The fission yeast TFIIIB-related factor limits RNA polymerase III to a TATA-dependent pathway of TBP recruitment
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ABSTRACT

The RNA polymerase (pol) III-transcribed (e.g. tRNA and 5S rRNA) genes of traditionally studied organisms rely on gene‐internal promoters that precisely position the initiation factor, TFIIIB, on the upstream promoter-less DNA. This is accomplished by the ability of the TFIIIB subunit, TFIIIB-related factor (Brf1), to make stable protein–protein interactions with TATA-binding protein (TBP) and place it on the promoter-less upstream DNA. Unlike traditional model organisms, Schizosaccharomyces pombe tRNA and 5S rRNA genes contain upstream TATA promoters that are required to program functional pol III initiation complexes. In this study we demonstrate that S.pombe (Sp)Brf does not form stable interactions with TBP in the absence of DNA using approaches that do reveal stable association of TBP and S.cerevisiae (Sc)Brf1. Gel mobility analyses demonstrate that a TBP–TATA DNA complex can recruit SpBrf to a Pol III promoter. Consistent with this, overproduction of SpBrf in S.pombe increases the expression of a TATA-dependent, but not a TATA-less, suppressor tRNA gene. Since previous whole genome analysis also revealed TATA elements upstream of tRNA genes in Arabidopsis, this pathway may be more widespread than appreciated previously.

INTRODUCTION

In eukaryotes, Pol I synthesizes large ribosomal RNA (35S-45S depending on the species), Pol II synthesizes mRNAs and some small nuclear (sn)RNAs (e.g. U1, U2), and Pol III synthesizes mostly tRNAs and 5S rRNA, as well as U6 snRNA and few other non-protein coding transcripts (1). Pols I, II and III all require TATA-binding protein (TBP) or a closely related protein for initiation even though some of the target genes do not contain the sequence-specific TBP-binding site, TATAAA (or closely related sequences, referred to as a TATA box or element) (2,3). In the absence of a TATA element, other factors bring TBP to the promoter via protein–protein interactions (reviewed in 4,5). A second highly conserved initiation factor, TFIIIB, exhibits very weak interactions with TBP in the absence of DNA, but recognizes the TBP–TATA DNA complex with substantially higher affinity (6,7). TFIIIB and TFIIIB-related factors (e.g. Brf1) are multifunctional proteins of central importance that interact with several other factors, help determine the start site of transcription, participate in promoter melting and select the appropriate Pol for transcription initiation (8–13). TFIIIB is used by Pol II, Brf1 is used by Pol III for tRNA and 5S rRNA (TATA-less) genes, and a distinct protein, Brf2, is used by Pol III for human U6 and related type 3 genes that contain TATA elements (14–16).

The classical Pol III promoters that characterize the hundreds-to-thousands of tRNA and 5S rRNA genes in the traditionally studied eukaryotes use internal (i.e. downstream of transcription start site) promoter elements to initiate the process of transcription complex assembly. The assembly factor TFIIIC binds to the tRNA internal control elements and initiates protein–protein interactions that result in the deposition of TBP onto the TATA-less upstream DNA (17–20). Thus, direct association with Brf1 allows TBP to bind the upstream TATA-less DNA, even if it is comprised of only G and C residues (16,20,21). In addition to the TATA-less pathway of TBP recruitment, this TBP–Brf1 arrangement can also support TFIIIC-independent transcription by Pol III, in vitro, via direct recruitment of TBP by the TATA elements of the single U6 gene and the four TATA-containing tRNA genes (of a total of 274 tRNA genes) that can be found in the Saccharomyces cerevisiae genome (22–24).

Characterization of the Pol III transcription system in the fission yeast S.pombe has revealed, among other things, that all of its Pol III-dependent genes appear to contain upstream TATA sequences as one element of their multipartite promoters (25–27, reviewed in 28). Upstream TATAs were shown to be required for functional tRNA expression in S.pombe and in vitro, and for 5S rRNA synthesis in vivo (27). It is important to note for the purposes of this discussion that although a small number of TATA-containing tRNA genes from traditional organisms are known to exist, those that have
been studied in great detail represent rare exceptions which in most cases characterize highly specialized tRNA genes (see discussion in 27). In contrast to this, all tRNA and 5S genes appear to contain upstream TATA elements in *S. pombe* (27). In the present study we examine the TBP-binding characteristics of SpBrf that are associated with the requirement for TATA elements in *S. pombe*.

Although a prior study demonstrated that SpBrf co-purified with SpPol III and SpTFIIC, while SpTBP did not, it did not assess the potential for interaction between TBP and Brf (27). Because this potential would appear to be an issue of central importance to the requirement for upstream TATA elements, we assessed the potential for interaction between TBP and Brf (27). It was examined in detail. Here we show that SpBrf and SpTBP do not stably associate in vitro nor are they found associated in extracts, as monitored by co-immunoprecipitation (IP), but that they can form a complex with TATA-containing DNA. The results indicate an innate insufficiency of SpBrf to bring TBP to the upstream DNA and that this represents a novel characteristic of the Pol III system in *S. pombe*. While similar insufficiency would be detrimental in organisms with TATA-less Pol III genes, in *S. pombe* this is balanced by the ubiquitous TATA elements that are precisely positioned upstream of the Pol III-transcribed genes in this yeast. Since a whole genome survey of Arabidopsis thaliana also revealed TATA elements upstream of its tRNA genes, the Pol III transcription complex assembly pathway described here may be more widespread in nature than appreciated previously, and also suggests that it may represent an ancient eukaryotic Pol III transcription system.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Yeast strains**

The yeast strains used are listed in Table 1. Suppression was monitored on plates containing EMM lacking uracil and containing 30 mg/l adenine, with or without thiamin (5 mg/l).

**Construction of a C-terminal 3HA and 6His tagging vector**

For epitope tagging of SpBrf, a vector designated p3HAHis was constructed using synthetic DNA 5'-pGATCCGTCGAC- CATCATCATCATCATCATCATGCTACCACTACCCAGCTC- CCAGACTACGTGCCATCCATTTGATGCCTGAT- TACGCGCCTATCCATACGATGTCGCCAGACTACGCT- TAGCCC (Lofstrand Labs Inc., Gaithersburg) containing sequence encoding six histidine residues (bold) and three repeats of the Influenza hemagglutinin (HA) epitope, YPYDVPDYA (underline) with 5' BamHI and SalI sites and a 3' Smal site cloned into the BamHI/Smal sites of pREP93X (29).

**Construction of a *S. pombe* strain expressing epitope-tagged brf1-6His-3HA (brf-HA)**

The chromosomal copy of *brf1* was replaced with epitope tagged *brf1-6His-3HA* by a one-step knock-in procedure (30). First, the 3'-flanking region of the *S. pombe* *brf* gene was amplified by PCR using primers 5'-GACTGAGTACCCACGTCGAT- GTAATATTAGTATCCATC and 5'-GACTGAGTACCC- TCT GCTCAATTCTATCCAGAAAGG containing *SalI* sites (underlined) and cloned into the *SalI* site of pBluescript II SK(+-)*Ura4* (a gift from T. Kobuko) to create pUraBrf. Secondly, a 3'-segment of the coding region of the *S. pombe* *brf1* gene (1074 bp) was PCR amplified from genomic DNA using primers 5'-GACTGACCATGGGTTCGGCGATATCG- TAAGACGTCT and 5'-GACTGAGTGCCAGCAGCTGTC- TGTGCAAAATAACAT and cloned into the *NcoI/SalI* sites of p3H AHis to create pHaBrf. A fragment containing the 3'-segment of *brf1*, the 6His-3HA tag, and the *nmt1* terminator was amplified from pHaBrf using primers 5'-GTCGCTC- TCTAGACTCTTTCTGACTTGTCG and 5'-GAG- TTAGACTC GAATTCGACGTCG and cloned into the XbaI/ EcoRI sites of pUraFl to create pCBraf.

For yeast transformation, a contiguous fragment containing the 3'-segment of *brf1*, the 6His-3HA sequence, the *nmt1* terminator, *ura4* and the 3'-flanking region of *brf1* was obtained by digestion of pCBraf with *BamHI* and *Xhol* followed by PCR using primers 5'-TCTGCTCAATTCTATCCAGA- AAGGAAAGG and 5'-GTTGCGCCGATATCGTCAAAA- TGTGCAAAATAACAT and cloned into the *NcoI/SalI* sites of p3H AHis to create pHaBrf. A fragment containing the 3'-segment of *brf1*, the 6His-3HA tag, and the *nmt1* terminator was amplified from pHaBrf using primers 5'-GTCGCTC- TCTAGACTCTTTCTGACTTGTCG and 5'-GAG- TTAGACTC GAATTCGACGTCG and cloned into the *XbaI/EcoRI* sites of pUraFl to create pCBraf.

**Purification of *S. pombe* TBP (SpTBP)**

Recombinant SpTB P containing a N-terminal 6His-tag was expressed and purified under native conditions using the pET system (Novagen) (26). Cells were grown to an OD of 0.6 in LB broth containing 25 µg/ml kanamycin at 25°C. SpTBP was then induced with IPTG at a final concentration of 0.5 mM and cells were harvested after 8 h at 25°C. Cells were suspended in Buffer A (25 mM HEPES–KOH, pH 7.6, 12.5 mM MgCl2, 10% glycerol, 0.05% Nonidet P-40, 100 mM KCl, 7 mM β-mercaptoethanol (β-ME), 0.1 mM phenyl-methylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF)), containing 200 µg/ml lysozyme, and sonicated five times for 45 s. The pellet was removed after centrifugation at 12,000 g for 30 min. The extract (20 ml, ~20 mg/ml) was loaded onto a 5 ml heparin HiTrap column (Amersham) equilibrated in Buffer A. After

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ySD5 (h+, ade6-704, leu1-32, ura4, his3-237)</td>
<td>Recipient of <em>Brf1-6His</em> allele</td>
<td>This report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yMW2 (h+, ade6-704, leu1-32, his3-237, ura4, Δbrf1::[brf1-6His-3HA-ura4+])</td>
<td>IP of SpBrf1-HA</td>
<td>This report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yAS78 (h+, ade6-704, leu1-32:[tRNA*Ser7T-leu1+], ura4+)</td>
<td>SpBrf1 overexpression</td>
<td>This report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yAS50 (h+, ade6-704, leu1-32, ura4+)</td>
<td>Control strain</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yTM2a (h+, ade6-704, leu1-32:[tRNA*Ser7T-leu1+], ura4+/pRep93X-spBrf1(ura4+))</td>
<td>Suppression +/- thiamin</td>
<td>This report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1. Schizosaccharomyces pombe* strains used in this study.
wash with Buffer A. SpTBP was eluted with a linear gradient of 0.2–0.6 M KCl in Buffer A and followed by western blotting. Fractions containing SpTBP were pooled and loaded onto a 0.5 ml Ni–NTA agarose (Qiagen) column equilibrated in Buffer A containing 10 mM imidazole. After washing with Buffer A containing 300 mM NaCl and 10 mM imidazole, SpTBP was eluted in Buffer A containing 150 mM imidazole and dialyzed into 25 mM HEPES–KOH, pH 7.6, 12.5 mM MgCl2, 10% glycerol, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT and 0.1 mM PMSF. The concentration of SpTBP was determined by SDS–PAGE using bovine serum albumin as a standard.

**Recombinant S. pombe Brf1p (SpBrf)**

Recombinant *S. pombe* Brf1p (SpBrf) was purified after expression as a C-terminal 6His-tagged protein under denaturing conditions using the pET system (Novagen), similar to that described for purification of ScBrf1 (31). A sense primer BRF1 (5′-CTAGGCGCCATGGGATGTCCC-AATTGGCGTT, NcoI) and antisense primer BRF2 (5′-GAGTCGAGTTCAGACTGCTCTCCTGCTAAATA, XhoI) were used to amplify *S. pombe* cDNA (26) and cloned into the NcoI/XhoI sites of pET28b (pET28b-Brf). Cells were suspended in Buffer B (20 mM Tris–Cl, pH 7.6, 500 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM PMSF, 7 mM β-ME and 10% glycerol) and sonicated five times for 45 s. Inclusion bodies were collected by centrifugation at 12 000 g for 30 min and solubilized in Buffer B containing 6 M guanidine–HCl for 1 h at 25°C. Insoluble material was removed by centrifugation at 18 000 r.p.m. for 30 min and the supernatant was incubated with 1 ml of Ni–NTA agarose beads for 1 h at 25°C. The beads were washed twice with Buffer B containing 10 mM imidazole and SpBrf was eluted with Buffer B containing 6 M urea and 300 mM imidazole and step dialyzed into Buffer D (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.6, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM PMSF, 1 mM DTT, 10% glycerol and 10 mM ZnSO4) containing 3, 1.5, 0.7 and then 0 M urea. SpBrf was quantitated by SDS–PAGE using bovine serum albumin as a protein standard.

**Schizosaccharomyces pombe pombe B** (SpBdp1) was expressed in bacteria and purified

A sense primer, SPB1UPN (TAGAATTCCATatgcaggg-tgtcctcaattaccagccg, NdeI) and an antisense primer SPB2UPN (GATCGGATCCattaaacctgtgcctgatcctcg, BamHI) were used for PCR amplification using a *S. pombe* cDNA library as template and cloned into the NdeI/BamHI sites of pET28a. SpBdp1 was expressed as an N-terminal 6His fusion protein and purified under native conditions. Cells were grown to an OD₉₀₀ of 0.6 in LB broth containing 25 µg/ml kanamycin at 25°C. Synthesis of SpBdp1 was induced with IPTG at a final concentration of 0.5 mM and cells were harvested after 8 h at 25°C. The pellet was suspended in Buffer A (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.9, 12.5 mM MgCl₂, 10% glycerol, 0.05% Nonidet P-40, 100 mM NaCl, 7 mM β-ME and 0.1 mM PMSF containing 200 µg/ml lysozyme, and sonicated for 45 s five times. The pellet was removed by centrifugation at 12 000 g for 30 min and the extract (20 ml, ~20 mg/ml) was loaded onto a 0.5 ml Ni–NTA agarose (Qiagen) column equilibrated in Buffer A containing 10 mM imidazole. The column was washed with Buffer A containing 300 mM NaCl and 10 mM imidazole. SpBdp1 was eluted with Buffer A containing 150 mM imidazole. Fractions containing SpBdp1 were pooled and dialyzed into 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.9, 12.5 mM MgCl₂, 10% glycerol, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT and 0.1 mM PMSF and loaded onto a 1 ml DEAE column (Amersham) equilibrated in Buffer A. The column was washed with Buffer A. SpBdp1 was eluted with a linear gradient from 0.1 to 1.0 M NaCl in buffer A. The fractions containing SpBdp1 were pooled and the concentration of SpBdp1 was determined by SDS–PAGE using bovine serum albumin as a standard.

**Schizosaccharomyces pombe** Pol III (SpPol III) was immunoaffinity purified as described previously (27). *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (sc)Pol III, as well as recombinant ScTBP, ScBrf1 and scb" (Bdp1), were kindly provided by G. Kassavetis and E. P. Geiduschek (UC, San Diego, CA).

**Co-immunoprecipitation**

*Schizosaccharomyces pombe* extracts at ~20 mg/ml were prepared from *yAS0* or *yMW2* as described (26). One milliliter of extract was incubated with 2 µl pre-immune serum, 2 µl anti-SpTBP, 2 µl affinity-purified anti-SpBrf or 20 µl agarose-immobilized mouse anti-HA monoclonal Ab (12CA5; Boehringer) for 1 h at 4°C. For co-IP with anti-SpTBP or anti-SpBrf, 20 µl of protein A–Sepharose beads (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) was subsequently added and the mixture was incubated for 1 h at 4°C. The beads were collected by centrifugation at 1000 g for 5 min and washed three times with 1 ml NET-2 (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5). Bound material was eluted with 20 µl of 1.5 × SDS loading buffer for 5 min at 94°C and analyzed by western blotting using affinity-purified anti-SpTBP Ab (1:1000 dilution), affinity-purified anti-SpBrf Ab (1:2000 dilution), anti-pTr6 serum (1:5000 dilution) and anti-pTAF130 serum (1:5000 dilution). Affinity-purified anti-SpBrf and anti-SpTBP were described previously (26,27). Anti-pTr6 and anti-pTAF130 sera were kindly provided by T. Kokubo (Nara Institute of Science and Technology, Japan). Blots were developed using the ECL system (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech).

**In vitro protein–protein interactions**

To monitor interactions between SpTBP and ScBrf1 or SpBrf, SpTBP (15 ng) was incubated with SpBrf or ScBrf1 (60 ng) in the presence of 1 µl of anti-SpTBP Ab in NET-2 buffer in a total volume of 100 ul for 1 h at 4°C. Twenty µl of protein A–Sepharose (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) was added and the mixture was incubated for 1 h at 4°C. The beads were washed three times with 1 ml NET-2. The bound proteins were eluted with 20 µl of 1.5 × SDS loading buffer for 5 min at 94°C. Inputs (1/13th volume) and eluates (1/8th volume) were analyzed by western blotting using affinity-purified anti-SpTBP Ab (1:2000 dilution) or mouse anti-His6 Ab (1:2000 dilution; Boehringer). For interactions between ScTBP and ScBrf1 or SpBrf, ScTBP (10 ng) was incubated with SpBrf or ScBrf1 (60 ng) in the presence of 1 ul of anti-ScTBP Ab (kindly provided by P. A. Weil, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN). Inputs (1/13th) and eluates (1/8th) were analyzed by western blotting using affinity-purified anti-SpTBP (1:10 000 dilution) or mouse anti-His6 Ab (1:2000 dilution; Boehringer).
**RESULTS**

SpTBP is not stably associated with SpBrf in extracts of *S. pombe*

It was demonstrated previously that while SpBrf was readily detected in association with immunofluorescence-purified SpPol III and spTFIIC complexes, SpTBP could not be detected in either complex (27). Moreover, the SpPol III complex also contained SpBdp1 (formerly known as spB”; 32), suggesting that SpTBP might not form stable association with SpBrf even if the other known component of TFIIB, Bdp1, was also present (27). As one approach to more closely examine the potential for interaction between SpTBP and SpBrf, we modified the *S. pombe* *brf1* gene to encode a SpBrf fusion protein with a C-terminal tag containing three HA epitopes, in a haploid strain designated yMW2. The structure of the integrated construct was confirmed by PCR and Southern blotting (data not shown), which indicated that the single copy of *brf1* was replaced by *brf1-HA* as summarized in Figure 1A. This *brf1* gene modification caused no appreciable difference in growth rate of yMW2 at 32 or 37°C as compared with the control strain, yAS50, in the appropriate growth conditions (not shown).

Extracts prepared from yMW2 and yAS50 were subjected to IP by anti-HA followed by western blotting using anti-HA, anti-SpBrf and anti-SpTBP for detection (Fig. 1B–D). The inputs (lanes 1 and 3) and IPs (lanes 2 and 4) were examined. Anti-HA detected a protein of the expected size in yMW2 (Fig. 1B, lane 3) but not yAS50 (lane 1), as expected. Anti-SpBrf recognized endogenous SpBrf in yAS50 (Fig. 1C, lane 1) and the slower migrating SpBrf-HA in yMW2 (lane 3). Most significantly, although SpTBP was readily detectable in yAS50 and yMW2, it was not co-immunoprecipitated with SpBrf-HA (Fig. 1D, lane 4).

It was possible that although SpBrf-HA supported normal growth, the HA tag might interfere with interaction with SpTBP. To address this, we performed co-IP using anti-SpBrf antibodies (Abs) and examined them for the presence of SpTBP (Fig. 2A and B). Anti-SpBrf specifically immunoprecipitated SpBrf and SpBrf-HA from yAS50 and yMW2 (Fig. 2A, lanes 3 and 6) while pre-immune serum did not (lanes 2 and 5). Again, while SpTBP was readily detectable in the extract (Fig. 2B, lanes 1 and 4), it was not co-immunoprecipitated with either SpBrf or SpBrf-HA (Fig. 2B, lanes 3 and 6).

In a reciprocal approach, we examined anti-SpTBP IPs for the presence of SpBrf and SpBrf-HA (Fig. 2C and D). Anti-SpTBP Abs immunoprecipitated SpTBP while pre-immune Abs did not (Fig. 2C, lanes 3 and 6). While SpBrf and SpBrf-HA were readily detectable in the input (Fig. 2D, lanes 1 and 4, respectively), neither was co-immunoprecipitated with SpTBP (Fig. 2D, lanes 3 and 6). Two previously characterized TAF130† referred to as pTR6 and TAF130 (33,34), were readily detectable in the anti-SpTBP co-IP as expected (Fig. 2E and F). This indicated that the deficiencies of SpBrf and SpBrf-HA to associate with SpTBP were not due to a general failure of the co-IP protocol. Moreover, since TAF130† are less abundant than Brf1 in some yeast (35), the lack of detectable SpBrf in the TBP co-IP would appear to be significant. These data demonstrate that TBP and Brf1 are not stably associated in extracts of *S. pombe*.

We also asked if TBP and Bdp1 are associated in *S. pombe* by reciprocal IP and western blotting. While the corresponding antisera efficiently precipitated the cognate polypeptide, in both cases the other protein was not detected in the IP (Fig. 2G and H). This suggested that TBP and Bdp1 are not stably associated in extracts of *S. pombe*.

Insufficiency to stably associate with SpTBP in the absence of DNA is inherent to SpBrf

Because the data suggested the possibility that Brf1 might be innately deficient in recognition of free TBP, we examined the potential for purified recombinant TBP and Brf1 proteins to interact *in vitro*. Protein mixtures were subjected to anti-TBP co-IP, and the inputs (In) and immunoprecipitated material were examined by western blotting. We included ScTBP and

---

**Figure 1.** (A) The *brf1-HA* locus in strain, yMW2, which expresses HA epitope-tagged SpBrf. (B–D) Extracts prepared from the control strain yAS50 and experimental strain yMW2 were subjected to IP with anti-HA agarose beads. Input extracts (in) and immunoprecipitates were analyzed by western blotting using the following antibodies (Abs) for detection: (B) anti-HA, (C) anti-SpBrf and (D) anti-SpTBP, as indicated on the right. Detected proteins are identified by the arrowheads on the left. The mobility of SpBrf-HA is slower than endogenous SpBrf due to three HA epitopes and a 6His tag.

---
ScBrf1 with each other as positive controls and with the reciprocal S. pombe proteins, to provide additional information. The experiments in Figure 3A and B differed only with regard to whether SpTBP or ScTBP (Fig. 3A and B, respectively) and the corresponding affinity-purified Abs for IP were used.

The most relevant finding in Figure 3A and B is that while ScBrf1 was co-immunoprecipitated with TBP (lanes 9), SpBrf was not (lanes 6). To confirm this and exclude the possibility that our SpBrf preparation might contain an inhibitor that would generally block TBP–Brf1 interaction, co-IP experiments were performed with SpBrf, ScBrf1 and TBP (Fig. 3A and B, lanes 10 and 11). ScBrf1 but not SpBrf could be co-immunoprecipitated with either SpTBP or ScTBP (Fig. 3A and B, lanes 10 and 11). This indicated that SpBrf competes poorly if at all for TBP binding and suggests that it is innately limited in the ability to stably associate with TBP. Consistent with the above results, we noted that a small amount of ScBrf1 was immunoprecipitated by anti-SpTBP in the absence of exogenous TBP (Fig. 3A, lane 8, upper panel). Upon close examination, this appeared to be due to the presence of TBP, as a contaminant, in the anti-SpTBP Ab preparation (Fig. 3A, lower panel, lane 8) that probably leached from the TBP-matrix during affinity purification of our anti-SpTBP Abs. However, it should be noted that while similar low level TBP was also present in lane 5 (Fig. 3A, lower panel), in this case, SpBrf was not co-immunoprecipitated (Fig. 3A, lane 5, upper panel). The cumulative data suggest that either ScTBP or SpTBP could associate with ScBrf1 but that neither could stably associate with SpBrf. Interaction between SpTBP and ScBrf1 was not unexpected since SpTBP could fully complement the null mutation in the ScTBP gene, SPT15, in S. cerevisiae (36). The data in Figure 3 supported the results obtained in S. pombe extract (Figs 1 and 2). We conclude that the inability to detect association between SpTBP and SpBrf reflects an innate insufficiency of Brf1 to stably interact with TBP in the absence of DNA.

Recognition of TBP–TATA DNA by SpBrf

To test the ability of SpBrf to associate with a TBP–TATA DNA complex, we performed EMSA with both ScBrf1 and SpBrf (Fig. 4A and B). TBP formed a complex with the TATA-containing probe (Fig. 4, filled arrowheads, lanes 2 that was competed by an excess of unlabeled TATA-containing DNA but not with DNA that contained mutations of the TATA sequence, demonstrating that the interaction was...
and produces an attenuated suppressor that results in partial tRNASerUGAM transcripts lead to increased suppression (25), red pigment (25). In this system, increased levels of ade6-704 by suppression of ade6-704 in S. pombe strain yAS78, which harbors the TATA-dependent allele, tRNASerUGAM-7T, and produces an attenuated suppressor that results in partial suppression (25). In this system, overproduction of SpBrf might lead to increased transcription of tRNA^{Ser}_{UGAM}-7T and suppression of yAS78. For this purpose, SpBrf DNA was cloned into the S. pombe expression vector, pRep93X, whose promoter is repressed by the addition of exogenous thiamin (29,39).

Transformation of yAS78 with pRep93X-SpBrf led to strain yTM2a (yYH1), which exhibited increased suppression in the absence of thiamin but not in the presence of thiamin (Fig. 5A). Concordant with this, western blotting demonstrated overproduction of SpBrfp in the absence of thiamin and repression in the presence of thiamin as expected (Fig. 5B). As a control for loading, we also probed the western blot for spLa protein (Fig. 5C). The results established that thiamin-sensitive overproduction of SpBrf was specific and that it could stimulate tRNA^{Ser}_{UGAM}-mediated suppression. Attempts to activate suppression by overproduction of SpBrfp in strains carrying a tRNA^{Ser}_{UGAM} gene with a mutated TATA sequence (GGATCC) (27) were unsuccessful (not shown). The results indicate that SpBrf stimulates tRNA expression from TATA-containing but not TATA-less tRNA^{Ser}_{UGAM} genes. In addition to demonstrating its Pol III-related activity, the results also indicate that the in vivo level of SpBrf may be a critical determinant of tRNA^{Ser}_{UGAM} expression in S. pombe.

**DISCUSSION**

A conclusion of this work is that SpBrf is innately insufficient to associate with TBP in the absence of DNA. Nonetheless SpBrf can be recruited to a TBP–TATA complex and function in TATA-dependent transcription by Pol III. These findings contribute significantly to our understanding of Pol III transcription in S. pombe as it can account for the need for the TATA sequences that appear upstream of all tRNA and 5S rRNA genes in this yeast (27). These results reflect a concerted diversion of two components of a bipartite transcription control mechanism in S. pombe, the cis-acting element (TATA) and the trans-acting factors that recognize it (TBP),

**Overproduction of SpBrf increases expression from a TATA-dependent tRNA gene in S. pombe**

We characterized previously a system to examine tRNA expression in S. pombe in which Pol III-mediated expression of the opal suppressor tRNA^{Ser}_{UGAM} gene can be monitored by suppression of ade6-704 and a resulting decrease in red pigment (25). In this system, increased levels of tRNA^{Ser}_{UGAM} transcripts lead to increased suppression (25), dependent on the TATA element located upstream of the tRNA^{Ser}_{UGAM} gene (27). For the present study, we developed a modified version of this suppression system to serve as a reporter for SpBrf function. We developed strain yAS78, which harbors the TATA-dependent allele, tRNA^{Ser}_{UGAM}-7T, and produces an attenuated suppressor that results in partial suppression (25). In this system, overproduction of SpBrf might lead to increased transcription of tRNA^{Ser}_{UGAM}-7T and suppression of yAS78. For this purpose, SpBrf DNA was cloned into the S. pombe expression vector, pRep93X, whose promoter is repressed by the addition of exogenous thiamin (29,39).
that distinguish it from other model systems. That the upstream TATA elements appear to functionally compensate for the inability of SpBrf to associate with TBP in S. pombe provides a natural species-wide illustration of the compensatory function of cis elements and trans-acting factors in Pol III transcription initiation. In S. cerevisiae, the vast majority of rRNA and SS rRNA genes do not contain TATA elements, and an important function of Brf1 in this organism, that is lacking in S. pombe, is to bring TBP to the upstream DNA (16). From these observations it seems reasonable to infer that differences in the ability to associate with TBP in the absence of DNA is a species-specific characteristic of Brf1 that primarily reflects a compensatory mechanism to accommodate the Pol III promoters in these yeasts.

It is interesting to note that historically, TATA elements were first found upstream of mRNA genes and considered as hallmarks of Pol II transcription. Recognition that U6 snRNA transcription required an upstream promoter established a role for TATA elements in Pol III transcription and led to the realization that all three eukaryotic Pols require TBP for initiation. Since an upstream TATA was shown to function in rRNA synthesis by Pol I in S. pombe (27), it would seem to appear that all Pol I and Pol III-transcribed genes are TATA-containing in this yeast, as are most Pol II-transcribed genes. Therefore, it is noteworthy that the only known TATA-less promoters in fission yeast are those encoding (a subset of) mRNAs (40).

The expression level of SpBrf is a critical determinant of TATA-dependent tRNA Ser (UAG) transcription in S. pombe

Overproduction of plasmid-encoded SpBrf led to increased suppression of ade6-704 by the suppressor gene, tRNA Ser (UAG) (Fig. 5). Overproduction of SpBrf could not stimulate suppression from a tRNA Ser (UAG) gene whose upstream TATA element had been altered by site-directed mutagenesis. In addition to providing evidence that our SpBrf construct is active, the results also indicate that the in vivo level of SpBrf may be a critical determinant of tRNA Ser (UAG) transcription in vivo. We note that in this regard SpBrf may be similar to ScBrf1 (41).

We wish to emphasize that while our results fail to show SpBrf–TBP interaction in vitro, and in dilute extracts, the possibility that these proteins may interact in the absence of DNA in vivo cannot be excluded. However, in this regard we note that the SpBrf overexpression studies indicate that although the intranuclear concentration of SpBrf is increased sufficiently to stimulate the TATA-containing tRNA suppressor gene, the TATA-less tRNA gene remains inactive. These results suggest that SpBrf cannot recruit TBP to the TATA-less promoter even when overexpressed.

Species-specificity and evolutionary divergence of SpBrf

The Brf1 proteins of human, S. cerevisiae and S. pombe are most homologous in their TFIIIB-related N-terminal domains, and are less conserved in their C-terminal domains (28). The N-terminal domain of Brf1 is homologous to the TFIIIB core region, containing a predicted zinc-ribbon and two TFIIB repeats, while the C-terminal half is Brf1-specific, containing three conserved tracts referred to as Brf-homologous regions 1–3 that are not present in TFIIIB (42–46). While both halves of ScBrf1 interact with TBP–DNA, the C-terminal domain, especially Brf-homologous region 2, constitutes principal ScTBP interaction sites and is important for TFIIIB assembly (31,46). The C-terminal one-third of SpBrf is shorter than it is in ScBrf1 and HsBrf1, by 96 and 175 amino acids, respectively (29). The previously noted sequence tracts adjacent to the Brf-homology regions that are lacking in SpBrf relative to ScBrf1 and HsBrf1 (29) are likely to contribute to the species-specific interactions of Brf1 with TBP in the absence of DNA.

It has been noted that TFIIB forms a complex with TBP–DNA even though it only weakly associates with TBP in the absence of DNA (47,48). Multiple variables, including sequences surrounding the TATA sequence as well as species-specific regions of TBP, can determine the nature of the TFIIIB–TBP–TATA interaction (49–51). As noted in the Results section above, the Brf-specific region of SpBrf is important for stable association with a TBP–TATA DNA complex since truncation of SpBrf beyond amino acids 383 abrogates the association. More detailed future analyses may reveal specific regions of SpBrf that are important for the recognition of TBP–TATA DNA.

It is noteworthy that although ScBrf1 can interact with SpTBP, data not shown indicate that its overproduction does not lead to increased tRNA expression in our suppression assay. Rather, ScBrf1 produced a dominant negative effect on suppression (not shown), perhaps because it squelches SpTBP. The results suggest that although ScBrf1 can interact with SpTBP, it cannot function with the Pol III machinery in S. pombe and suggests that the species-specific activities of Brf1 extend beyond its ability to interact with TBP.

It should be emphasized that this work focused primarily on one function of Brf1, its potential for TBP recruitment, and not other functions in Pol III transcription (16). In this regard we note that since SpBrf is found associated with TFIIIC in S. pombe, it probably also functions to bridge TBP and TFIIIC, similar to S. cerevisiae, to provide direction to Pol III initiation (26).

Compensatory divergence of Pol III promoters and their trans-acting factors

As alluded to above, the variety of Pol III promoters in S. cerevisiae appears more complex than in S. pombe since the former contains both TATA-less (most) and TATA-containing (i.e. U6 and four tRNA) Pol III-dependent genes. While in S. cerevisiae, both TATA-less and TATA-containing promoters are accommodated by a single TFIIIB entity, the situation is more complex in higher eukaryotes. Most tRNA genes in Drosophila melanogaster are TATA-less (27,52) and TBP-related factor (TRF), rather than TBP, directs transcription of TATA-less tRNA genes by Pol III (53). In this case, TRF stably associates with Brf1 (53). TBP-dependent tRNA transcription has also been observed in D. melanogaster (54,55), but for an unusual, TATA-containing tRNA gene (see discussion in 27). In the human Pol III system Brf1 and Brf2 are differentially used at the TATA-less and TATA-containing promoters represented by tRNA and U6 promoters, respectively (14,15). Thus, different mechanisms of compensation balance the cis-acting elements with the trans-acting factors to accommodate TATA-less Pol III transcription in various eukaryotes. In so far as one can assume that a TATA-dependent transcription system was established before the
divergence of archaeal and eucaryal lineages (3,6,56,57), S. pombe may reflect a simplified eucaryal system that, for some reason, retained its TATA elements and therefore did not develop a TATA-less system of Pol III transcription and the need for a TBP-recruitment function of Brf.
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