Solution structure of a dsDNA:LNA triplex

Jesper J. Sørensen, Jakob T. Nielsen and Michael Petersen*

Nucleic Acid Center, Department of Chemistry, University of Southern Denmark, 5230 Odense M, Denmark

Received July 18, 2004; Revised and Accepted October 27, 2004

ABSTRACT

We have determined the NMR structure of an intramolecular dsDNA:LNA triplex, where the LNA strand is composed of alternating LNA and DNA nucleotides. The LNA oligonucleotide binds to the dsDNA duplex in the major groove by formation of Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds to the purine strand of the duplex. The structure of the dsDNA duplex is changed to accommodate the LNA strand, and it adopts a geometry intermediate between A- and B-type. There is a substantial propeller twist between base-paired nucleobases. This propeller twist and a concomitant large propeller twist between the purine and LNA strands allows the pyrimidines of the LNA strand to interact with the 5'-flanking duplex pyrimidines. Altogether, the triplex has a regular global geometry as shown by a straight helix axis. This shows that even though the third strand is composed of alternating DNA and LNA monomers with different sugar puckers, it forms a seamless triplex. The thermostability of the triplex is increased by 19°C relative to the unmodified DNA triplex at acidic pH. Using NMR spectroscopy, we show that the dsDNA:LNA triplex is stable at pH 8, and that the triplex structure is identical to the structure determined at pH 5.1.

INTRODUCTION

Targeting duplex DNA with oligonucleotides offers an attractive method for artificial gene regulation through formation of a DNA triple helix (triplex) thereby modulating transcription of genes (the antigen strategy) or possibly inducing gene correction via recombinant repair (1–4). In a DNA triplex, the triplex-forming oligonucleotide (TFO) binds to a purine–pyrimidine dsDNA duplex in the major groove through specific hydrogen bonds (5). The TFO can bind in both parallel and anti-parallel manners to the purine strand in the Watson–Crick duplex. In the parallel motif, a homopyrimidine TFO binds to a purine–pyrimidine dsDNA duplex in the major groove through specific hydrogen bonds (5). The TFO can bind in both parallel and anti-parallel manners to the purine strand in the Watson–Crick duplex. Parallel hydrogen bonding to form T:A•T and C:G•C+ base triplets (Figure 1). Although appealing in theory, the antigen strategy is severely hampered by two factors owing to the very nature of triplexes: (i) In the Hoogsteen hydrogen bond arrangement, only purine–pyrimidine tract dsDNA can be targeted and (ii) the cytosine bases in the TFO must be protonated at N3 to form C:G•C+ triplets, thus requiring un-physiological acidic conditions.

Problem (i) is addressed by synthesis of unnatural nucleobases that target A: T and G:C Watson–Crick base pairs(6). Problem (ii) is somewhat alleviated by use of high-affinity nucleic acid analogues, of which LNA (locked nucleic acid) is prominent (Figure 1) (7).

LNA contains a 2’-O,4’-C methylene bridge which locks the sugar in a perfect C3'-endo sugar pucker (right). The T:A•T and C:G•C+ base triplets.
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The modified oligonucleotide was synthesized as described elsewhere (13). The oligonucleotide was purified by size-exclusion on a Sephadex G-15 column. The sample concentration was 1.8 mM triplex and 100 mM NaCl in 0.5 ml D_2O or 9:1 H_2O/D_2O (pH 5.1). NMR spectra were recorded at Varian Inova 500 and Varian Inova 800 spectrometers. For assignment purposes, standard 2D NOE (in D_2O and H_2O), DQF-COSY and 3D TOCSY-NOESY experiments were acquired.

For the structure determination, NOESY spectra in D_2O were acquired at 35°C at 800 MHz with mixing times of 50, 110, 180 and 250 ms and a NOESY spectrum in H_2O was acquired at 25°C at 800 MHz with a mixing time of 200 ms. The spectra in D_2O were acquired using 2048 complex points in t_2 and a spectral width of 8000 Hz. A total of 400 t_1-experiments, each with 32 scans and a dwell-time of 4.0 s between scans, were recorded using the States phase cycling scheme. The residual signal from HOD was removed by low-power presaturation. The spectrum in H_2O was acquired using the WATERGATE NOESY pulse sequence using 2048 complex points in t_2 and a spectral width of 19230 Hz. A total of 712 t_1-experiments, each with 32 scans and a dwell time of 3.1 s between scans, were recorded using the States phase cycling scheme. In addition to the NOESY spectra, an inversion recovery experiment was recorded at 800 MHz to extract T_1 relaxation rates.

To gauge sugar conformations of the deoxyribo nucleotides, a DQF-COSY spectrum was acquired at 500 MHz at 35°C using a pulse sequence in which the first pulse was replaced with an E-BURP type selective pulse (14) in order to enhance the digital resolution in F1. This spectrum was acquired with spectral widths of 5000 Hz in F2 and 1200 Hz in F1, respectively, and a total of 580 t_1-experiments, each with 80 scans, with 2048 complex points in t_2. 1D spectra in H_2O were recorded at 25°C with various pH values in the range 4.9–8.0. The acquired data were processed using FELIX (ver. 98; Accelrys Inc.). For the structure determination, the spectra recorded in D_2O were linear predicted to 600 points in F_1, and the spectrum recorded in H_2O was linear predicted to 1000 points in F_1. All spectra were baseline corrected by the FLATT procedure in F_1 (15), and by the automatic baseline correction procedure as implemented in FELIX in F_2.

**Distance restraints**

A total of 425 distance restraints were obtained from 2D NOE cross-peak intensities using the method of Wijmenga and van Buuren. (16) in this variation of the isolated spin pair approximation, spin diffusion is accounted for in an average manner. NOESY cross-peak intensities from the four spectra recorded in D_2O were corrected for saturation effects using T_1 relaxation times obtained from an inversion recovery experiment and were subsequently transformed to distance restraints by calibrating against known distances. The final upper and lower distance bounds used in the structure determination were determined from the standard deviations calculated by performing the procedure 100 times with slightly perturbed NOESY volumes. This resulted in the bounds being on average ±12% of the average distance obtained from the 100 calculations. A total of 287 restraints were derived from the NOESY spectra recorded in D_2O. From the NOESY spectrum recorded in H_2O, a further 138 restraints including exchangeable protons were derived by a procedure as described above, the upper and lower distance bounds were set to the distances calculated ±20%. The distribution of NOE restraints for the dsDNA:LNA triplex is included in Table 1. The average width of the distance restraints was 1.17 Å, and the average restraint length 3.85 Å. All distance restraints were incorporated into
Structural statistics for the dsDNA:LNA triplex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural restraints</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOE-derived distance restraints</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-nucleotide</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-nucleotide, intra-strand</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-strand</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen bond restraints</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<sup>b</sup>Average pair-wise all atomic rmsd for the ensemble of 20 structures calculated.

Table 1. Structural statistics for the dsDNA:LNA triplex

The sequence of the intramolecular dsDNA:LNA triplex is shown in Figure 2. We chose this sequence as the structures of the corresponding dsDNA:RNA and dsDNA:DNA triplexes have been determined previously by Feigon and co-workers (24,25). The TFO is composed of alternating DNA and LNA nucleotides as this appears to be the optimum design for LNA TFOs. For synthetic reasons, the LNA cytosine nucleotides are 5-methylcytosines. The insertion of a 5-methyl group is known to stabilize triplex formation by 1–2°C per methyl group (12,26). This stabilization presumably stems from increased stacking interactions (27).

The dsDNA:LNA triplex was assigned and analysed using standard 2D and 3D NMR experiments, including NOESY, COSY and TOCSY-NOESY, at 35°C (25°C for experiments examining exchangeable protons) and pH 5.1. The NOESY spectra recorded in H₂O display the characteristic connectivities showing Watson–Crick base-pairing between the duplex part of the molecule. Triplex formation with the TFO was confirmed by observation of cross-peaks between (i) the H8 and the imino protons of Hoogsteen base-paired residues, (ii) the amino protons of the two cytosine residues in each base triplet and (iii) the H8 of the purine residues and the H1′ of the 3′-flanking residue in the TFO strand. The formation of the eight possible triplets was confirmed. An excerpt of the 200 ms NOESY spectrum recorded in H₂O is shown in Figure 2. The three cytosines in the TFO strand are protonated at N3 as shown by the lines from H3 in the low-field part of the spectrum in H₂O (see Figure 2), and by the distinctly downfield shifted amino proton resonances of the protonated cytosines in the TFO strand. We thus conclude that the LNA TFO binds to the Watson–Crick duplex by Hoogsteen base-pairing. The ethylene-glycol linker was not specifically assigned and was not included in the structure calculations.

The dsDNA:LNA triplex structure

The structure of the dsDNA:LNA triplex was determined using 425 NOE restraints and 35 restraints to mimic Watson–Crick and Hoogsteen base-pairing (Table 1). No torsion angle restraints were included for the sugar–phosphate backbone. Rather information on the sugar–phosphate backbone was used to validate the structure generated with the NOE restraints. We chose this approach as we found that the NOE restraints themselves are defining the structure with good precision. The final structural ensemble of 20 structures has a rmsd of 0.91 Å for all triplets, and 0.77 Å for the six internal triplets (Table 2). This shows that the structure overall is well defined by the NOE restraints employed. The nucleobases are better defined in the structure than the sugar–phosphate backbone (see Figure 3). We performed test calculations without hydrogen bond restraints, and the ensemble thus determined was identical to the structural ensemble, although the rmsd was higher. In addition, we performed...
twenty sets of calculations in each of which we randomly deleted 10% of the NOE restraints. The structures generated in this manner all converge well and yield structures identical to the structure obtained with the maximal NOE restraint set. Thus, the triplex structure is not strongly dependent on a few of the NOE restraints.

Chemical shifts are sensitive parameters to probe local geometry, and we back-calculated the chemical shifts for the duplex part of the dsDNA:LNA triplex. The rmsd between observed and back-calculated chemical shifts for aromatic and H1' protons is 0.21 ppm for non-terminal nucleotides.

Table 2. Structural parameters for the dsDNA:LNA structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>(E_{\text{AMBER}}) (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>(E_{\text{NOE}}) (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>(\Delta d_{\text{av}}) (Å)</th>
<th>rmsd vs NMR (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dsDNA:DNA</td>
<td>–40.1</td>
<td>1721.8</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>1.48 (0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsDNA:RNA</td>
<td>–19.9</td>
<td>2356.9</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>1.78 (0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMR</td>
<td>–9.9 (7.8)</td>
<td>22.9 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.011 (0.0005)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Force field \(E_{\text{AMBER}}\) and restraint \(E_{\text{NOE}}\) energies, average restraint violations \(\Delta d_{\text{av}}\) and pair wise atomic rmsds for the starting structures and the NMR structure. Standard deviations are shown in brackets.

*The starting structures are the isosequential triplexes, pdb codes: 1d3x and 1r3x, respectively, modified with LNA nucleotides and energy minimized.

Figure 3. Solution structure of the dsDNA:LNA triplex. (a) Superposition of the 20 structures calculated. The Watson–Crick duplex is green and the TFO is red (DNA) and blue (LNA). The rmsd of the 20 structures is 0.91 Å. (b) Stereo view of a representative structure of the triplex. (c) View showing the spiral-like arrangement of the nucleobases. The purine bases are blue, the Watson–Crick pyrimidines green and the TFO pyrimidines red. For clarity, only heavy atoms are shown. (d) Close up of the pyrimidine–pyrimidine hydrogen bonding, the \(C_{12}G_{17}C_{18}^{\prime}\)–\(A_{20}\)–\(T_{21}\) and \(C_{12}G_{17}C_{18}^{\prime}\)–\(T_{21}\) triplets are shown. The O4 atoms of the thymines are red spheres and the H4 atoms of cytosines white spheres. (e) View along the helix axis.
We observed large deviations (>0.5 p.p.m.) for two protons, A$_3$H2 and A$_3$H8. Excluding these chemical shifts reduced the rmsd to 0.16 p.p.m.

The Watson–Crick part of the triplex adopts an overall geometry intermediate between A- and B-helix types as indicated by a number of helical parameters, e.g. X displacement: $-3.0\,\text{Å}$, inclination: 9.9°, rise: 3.0 Å and propeller twist: $-21°$. The average twist between the six internal nucleobases is 34°, whilst the two terminal base-pair steps are unwound at 27° and 30°. Overall, the distribution of helix parameters along the duplex is uniform. The average minor groove width of the duplex is 6.1 Å. The sugar conformations in the Watson–Crick part of the molecule is in the $S$-type domain with pseudorotation values ranging from 99° to 192°, except for C$_{15}$ ($P = 67°$). These sugar conformations are corroborated by inspection of H1′–H2'/H2'' cross-peaks in COSY spectra, as these cross-peaks show the distinct fine structure of furanoses with predominantly gauche$^+$ and gauche$^-$ conformations. In addition, the glycosidic angle, $\gamma$, has rather low values for A$_3$ and A$_5$, $-154°$ and $-157°$, as compared to an average of $-118°$ for the remaining nucleobases in the Watson–Crick part of the molecule. This alteration in the sugar–phosphate backbone geometry is owing to the phosphates G$_2$P$_{A3}$ and G$_4$P$_{A5}$ being pushed towards the TFO strand while the nucleobases A$_3$ and A$_5$ remain in a continuous stack with the other purines. A similar arrangement was found by Asensio et al. in a 5′-GAGAG-3′ context (pdb entry: 1bwg), and as such appears sequence specific rather than owing to the introduction of LNA monomers in the TFO (28).

For four of the C*T pyrimidine–pyrimidine base pairs, the bifurcated hydrogen bonds are some-what reminiscent of what is observed in A-tract DNA (29).

### Table 3. Statistics of pyrimidine–pyrimidine hydrogen bonds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>Acceptor</th>
<th>Distance (Å)</th>
<th>Angle (°)</th>
<th>Occupancy (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C$_{11}$:N4</td>
<td>T$_{31}$:O4</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_{12}$:N4</td>
<td>T$_{12}$:O4</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_{12}$:N4</td>
<td>T$_{17}$:O4</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_{10}$:N4</td>
<td>T$_{14}$:O4</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_{10}$:N4</td>
<td>T$_{12}$:O4</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_{12}$:N4</td>
<td>T$_{10}$:O4</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Deviations from linearity.*

*Percentage of the calculated structures in which the hydrogen bond occurs (cut-off values are distance <4Å and angle <60°).*
and the down field amino proton regions at various pH values. have apparent pK\textsubscript{a} values of ~7–8, (31,32) while internal C:G\*C\* triplets in contiguous T:A\*T stretches have higher apparent pK\textsubscript{a} values (33). We did not determine the apparent pK\textsubscript{a} values of the cytosines in the dsDNA:LNA triplex, but our results show that the apparent pK\textsubscript{a} is raised substantially from unmodified DNA triplexes.

To assess whether there occurred structural changes in the triplex, we recorded a NOESY spectrum in H\textsubscript{2}O at pH 8.0 (25°C). In this spectrum, we observe a cross-peak pattern analogous to that in the spectra at pH 5.1. We do observe some cross-peaks that could not be assigned to the triplex; it is most likely that these cross-peaks stem from the Watson–Crick duplex with the TFO dissociated. From the volumes of these cross-peaks, we estimate that at pH 8.0 at least 80% of the sample is still found as triplex. From the similarity of the NOESY cross-peak patterns, we conclude that the structure of the triplex at pH 8.0 is unchanged from the structure determined at pH 5.1.

The melting temperatures measured accentuate the stability of the LNA-modified triplex. In the interval from pH 4.6 to 8.4, the melting temperature decreases from 88 to 58°C. This can be compared with melting temperatures of ~69°C (at pH 5.2) for the dsDNA:DNA and dsDNA:RNA versions of the triplex measured under conditions with slightly higher ionic strength (24,25). At pH <7, only one melting transition is observed for the triplex, whilst at pH 8.4 the melting transition is broad, thus indicating that the duplex to triplex and the duplex to coil transitions are separating.

**DISCUSSION**

Our structure of the dsDNA:LNA triplex reveals a spiral-like hydrogen bonding and stacking motif. Analysis of the triplex structures deposited with the Protein Data Bank reveals one structure (entry 1bwg; mentioned above) with an arrangement of nucleobases somewhat reminiscent of this dsDNA:LNA triplex (28). The 1bwg structure contains a 5’-CTCTCT-3’ TFO, i.e. identical to part of our LNA TFO. The spiral-like pattern is less pronounced in the 1bwg structure and the putative pyrimidine–pyrimidine hydrogen bonds have geometries less optimal for interactions as gauged from the stagger between the cytosine and thymine nucleobases.

In the structure of the unmodified DNA triplex of identical composition (pdb: 1d3x), all triplets are almost planar (24). However, as explicit base planarity restraints were included in the structure calculations of the unmodified triplex, it is difficult to make a direct comparison. We did attempt to put planarity restraints on base triplets in the dsDNA:LNA triplex, but with force constants of 15 kcal/mol rad\textsuperscript{-2}, we could only force the terminal triplets planar. These calculations validate the stacking and hydrogen bond motif in the dsDNA:LNA triplex as they show that the motif is well determined by the experimental NOEs. The structure cannot reveal whether the pyrimidine–pyrimidine interactions are the cause or a consequence of the large propeller twists between the strands. However, as these interactions probably are rather weak, it appears likely that the structural rearrangement is mainly caused by the accommodation of the LNA TFO.

In the context of LNA:DNA duplexes, we have previously shown that deoxyribooses located between two LNA nucleotides are steered into pure N-type puckers (34). This is not the case for the thymines between the LNA cytidines in the TFO which shows that LNA nucleotides impose different constraints on neighbouring nucleotides in duplexes and triplexes. Furthermore, it demonstrates that in the context of a dsDNA:LNA triplex, it is not favourable to have a TFO strand where the sugars are entirely in N-type conformations. This could explain why it is unfavourable to have a fully LNA-modified TFO. Most intriguingly, a close analogue of LNA, ENA (2′-O4′-C-ethylene-bridged nucleic acid) with the O2′ and C4′ atoms bridged by an ethylene linker, forms triplexes with fully modified TFOs at neutral pH (35). A nucleoside X-ray structure shows that ENA adopts a C3′-endo sugar pucker as LNA does, so it is not the sugar pucker in itself that is prohibitive of triplex formation with fully modified LNA TFOs (36). The puckering amplitude of ENA (~45°) is smaller than that of LNA (~60°). This change in puckering amplitude entails two differences between LNA and ENA: (i) the δ backbone angle changes ~11° and (ii) the C3′ atom is less protruding in ENA. Both of these factors have been promulgated as possible reasons for LNA’s triplex-forming properties (35,37). The additional carbon atom in the ENA linker allows the sugar of this nucleotide more freedom than the LNA sugar, which is rigidly locked in the C3′-endo conformation. This slight increase in sugar flexibility might just be what is needed to accommodate a fully modified TFO. A structural investigation of an ENA-modified triplex is awaited to shed light on the interesting triplex-forming properties of this nucleic acid analogue.

**Introduction of LNA nucleotides in TFOs gives rise to a substantial increase in triplex thermostability** (12). Specifically in this paper, we show that our intramolecular dsDNA:LNA triplex is stable at pH 8.0. Torigoe et al. have performed thermodynamic analysis of LNA triplexes and found that the increased stability relative to DNA triplexes is entropic in origin, whereas the introduction of LNA...
nucleotides in the TFO increases the enthalpy relative to DNA TFOs (11). The major contribution to the enthalpy of a nucleic acid is the hydrogen bonding and base stacking, and it is conceivable that the spiral-like hydrogen bond pattern of the dsDNA:LNA triplex decreases the strength of the Watson–Crick and Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds by the large propeller twist between nucleobases. The entropic difference between DNA and LNA TFOs cannot be gauged from our structure, as this requires knowledge of both the single-stranded species and the solvation of different species.

The protonation of the cytidines in the TFO strand contributes to the stability of a triplex. We observe that the cytidines of the LNA TFO remain protonated at pH 8.0, and thus the pH range compatible with triplex formation is extended beyond neutral pH. For synthetic reasons, the modified LNA cytidines are methylated at the 5-position in the nucleobase. Use of 5-methylcytidines instead of cytidines increases the stability of triplexes (and duplexes). For example, for an 11mer TFO with five cytidines, the melting temperature of optimum LNA TFOs and modelling of LNA triplex structures, e.g. in design of artificial nucleobases that recognize pyrimidines.

Deprotonation of the TFO cytidines occurs in two steps; local opening of the base pair followed by proton abstraction from the open state (27). Thus the apparent $pK_a$ of the cytidines depends on both the $pK_a$ of the non-base-paired cytidine and the base-pair dissociation constant. As we expect, the $pK_a$ of the non-paired LNA-modified 5-methyl-cytidine to be similar to that of ‘unmodified’ 5-methyl-cytidine, the high apparent $pK_a$ of the cytidines in the LNA TFO must be owing to lowered base-pair dissociation rates. The spiral-like hydrogen bond pattern in the dsDNA:LNA triplex could reduce base-pair opening (lower the base-pair dissociation rates) and consequently raise the apparent $pK_a$ of cytidine residues by making deprotonation events occurring less frequently.

Kinetic studies performed by Torigoe et al. shows that the dissociation rates of LNA TFOs were significantly lowered relative to the rates for DNA TFOs (11). This concerns the dissociation of the entire TFO strand and as such give no information on the kinetics of base-pair opening for single triplets. It is, however, possible that a lower propensity of base-pair opening at the triplet level would translate into a lower dissociation rate at the strand level.

When LNA TFOs are targeted at mixed A/G sequences, it appears that there is little difference in thermostability with respect to modifying thymidines or cytidines in the TFO (12). For sequences with contiguous stretches of A or G nucleotides, the highest stabilization of triplex formation is achieved if the LNA modifications are placed on TFO thymidines (37). This indicates that the stacking and hydrogen bonding motif we observe, could be specific for LNA-modified triplets with mixed sequences.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we have determined the NMR solution structure of an intramolecular dsDNA:LNA triplex. The Watson–Crick part of the triplex adopts a geometry intermediate between A- and B-type. As compared with the corresponding dsDNA:DNA and dsDNA:RNA versions of this triplex, the dsDNA part of the triplex changes its geometry to facilitate efficient triplex formation with the LNA TFO. As a consequence of this geometry adaptation and the conformation of the TFO, the pyrimidines of the duplex part and the TFO are close in space and weak hydrogen bonding is taking place between the pyrimidines of the TFO and the 5′-flanking pyrimidines of the Watson–Crick duplex. This results in a spiral-like hydrogen bonding pattern through the triplex. This kind of stacking and hydrogen bond pattern has not been observed in any triplex before, and as such is a motif peculiar to dsDNA:LNA triplets.

Furthermore, we have shown, by use of NMR spectroscopy, that the dsDNA:LNA triplex is stable at pH 8 and that the triplex structure is identical to the structure determined at pH 5.1.

The structure presented should prove useful in the design of optimum LNA TFOs and modelling of LNA triplex structures, e.g. in design of artificial nucleobases that recognize pyrimidines.

COORDINATES

Coordinates and restraints employed in calculations have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (accession code: 1w86).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary Material is available at NAR Online.
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