pyrimidine-rich bi- or tri-loops; a head-to-head canonical or wobble T-G base pair is sufficient to close these loops. Several such stable pyrimidine-rich loops have been reported, including those containing the unpaired T/T2 (99,102), T/T2/T3 (101) and T/C2/C3 (100) residues. The best NMR evidence for the folding of the T1 residue of these loops into the minor groove is the observation of strong NOEs exhibited by the T1 residue to the H1 protons at the stem residues several base pairs away (Fig. 8, bottom) (100,101). However, it is worth noting that when the first loop residue is a cytidine, no such well-defined NOE could be observed (S.-H. Chou et al., unpublished results), possibly due to the lack of H-bonds between the folded cytidine and the stem base pairs.

The loop interaction of the T5/T6/T7G8 loop is shown in Figure 9, which can be compared with those of A5G6C7 loop in Figure 7. Except for the folding of the T6 residue into the minor groove, the stacking feature of the T5/T6/T7G8 loop is very similar to that of the A5G6C7 or G5N6A7 loop, i.e. the base and deoxyribose of the T7 residue also stack nicely with the T5 and G8 bases of the closing base pair, respectively. Accordingly, the T7H4' proton also experiences an upfield shifting of ~1 p.p.m., due to the ring-current shifting effect of the guanine base. Moreover, a perpendicular interaction between the G8NH2 and the folded-in T6 base is observed in the T5/T6/T7G8 loop structure (Fig. 9c).

For an earlier account of the DNA loop structures, see the review article by Hilbers et al. (116).

PERPENDICULAR BASE–BASE INTERACTION MOTIF

The above description of zipper-like motifs designates a special interdigitated motif of disrupted canonical Watson–Crick base pairs when they are bracketed by sheared G-A base pairs in a cis (intrinsic) way. Likewise, a canonical Watson–Crick base pair can also be disrupted by a ligand in a trans (extrinsic) way. This is revealed recently by the determination of novel complex structures between the famous antitumor drug actinomycin D (ActD) with the specific DNA recognition sites 5'-GXC/CYG-5' (where X/Y is a G-C or T-A Watson–Crick base pair) in the DNA hairpins closed by a mini ACC loop (117). In such complex structures (Fig. 10), the canonical G-C or T-A base pairs are disrupted and pushed out by the ActD chromophore. However, they are not disordered, but become perpendicular to the base plane and form specific H-bonds with the backbone phosphate group. Disruption of the canonical H-bonded base pair is clearly manifested by the dramatic upfield shifting of the TH3 or GH1 imino proton signals by >2 p.p.m. (117). The ordered structure of the displaced bases is also revealed by the similar non-exchangeable proton linewidths identical to those in the canonical H-bonded base pairs. Through some backbone adjustment, the ActD chromophore stacks nicely with the non-adjacent guanine bases to form an excellent guanine/chromophore/guanine hydrophobic stack in a way similar to that in the classical 5'-GC/CG-5'/ActD complex (118,119). The only difference is that the complementary cytosines of the guanine residues are now tilted away from, rather than toward, the hydrophobic core, due to the extra X/Y bases in the 5'-GXC/ CYG-5'/DNA complexes (Fig. 10).
Such a base looped-out structure is reminiscent of the ‘e’ motif in the CCG repeats (120), the cisplatin-induced interstrand cross-link structure (121), and the base-excised interdigitated structure (122). In the ‘e’ motif, the central cytidine residues in the (CCG)2 motif also do not form a C-C mismatch, but are ‘extrahelical’ and protrude into the minor groove without interfering with the conformation of the neighboring canonical G-C base pairs. Such a structure is unwound at the extrahelical sites and exhibits a wider minor groove to accommodate the extrahelical cytidines necessary for the hydrophobic interaction between the unpaired cytidines and the surrounding G-C base pairs. This phenomenon, along with the good cross-strand stacking between the non-adjacent guanine bases, may explain the stability of such an ‘e’ motif. Similarly, in the cisplatin-induced distorted structure, the cytidines in the 5′-GC/CG-5′ site were also determined to be extrahelical when the guanine bases were cross-linked by cisplatin, another widely used antitumor drug (121). In such a structure, the guanine bases are brought near by the N7-Pt-N7 linkage to exhibit good interstranded stacking. The extruded cytidines are perpendicular to the bases too. However, no specific interaction between these extruded cytidines with the duplex structure was described. Interestingly, base-excision of a self-complementary oligonucleotide containing two central G-T mismatches by the G-T/U-specific mismatch DNA glycosylase (MUG) has produced an unusual DNA structure that is very similar to the above-mentioned cisplatin-induced interstrand stacked structure (122). The abasic sugars are extruded from the double helix, and the two unpaired deoxyguanosines intercalate with each other instead and stack nicely with the adenines of the A-T base pairs above and below to form an interdigitated ‘A/G/G/A’ stack similar to that of the ‘G/G/G/G/G’ stack in the d(GGA)2 motif described above (35).

Recently, there are also reports about several unusual ActD/DNA binding motifs. For example, a crystallographic analysis of a complex structure between the ActD and DNA decamer d(CGATCGATCG) has resulted in an unexpected discovery of an ActD/5′-GATC-3′ binding motif that contains looped out AT dinucleotides (123). Another similar crystallographic study from the same group about the complex structure of ActD bound to the CTG triplet repeat has found that the ActD cyclic-pentapeptide lactone rings exhibit excellent contacts with the T-T mismatches to result with a stable ActD(TGCT)2 motif (124). Furthermore, a solution NMR study of ActD binding with a seemingly ss-DNA d(CGGTTTTTGGG) oligomer has resulted in a stable ActD(GT)2 mismatched motif with a TTT loop (125). These further examples of ActD binding with a variety of different DNA contexts demonstrate the great potential for ActD to interact with DNA in very flexible ways.

For more detailed reviews about the drug–DNA interactions with distorted canonical base pairs, see the review articles by Patel et al. (126), Yang and Wang (127) and Han and Gao (128).

CONCLUSION

As described in this review article, there are indeed several rather unusual DNA motifs that are fully compatible with the Watson–Crick base-paired duplex structure. Such motifs break the notion that DNA duplex is monotonous without much change in conformation, and offer novel recognition sites for ligands or proteins. These results also indicate that DNA duplex is flexible and is able to accommodate significant local distortions within a mainly canonically G-C/A-T base-paired duplex environment. However, deformation of DNA duplex structure can be even more dramatic in a global way. For example, barring the A-form DNA, or even the more drastic left-handed Z-form DNA (1,2), there have been reports that the two duplex strands can associate in a parallel way with reverse Watson–Crick base pairs (129–131) or with homo-purine mismatches (132,133), or associate in an anti-parallel way, yet with pure Hoogsteen base pairing (134). Although it is still unclear whether such idiosyncratic duplex structures have biological functions or not, it is certainly an interesting area deserving much attention.
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