Investigations on DNA intercalation and surface binding by SYBR Green I, its structure determination and methodological implications
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ABSTRACT

The detection of double-stranded (ds) DNA by SYBR Green I (SG) is important in many molecular biology methods including gel electrophoresis, dsDNA quantification in solution and real-time PCR. Biophysical studies at defined dye/base pair ratios (dbprs) were used to determine the structure–property relationships that affect methods applying SG. These studies revealed the occurrence of intercalation, followed by surface binding at dbprs above ~0.15. Only the latter led to a significant increase in fluorescence. Studies with poly(dA)·poly(dT) and poly(dG)·poly(dC) homopolymers showed sequence-specific binding of SG. Also, salts had a marked impact on SG fluorescence. We also noted binding of SG to single-stranded (ss) DNA, although SG/ssDNA fluorescence was at least ~11-fold lower than with dsDNA. To perform these studies, we determined the structure of SG by mass spectrometry and NMR analysis to be [2-N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N-propylamino]-4-[2,3-dihydro-3-methyl-(benzo-1,3-thiazol-2-yl)-methylidene]-1-phenyl-quinolinium]1. For comparison, the structure of PicoGreen (PG) was also determined and is [2-[N-bis-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-amino]-4-[2,3-dihydro-3-methyl-(benzo-1,3-thiazol-2-yl)-methylidene]-1-phenyl-quinolinium]1. These structure–property relationships help in the design of methods that use SG, in particular dsDNA quantification in solution and real-time PCR.

INTRODUCTION

The detection of nucleic acids by fluorescent dyes such as SYBR Green I (SG) has become increasingly important for a variety of analytic and diagnostic applications (1). Since its introduction in the early 1990s (2), SG has been applied successfully in the detection of nucleic acids in gels (3–6), in solution (7,8), in the determination of DNase or telomerase activities (9,10), in fluorescence imaging techniques (11), in flow cytometry (12,13), in real-time PCR (14–17), in biochip applications (18), and more recently, in the demanding quantification of dsDNA in crude extracts of environmental samples that is usually hampered by a variety of quenching processes (19,20).

The wide spectrum of applications of SG and its overall convincing performance are due to its excellent properties. These include favorable photophysical properties, temperature stability, selectivity for dsDNA and high sensitivity (1,2). Furthermore, SG assays for the quantification of dsDNA in solution can be optimized to result in easy, robust and reliable one-step procedures that display a dynamic linear range of up to four orders of magnitude using a single dye solution (7,19).

Despite the excellent performance and significant impact of SG in analytic and diagnostic applications, studies on the structure–property relationships have not yet been performed in detail. The alterations in fluorescence emission of free and dsDNA-bound SG and the underlying mechanisms depend on the structure and binding mode of SG. Initially, the biphase dependence of increasing guanidinium hydrochloride on SG/dsDNA complex fluorescence intensities (7) led to the notion that binding of SG to dsDNA may be described by at least two binding modes, intercalation and external binding (1), which was confirmed by subsequent studies (16,19). But this notion has not been proven yet. The dependence of the binding events on the dye/base pair ratios (dbpr) in conjunction with other parameters is also not known. However, this can significantly influence the performance of nucleic acids testing and the design of new methods (21). The structure of SG and its concentration in the commercial reagent have not been previously published, although some information may be deduced from the literature. According to the United States patent 5658751 (22) the core structure of SG is based on a monomeric unsymmetrical cyanine dye comprising an N-alkylated benzo-thiazolium or benzoazolium ring system, which is linked by a monomethine bridge to a pyridinium or quinolinium ring system that carries a substituent with a heteroatom (1).

We have therefore determined the structure of SG, and its concentration within the commercially available reagent. With this knowledge we measured the binding of SG to different nucleic acids at defined dbprs. Also, absorption and fluorescence studies, gel electrophoresis and hydrodynamic
measurements were performed. As initial studies indicated that SG and PG display comparable photophysical and DNA binding properties (7), the structure of PG was also resolved and fluorimetric titration experiments were performed to compare the results for both stains. Finally, the implications of the results on the use of SG are discussed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Ethidium bromide (EtBr), Hoechst 33258 (H 33258), PG and SG were purchased from Molecular Probes (Leiden, Netherlands), highly polymerized calf thymus (ct)DNA from Sigma-Aldrich (Deisenhofen, Germany) and the homopolymers poly(dA)·poly(dT) (dA·dT) and poly(dG)·poly(dC) (dG·dC) were from Amersham Pharma Biotech (Freiburg, Germany). DNA from bacteriophage lambda (λDNA) was obtained from Roche Diagnostics (Mannheim, Germany). The 10 bp DNA ladder was from Life Technologies (Karlsruhe, Germany). Miscellaneous chemicals and reagents were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), Carl Roth (Karlsruhe, Germany) and Life Technologies (Karlsruhe, Germany) and were of the highest analytical grade available.

Methods

Commercially available SG reagent is dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (2). For NMR and mass spectrometry fast atom bombardment (MS-FAB) experiments DMSO was removed by freeze drying. NMR experiments were carried out on a Bruker AC-250 spectrometer from Bruker Daltonik GmbH (Bremen, Germany) after dissolving the freeze-dried SG reagent in methanol-d4 with tetramethylsilane as internal standard. For determination of the accurate molecular mass of SG, the lyophilized SG reagent was dissolved in m-nitrobenzylalcohol and MS-FAB measurements were performed on a Finnigan MAT 95 mass spectrometer. To investigate fragmentation of the SG molecule and basic reactions of SG in aquatic solution the SG reagent was diluted 50-fold in water. MS and MS/MS spectra were recorded on Finnigan LCQ Deca mass spectrometer from Thermosquest (Egelsbach, Germany) with electrospray ionization. For structure analysis of PG, the PG reagent was diluted in DMSO and analyzed by MS/MS studies. The sum of anions and cations within the commercially available SG reagent was determined by using the ion-chromatograph DX100 from Dionex (Idstein, Germany) equipped with the column AS14 or AS11 (Dionex).

Solutions of dsDNA were prepared with 10 mM tris(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane (Tris), pH 7.5, containing 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) (TE buffer), dA·dT and dG·dC stock solutions were prepared in buffer containing 50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA and 100 mM NaCl (TES buffer). For fluorescence measurements, dA·dT and dG·dC stock solutions were diluted in TE buffer. The concentration and purity of the stock solutions were determined by measuring the absorbance at 260 and 280 nm (23). ctDNA was solubilized by mild sonication (19). Analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis showed no profuse fragmentation.

Escherichia coli DH5α containing the plasmid pACYC184 (New England BioLabs, Beverly, USA) was cultured according to Sambrook et al. (23) and used as a pACYC184 source. The plasmid was purified by applying the Qiaprep Spin Miniprep kit from Qiagen (Hilden, Germany). Linearization of pACYC184 was performed by EcoRI restriction endonuclease (Promega, Mannheim, Germany) digestion according to the supplier’s protocol and purified by applying the QIAquick PCR purification kit from Qiagen. For the preparation of ssDNA, an aliquot of EcoRI-digested pACYC184 was diluted with 20 mM Tris, pH 9.0, and denatured by heating (95°C, 3 min) according to Porter et al. (24). The sample was transferred into an ice/water bath after incubation. Complete denaturation was checked by gel electrophoresis. Another aliquot of EcoRI-digested pACYC184 that was not heat-denatured was used in the same buffer as control. Human embryonal kidney 293 T cells (25) were grown overnight in serum-derived medium RPMI-1640 from Invitrogen Life Technologies (Karlsruhe, Germany) and used as a source for total RNA. Isolation of total RNA was performed with the E.Z.N.A. total RNA kit from Peqlab (Erlangen, Germany).

The double-beam spectrophotometer Specord 200 from Analytik Jena (Jena, Germany), the Lambda2 spectrophotometer from Perkin Elmer (Überlingen, Germany; now Applied Biosystems) and the SpectraMax Plus384 plate reader from Molecular Devices (Ismaning, Germany) were used for spectrophotometric measurements. UV/VIS absorption spectra were carried out using plastic cuvettes from Brand (Wertheim, Germany) and UV microplates from Greiner Labortechnik (Frickenhausen, Germany). Interaction studies were performed by differential spectrophotometry as described in (26,27).

Spectrofluorimetric investigations were carried out with the Fluoromax 3 from Jobin Yvon (Grasbrunn, Germany), the Spectrafluor Plus from Tecan (Männedorf, Germany) and the Spectramax Gemini XS from Molecular Devices. Unless stated otherwise, excitation and emission wavelengths of 485 and 524 nm, respectively, were used for experiments with SG and PG (7,28). H 33258 was excited at 360 nm and fluorescence emission was registered at 465 nm (20).

In the present paper, the units dye/base pair ratio or dye/base ratio (dbr) are defined, respectively, as moles of dye per mole of DNA base pair or base. Investigations were carried out at room temperature with the solutions protected from light and incubated for ~10 min before measurements were started (7).

Rod-like dsDNA for use in viscometry experiments was prepared by sonication of ctDNA in TE buffer pH 7.5. To avoid the presence of ssDNA due to the sonication procedure, the sample was heated to 95°C and renatured by a slowly decreasing temperature gradient. Fragmentation of the ctDNA was confirmed by gel electrophoresis. ctDNA fragment size was between 100 and 600 bp. Viscosity measurements were performed using an Ostwald-type viscometer (0.35 mm diameter of the capillary) at 21°C (29) and applying 0.5 mM rod-like ctDNA in TE buffer pH 7.5 with or without dye, i.e. EtBr, H 33258 or SG. dbprs of 0.1 were used.

Agarose (1%, w/v) gel electrophoresis was performed in 20 mM Tris–acetate, 2 mM EDTA, pH 8.2 (TAE). Experiments were carried out under light protection. DNA samples were incubated for ~10 min with SG before loading the gels. When
necessary, gels were stained with EtBr (0.5 μg/ml TAE buffer) for 0.5 h and destained for 1 h in TAE buffer to check whether alterations in SG fluorescence intensities were due to inadequate loading of the gel or based on the dbps applied. Imaging was carried out with the IDA Gel Documentation System and the AIDA version 2.0 software from Raytest Isotopengerätebau (Straubenhardt, Germany). Fluorescence excitation was performed at 312 nm. Fluorescence emission was detected using an EtBr or a SG gel stain photographic filter purchased from Molecular Probes.

RESULTS

Determination of the structure of SG and PG

To permit investigations on the binding mode of SG to dsDNA and its implications for methodological applications, it was essential to analyze the structure of SG by MS, MS/MS and NMR, and determine the concentration of SG within the commercially available SG reagent by ion chromatography. MS-FAB analysis of the lyophilized SG reagent revealed a parent peak (M+*) with a mass/charge (m/z) ratio of 509.273 ± 0.005 a.m.u. (data not shown), which corresponds to the molecular formula C25H27N4S (calculated molecular mass: 509.2739 g/mol). Other peaks, correlated to the putative structure of SG, showed only minor intensities, e.g. m/z ratios of 424.2 and 255.1. The structure was further analyzed by additional MS and MS/MS studies after diluting the SG reagent 50-fold in water. Here, protolytic reactions, displayed by signals at m/z 509.3 (detected m/z = 509.3) and 509.273 (100%; M+*), 367.4 (54%); MS/MS of m/z ratio = 509.3 (detected m/z range = 200 – 520): 509.3 (100%; M+*), 367.4 (54%); MS/MS of m/z ratio = 424.3 (detected m/z range = 115 – 520): 424.3 (25%), 409.4 (100%), 276.1 (51%), 233.3 (9%); MS/MS of m/z ratio = 255.3 (detected m/z range = 70 – 520): 424.3 (100%), 184.2 (34%), 86.1 (15%).

In addition, the structure of SG was investigated by NMR experiments, which gave the following 1H and 13C spectra (chemical shift values given in p.p.m.):

1H: 8.55–7.16 (m, 14H), 6.83 (s, 1H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 3.30 (t, 2H), 3.24 (t, 2H), 2.31 (t, 2H), 2.26 (s, 6H), 1.59 (q, 2H), 1.39 (q, 2H), 0.85 (t, 3H);
13C: 160.79 (C), 160.03 (C), 151.48 (C), 142.36 (C), 142.25 (C), 140.65 (C), 133.68 (CH), 2x 131.97 (CH), 131.53 (CH), 2x 131.01 (CH), 129.45 (CH), 127.15 (CH), 125.97 (CH), 125.56 (CH), 125.31 (C), 123.81 (CH), 123.50 (C), 120.17 (CH), 113.37 (CH), 104.06 (CH), 88.35 (CH), 57.74 (CH2), 56.14 (CH2), 51.89 (CH3), 2x 45.35 (CH3), 33.93 (CH3), 25.93 (CH2), 21.45 (CH3), 11.76 (CH3).

Based on these data, the structure of SG was determined to be [2-[N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N-propylamino]-4-[2,3-dihydro-3-methyl-(benzo-1,3-thiazol-2-yl)-methylidene]-1-phenyl-quinolinium]+ as shown in Table 1. As preliminary studies indicated that SG and PG show similar photophysical and DNA binding properties (7), the structure of PG was elucidated for comparison. PG reagent was diluted 100-fold in DMSO and analyzed by MS/MS. The following m/z ratios were detected:

MS (full scan, detected m/z range = 100 – 1000): 552.5 (100%; M+*), 467.5 (4%), 410.5 (7%), 367.5 (8%); MS/MS of m/z ratio = 552.5 (detected m/z range = 150 – 600): 552.5 (100%; M+*), 367.5 (47%).

As indicated by the MS/MS experiments, the parent peaks of SG and PG showed the same fragment ions with a m/z ratio of 367.5. For SG, this fragment was assigned to [2,3-dihydro-3-methyl-(benzo-1,3-thiazol-2-yl)-methylidene]-1-phenyl-quinolinium]+ as determined by MS and NMR studies. The structure of PG [2-[N-bis-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-amino]-4-[2,3-dihydro-3-methyl-(benzo-1,3-thiazol-2-yl)-methylidene]-1-phenyl-quinolinium]+ was evaluated by MS/MS experiments.

Table 1. Chemical structures of monomeric cyanine dyes based on the same core structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dye</th>
<th>R&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>R&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TO</td>
<td>CH&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-PRO-1</td>
<td>(CH&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;)&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;N'&lt;(CH&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;)&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUN-1</td>
<td>Phenyl</td>
<td>Cl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG</td>
<td>Phenyl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The structure of SG [2-[N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N-propylamino]-4-[2,3-dihydro-3-methyl-(benzo-1,3-thiazol-2-yl)-methylidene]-1-phenyl-quinolinium]+ and that of PG [2-[N-bis-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-amino]-4-[2,3-dihydro-3-methyl-(benzo-1,3-thiazol-2-yl)-methylidene]-1-phenyl-quinolinium]+ are illustrated in Table 1.
contrary, in the MS-FAB spectrum a peak of minor intensity below ~2% was determined at the m/z ratio of 255.3.

**Determination of the SG concentration and the molar absorption coefficient**

As the data of the MS-FAB spectrum showed that SG was dominantly present in the single-charged form within the SG reagent, the molar concentration of SG within the reagent was determined by analyzing the sum of anions and cations by ion chromatography. Calculated as charge equivalents, the analysis revealed ~14 mM chloride, ~1.4 mM nitrate and ~3.4 mM sulfate. Other anions, in particular typical dye counter anions like iodide and perchlorate, could not be detected. As for the cations, only trace amounts of sodium ions, 0.3 mM, were found. In sum, this led to a net normality of negative ions of at least 18.5 mN, which corresponded to a concentration of SG within the reagent of at least 9.4 mg/ml. Because of manufacturing reasons, one can easily conclude that the actual concentration is very likely to be ~10 mg/ml, a common concentration of stock solutions of various dyes, including EtBr, H 33258, H 33342 and acridine orange (2). Thus, the SG reagent is likely to be a DMSO stock solution of 10 mg/ml SG, which corresponds to a molar concentration of ~19.6 mM. Accordingly, the molar absorption coefficient of SG at the absorption maximum at 494 nm was determined to be ~73 000 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$ in TE buffer pH 7.5.

**Spectrophotometric investigations of SG in complex with dsDNA**

Based on these results, absorption spectra of dsDNA-bound SG were recorded at defined dbprs to investigate the binding mode of SG to dsDNA (data not shown). TE buffer pH 7.5 containing 5.6 μM SG was incubated with increasing λDNA concentrations up to 22.4 μM bp. The overlay of the spectra revealed an isosbestic point at ~408 nm. The visible absorption band of unbound SG at ~494 nm was influenced in position, magnitude and shape upon binding to dsDNA (batho- and hypochromic shifts depending on the dbpr). With respect to the absorption maximum of the free dye, binding of SG to dsDNA resulted in a slight increase in absorption maxima of ~0.5% at low dbprs of ~0.1 and 0.4. In contrast, higher dbprs of 1 and 4 revealed significant decreases in intensity of ~4 and ~16%, respectively.

As the interaction between SG and dsDNA influenced particularly the visible absorption band of SG, the interaction was analyzed in detail by differential absorption spectroscopy as described elsewhere (26,27). For differential spectroscopic studies at 494 nm equal volumes of SG solutions were added successively to a reference containing only TE buffer pH 7.5 and to the sample cuvette containing 15 μM bp of λDNA in TE buffer pH 7.5.

The studies displayed a dependence with three distinct areas (data not shown). First, differential absorption values increased with rising dbprs up to a maximum between dbprs of 0.1 and 0.15. Second, the titration curve decreased and reached a minimum at a dbpr of ~2.5. Third, a further increase in the dbpr resulted in rising differential absorption values that started to approach a maximum at a dbpr of ~10. Precipitation of SG/dsDNA complexes, probably due to high dye and DNA concentrations, prevented investigations at dbprs >12. In the range of these high dbprs, a fourth area of the dependence, the saturation area, began to show up (data not shown).

**Fluorescence intensity of SG in complex with dsDNA**

The dependence of the fluorescence intensities mirrored the dependence of the absorption values to a certain extent. Three distinct areas are displayed in Figure 1. First, up to a dbpr of ~0.15 only a minor increase in fluorescence was observed. Second, between dbprs of ~0.15 and ~2 an abrupt increase in fluorescence occurred. Third, around a dbpr of 2.5 the steep increase in fluorescence intensities of the second phase entered a less steep increase until above dbprs of 3. A maximum was approached at a dbpr of ~10. Then fluorescence intensities were more or less constant up to dbprs of ~100. When a dbpr of 1000 was applied, fluorescence intensity decreased to ~24% of that at a dbpr of 10 (latter data not shown). In contrast to differential absorption spectroscopy, higher dbprs could be investigated without precipitation of SG/dsDNA complexes due to much lower concentrations of SG and DNA applied (see experimental settings in Figure 1).

The fluorescence intensities of λDNA, ctDNA, EcoRI-digested pACYC184, 10 bp DNA ladder at dbprs >0.15 were significantly different (data not shown). Setting the fluorescence intensity of the SG/λDNA complex at a dbpr of 10–100%, its fluorescence intensity at a dbpr of 4 was 93%. Fluorescence intensities of SG/EcoRI-digested pACYC184 complexes were 88 and 99% at dbprs of 4 and 10, respectively. Fluorescence intensities of SG/ctDNA complexes were 85 and 84%, respectively and for the 10 bp DNA ladder fluorescence intensities of 45 and 48% at dbprs of 4 and 10 were observed, respectively. For SG in complex with total RNA, fluorescence intensities were only 14 and 16% at the dbprs of 4 and 10, respectively.

Despite the discrepancies between different dsDNAs with respect to the dependences of the fluorescence intensities on the dbprs, fluorescence emission spectra were similar (data not shown). Fluorescence emission spectra recorded at dbprs of 0.4, 1.0 and 4.0 displayed the characteristic fluorescence emission band of the SG/dsDNA complex with an emission...
maximum at ~524 nm. The emission maximum was not shifted bathochromically within dbprs of 0.4 and 10 to a significant extent (data not shown).

Electrophoretic mobility of the SG/dsDNA complex

Electrophoretic gel mobility shift assays were performed to characterize the binding of SG to dsDNA in more detail, because they provide information on the electrophoretic stability, mobility and fluorescence intensities of the SG/dsDNA complexes at once (19).

A reduction of the electrophoretic mobility of dsDNA (EcoRI-digested pACYC184) was observed upon an increase of the dbpr of pre-stained dsDNA samples up to a dbpr of ~1 (data not shown). Of note, at low dbprs the bands were sharp, but started to become diffuse and less intense at dbprs above ~0.5, which may indicate partially denatured or degraded DNA, eventually by SG, undetectable sample components at low dbprs and/or an inhomogeneous distribution of SG molecules bound to dsDNA (data not shown).

DNA degradation may be based on photocleavage (30). Photocleavage of DNA by the cyanine dyes YO, YOYO and TOTO has been shown to mainly occur via single-strand cleavages (30), which is rather unlikely to lead to the observed diffuse bands. On the other hand, photocleavage mechanisms have been reported to be dependent on the dbpr, and single-strand breaks may accumulate to eventually produce double-strand cleavages (30) that may account for diffuse bands. However, as we performed electrophoretic mobility studies under light protection, one may hypothesize that DNA degradation may rather have occurred via backbone cleavage due to a nucleophilic attack of basic residues of SG, e.g. by SG’s exocyclic secondary amine group.

In addition to DNA degradation, inhomogeneous distribution of SG molecules bound to dsDNA may account for the observation too. This scenario could explain why the mobility of diffuse bands at dbprs between 1.0 and 10 revealed the same front line. A closer look at the effects at low dbprs, showed that a significant increase in fluorescence intensity was observed when the dbpr reached a value between 0.13 and 0.17 (data not shown). Further studies that are beyond the scope of this work showed that at low dbprs and/or an inhomogeneous distribution of SG molecules bound to dsDNA (data not shown).

Hydrodynamic studies of SG/dsDNA solutions

To determine whether intercalation occurred, hydrodynamic studies were performed. Intercalation of an agent into dsDNA leads to lengthening of the double helix. The increase in length of rod-like dsDNA ($L$) can be detected by hydrodynamic methods, e.g. viscosity measurements (31). In such measurements, viscosity is proportional to $L^3$, and the relative viscosities in the presence or absence of an agent are calculated according to

$$\eta = \frac{(t - t_0)/t_0.}{1.}$$

where $t_0$ is the measured flow time of the buffer and $t$ is the observed flow time of the DNA solution (31). Generally, viscosity values are displayed as $(\eta/\eta_0)^{1/3}$. $\eta_0$ and $\eta$ indicate the relative viscosities of the dsDNA solution in the absence and presence of the dye, respectively. Hydrodynamic studies performed with 0.5 mM bp of rod-like ctDNA, revealed $(\eta/\eta_0)^{1/3}$ values of $1.03 \pm 0.03$, $0.96 \pm 0.07$, $1.15 \pm 0.08$ and $1.13 \pm 0.06$, respectively, for uncomplexed rod-like ctDNA and at dbprs of 0.1 for the minor groove binding dye H 33258, the intercalator EtBr and for SG. As expected, the minor groove binding dye H 33258 did not increase relative viscosity. However, a significant increase of relative viscosity was observed when the intercalator EtBr was applied. The same was true for SG. This did clearly indicate an intercalative binding mode of SG at low dbprs.

Investigations on the surface binding of SG to dsDNA

After the hydrodynamic studies revealed intercalation of SG into dsDNA at low dbprs the binding mode of SG at higher dbprs was investigated in more detail. As agents which interact non-covalently with dsDNA mainly bind through intercalation or groove binding, in particular minor groove binding (32), and as monomeric cyanine dyes like TO (Table 1) and YO with structure homologies to SG were shown to express DNA surface binding at high dbprs (33,34), it appeared likely that SG binding at high dbpr was based on minor groove binding as well.

To determine whether minor groove binding may occur, differential absorption and fluorimetric studies were performed with dA·dT and dG·dC homopolymers and compared to λDNA (Figure 2). These homopolymers were chosen because their minor grooves differ in the electrostatic potential and because of their ability to form hydrogen bonds with a bound ligand and the steric aspects that influence the binding of a dye (32). Therefore, surface binders, in particular minor groove binders, generally express a marked sequence specificity.

The interaction analysis of SG with λDNA, dA·dT and dG·dC homopolymers performed by differential absorption spectroscopy at 494 nm revealed similar dependences at dbprs up to ~0.1 (Figure 2A). Marked changes became obvious at higher dbprs (Figure 2A). In the experimental settings, TES buffer, pH 7.5, was applied. In contrast to the outcome with TE buffer, pH 7.5, comparable alterations of differential absorption values occurred at higher dbprs. This spread of data facilitated the analysis of changes. The data are spread, because salts (TES buffer contains 100 mM NaCl) reduced the affinity of SG to dsDNA (see below, Figure 3). Also, the application of TES buffer was recommended by the supplier of the homopolymers.

In agreement with the photometric studies, the overall characteristics of the dependences of fluorescence intensities of SG in complex with λDNA, dA·dT and dG·dC homopolymers with increasing dbprs were similar, but differences in the magnitudes were observed (Figure 2B). Dependences were similar up to dbprs of ~0.2 (Figure 2B). Significant changes were observed at dbprs above ~0.5. At a dbpr of 4, dA·dT- and dG·dC-bound SG reached ~90 and ~40% of the fluorescence of the SG/λDNA complex, respectively. Of note, at dbprs above ~2, the fluorescence intensities of the SG/dG·dC complexes decreased slightly with increasing dbprs (Figure 2B), which may be due to collisional quenching (19). Maximal fluorescence intensity was detected at a dbpr of 2. Fluorescence intensities were 0.9-fold lower at a dbpr of 10.
The data show that SG exhibits sequence-specific binding, in particular at dbprs above 0.2. This may indicate minor groove binding. Of note, the differences between fluorescence intensities of PG/dA/C1dT complexes and PG/dG/C1dC complexes compared to PG/dlDNA complexes were more pronounced than those of the respective SG/DNA complexes. However, the differences between the dye/dA/dT complexes and dye/dG/dC complexes of PG were lower than those of SG. Fluorescence intensities of PG/dA/dT complexes and PG/dG/dC complexes revealed ~45 and ~30% of the fluorescence intensity of the PG/dlDNA complex at dbprs of 4.

Effects of mono- and divalent cations on the fluorescence of SG/dsDNA complexes

Another profound influence on SG assays can be expected from salts, because the affinity of cationic dyes to dsDNA can be sensitive to the ionic strength of the solution (35). Therefore, the impact of cations on differential absorption values and the fluorescence of SG/dsDNA complexes depending on the dbpr were assessed. The dependence of differential absorption values on the dbprs was significantly altered at dbprs above ~0.3 in the presence of 100 mM NaCl (Figure 3A). More detailed fluorescence studies confirmed the impact of salts. Here, it should be noted that increasing concentrations of neither NaCl nor MgCl2 led to changes in the shape of the corresponding emission and excitation spectra of the SG/dsDNA complexes (data not shown). However, the dependence of the fluorescence intensities of SG/dsDNA complexes on the dbpr appeared to be significantly influenced by the NaCl concentration (Figure 3B). The most significant impact of NaCl was observed at dbprs of ~1. The same was true for MgCl2 (data not shown). The influence of MgCl2 was more pronounced than that of NaCl.

To quantitatively correlate fluorescence quenching to the concentration of the quencher, i.e. the salts, data were transformed into Stern–Volmer plots according to the Stern–Volmer equation (36):

$$\frac{F_0}{F} = 1 + K_{SV}Q,$$

where $F_0$ and $F$ are the fluorescence intensities in the absence and presence of the quencher, respectively. $K_{SV}$ is the...
Stern–Volmer constant and $Q$ is the concentration of the quencher. $K_{SV}^{-1}$ represents the quencher concentration where only 50% of the quencher-free fluorescence intensity is detected. Consequently, $K_{SV}^{-1}$ provides quantitative information on fluorescence quenching. In this case, it may be used to indicate the extent of change in the affinity of the dye to dsDNA with increasing quencher, i.e. salt concentrations.

At dbprs of 0.1, 0.15, 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 1.6 and 2.0, the Stern–Volmer plots were characterized by a non-linear behaviour with upward curvatures, i.e. concave toward the y-axis (data not shown). This showed that the impact of salts was not based on a single quenching mechanism. However, with increasing dbprs Stern–Volmer plots converted into linear dependences. This was probably due to the increasing dominance of one quenching mechanism. Based on the linear dependences for dbprs of 4 and 10, the $K_{SV}^{-1}$ values of 155 and 408 mM for NaCl, and 12 and 47 mM for MgCl$_2$ were determined by linear regression, respectively. Correlation coefficients were 0.9901 and 0.9973, respectively, for the experiments with NaCl, and 0.9990 and 0.9646, respectively, for the studies with MgCl$_2$.

The $K_{SV}^{-1}$ values showed that MgCl$_2$ reduced the affinity of SG to dsDNA to a much greater extent than NaCl. As the magnitude of the difference between the $K_{SV}^{-1}$ values of NaCl and MgCl$_2$ may not only be described by the different ionic forces, the influence of MgCl$_2$ on the structure of dsDNA could play a role as well.

### Binding of SG to ssDNA

SGs selectivity for dsDNA is important for many of its applications, but surely crucial in SG real-time PCR and dsDNA melting curve analysis (16,37,38). Therefore, the binding behaviour of SG to ssDNA was investigated. As absorption measurements displayed rather weak signals, fluorimetric and electrophoretic mobility studies were chosen for the investigation.

When compared to SG/dsDNA complexes, SG/ssDNA complexes exhibited a different dependence of fluorescence intensities on the dbr (Figure 4A). Maximal fluorescence was observed at a dbr of ~0.25. An increase of the dbr resulted in a decrease of fluorescence intensities, which was possibly due to quenching (19). At dbprs of 0.25, 1 and 10, the fluorescence intensities of the SG/ssDNA complex were only 8, 6 and 4%, respectively, when compared to the SG/dsDNA complex at dbprs of 10.

The fluorescence emission bands of SG in complex with ssDNA were significantly broader and the emission maxima were found at longer wavelengths (Figure 4B). At dbprs of 2 and 10, the emission maxima were detected at 535 and 552 nm, respectively. By contrast, the fluorescence emission bands of SG in complex with dsDNA were not influenced at dbprs of 1 and 10.

Fluorescence of SG bound to ssDNA was low, but the results did support an interaction of SG with ssDNA. This notion was further analyzed by electrophoretic mobility shift assays (data not shown). EcoRI-digested pACYC184 was denatured by heating to obtain ssDNA, which shows an increased electrophoretic mobility compared to dsDNA (24). Aliquots of the same volume were taken as dsDNA controls before heat denaturation. Before electrophoresis, aliquots of the ssDNA solution containing 227 pmol bases were incubated with increasing amounts of SG up to 182 pmol. In contrast to the results obtained for dsDNA, interaction of SG with ssDNA increased the electrophoretic mobility of ssDNA. Relative to unstained ssDNA, binding of SG to ssDNA resulted in a continuous increase in fronting of the SG/ssDNA bands with increasing dbprs. At dbprs ~0.5 fronting reached a maximum. An increase of the electrophoretic mobility of dye/ssDNA bands was also observed for M13mp18 ssDNA on binding to the unsymmetrical cyanine dye thiazole orange homodimer (TOTO) (39). Here, it was postulated that the extended, coil-like structure of ssDNA was altered by interaction with a cationic dye due to diminished coulombic repulsions between the negative charges of phosphate groups, resulting in a complex with decreased sphere-resistance during electrophoresis (39). The same could be true for the SG/ssDNA complexes.
DISCUSSION

Structure

We have determined the structure of SG (Table 1), its concentration within the SG reagent and have characterized its binding to dsDNA to provide insight into its structure-property relationships, as this knowledge affects the use of SG in nucleic acids testing. MS and NMR investigations showed that SG shares its core structure, 4-[[2,3-dihydro-3-methyl-(benzo-1,3-thiazol-2-yl)-methylidene]quinolinium] with dyes like TO, TO-PRO-1 and FUN-1 (Table 1) (2,40).

Determination of the structure of PG (Table 1) revealed significant structure homologies between SG and PG. The structure and properties observed for SG and PG were in alignment with those displayed in the US patent for Molecular Probes dyes 937 and 993, respectively. As expected from the structural homology, SG and PG possess similar absorption coefficients of \(73,000 \, M^{-1} \, cm^{-1} \) at 494 nm (see above) and \(~70,000 \, M^{-1} \, cm^{-1} \) at 500 nm (28), respectively. For SG, it should be noted that the concentration of SG was determined indirectly, based on some assumptions, which may have introduced some errors. However, the agreement of the absorption coefficients of SG and PG suggest that the counter ion approach is reliable.

Both, SG and PG, carry a phenyl group at position one of the quinolinium ring, but SG has a N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N-propylamino and PG a N-bis-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-amino residue at position two of the quinolinium ring (Table 1). Therefore, we advocate that SG carries two positive charges under standard conditions, whereas PG carries three positive charges. One positive charge is based on the electron deficiency of the conjugated, mesomeric heteroaromatic systems linked by a methine bridge. One or two additional charges are contributed by the protonation of the amino group(s) of the 3-dimethylaminopropyl residue(s) of SG or PG, respectively.

The two positive charges of SG are likely to contribute to the high binding affinity for dsDNA. This may explain why SG/dsDNA complexes are stable under electrophoretic conditions. Benson et al. (41) showed that dye/dsDNA complexes, pre-stained with analogs of EtBr or TO bearing various polycationic substituents, displayed lower off-rates under electrophoretic conditions than dye/dsDNA complexes pre-stained with EtBr or TO. They concluded that the derivatives were bound more tightly to dsDNA due to the polycationic side chains. Also, the introduction of cationic side chains into the cyanine dye TO resulted in a series of derivatives, e.g. TO-PRO-1 (Table 1), which exhibited higher binding affinities for nucleic acid polymers than the parent compound (42).

dsDNA binding

SG was shown to intercalate at low dbprs by hydrodynamic studies that have been reported to reliably indicate intercalation (31). In agreement with most simple intercalators (32) and PG (28), SG did not display a marked sequence binding preference at low dbprs, i.e. intercalation, as shown by differential absorption spectroscopy or fluorimetric studies. A significant sequence dependence of SG binding was observed at high dbprs though (see below). Here, it should be noted that the reported property of PG, not to be sequence specific (28), does apply only to low dbpr, but not to high dbprs that are generally used for the quantification of DNA in solution by PG and SG. Fluorescence intensities of the SG/dsDNA complexes at dbprs below \(0.15\) were only marginal. Marked radiative relaxation of dsDNA-bound SG occurred at dbprs above \(~0.2\). Therefore, other binding events or reorientation must have taken place.

Investigations on the interaction of cyanine dyes like TO, TO-PRO-1 and YO-PRO-1 (34), YO (33), SYTOX Orange (43) and PG (44) with dsDNA revealed a biphasic binding mode. External binding at high dbprs for YO and YOYO has been reported to be responsible for the biphasic binding mode (33). Also, BEBO (40,45) and DAPI (46) have been shown to intercalate or to groove bind depending on the dsDNA sequence. Actually, the unsymmetrical cyanine dyes BETO and BOXTO exhibit minor groove binding (40). Because of structure similarities, it appears likely that SG exhibits minor-groove binding at dbprs above \(~0.2\). The observed sequence specificity of SG and the impact of ions support this notion (see below).

The arguments mentioned above favour the notion that the second binding mode of SG is an interaction of SG with the minor groove. Small molecules having aromatic rings connected by bonds with torsional freedom, and thus being able to fit into the helical curve of the minor groove, are reported to bind in the minor groove (32). The flexibility and torsional freedom of SG would allow for minor-groove binding. Also, the structure of SG should allow for electrostatic interaction of its cationic groups with the negative electrostatic potential in the minor groove as well as proximate van der Waals contacts within the boundaries of the minor groove. Now, the minor grooves of AT- and GC-rich sequences differ in some general aspects, which are important for the interaction with cationic molecules (32,47–50).

In accordance, for SG a significant AT preference was observed at high dbprs. Fluorescence intensities of SG/da·dt complexes at high dbprs were higher compared to the corresponding SG/dg·dc complexes. Here, further investigations with different sequences are necessary, in order to elucidate binding preferences of SG (48,51).

Apart from the sequence, the influence of salts was also significant; as expected for a cationic dye (32,35,45). Stern–Volmer plots indicated the occurrence of several salt-dependent quenching mechanisms at low dbprs (36). This indicates the occurrence of combined static and dynamic quenching processes and eventually other processes like competitive binding (19). This is in agreement with the multiple effects that salts express on dye binding and the structure of dsDNA (32,52,53). \(K_{SV}^{-1}\) values indicated an up to almost 13-fold more pronounced impact of \(MgCl_2\) on fluorescence compared to \(NaCl\). An aspect that should be considered in particular when SG real-time PCR is performed. With respect to SG real-time PCR, the different fluorescence intensities of SG bound to dsDNA and ssDNA are of importance also. Electrophoretic mobility studies showed that SG binds efficiently to ssDNA, however, fluorescence intensities were rather low.

In conclusion, the data indicate that dbprs \(>0.2\) should be applied to discriminate between ssDNA and dsDNA. For maximum dsDNA selectivity, also with respect to other compounds, e.g. RNA, proteins, etc. (7) the application of dbprs of at least 10 appears advantageous. This should also reduce the impact of salts, other quenchers (19) and dsDNA sequence specificity that can affect SG real-time PCR and melting curve
analysis (16). However, inhibition of PCR has to be considered (54) as well as the potential degradation of dsDNA at least at high dbprs. This should also be taken into account for other applications, in particular for the determination of DNase or telomerase activities (9), in fluorescence imaging techniques (11) and for comet assays (55). However, in PCR the dbpr is not constant. It changes with the cycle number as more dsDNA is produced. Therefore, the number of cycles can influence melting curve analysis (16). Again, the application of sufficiently high dbprs, just below a value where significant inhibition is observed, appears advantageous. Also, for dsDNA quantification in solution the actual amount of dsDNA in a sample under investigation is unknown at the time of analysis. But as already reported (19,22) and according to the data, considerations on the dbpr applied are important. Finally, our findings on SG’s structure–property relationships and the determined influences of the dbpr, salts and SG’s nucleic acid specificity should help in the optimal utilization of this excellent dye in a broad variety of nucleic acids methods.
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