Sequence- and structural-selective nucleic acid binding revealed by the melting of mixtures
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ABSTRACT

A simple method for the detection of sequence- and structural-selective ligand binding to nucleic acids is described. The method is based on the commonly used thermal denaturation method in which ligand binding is registered as an elevation in the nucleic acid melting temperature ($T_m$). The method can be extended to yield a new, higher -throughput, assay by the simple expediency of melting designed mixtures of polynucleotides (or oligonucleotides) with different sequences or structures of interest. Upon addition of ligand to such mixtures at low molar ratios, the $T_m$ is shifted only for the nucleic acid containing the preferred sequence or structure. Proof of principle of the assay is provided using first a mixture of polynucleotides with different sequences and, second, with a mixture containing DNA, RNA and two types of DNA:RNA hybrid structures. Netropsin, ethidium, daunorubicin and actinomycin, ligands with known sequence preferences, were used to illustrate the method. The applicability of the approach to oligonucleotide systems is illustrated by the use of simple ternary and binary mixtures of defined sequence deoxyoligonucleotides challenged by the bisanthracycline WP631. The simple mixtures described here provide proof of principle of the assay and pave the way for the development of more sophisticated mixtures for rapidly screening the selectivity of new nucleic acid binding compounds.

INTRODUCTION

Nucleic acids are an important target for new therapeutic agents (1–4). Two fundamental strategies exist for targeting nucleic acids. The first is to target specific sequences that are vital for the control of gene expression (e.g. transcription factor binding sites) with small molecule inhibitors (5–7). This strategy has seen success with the development of sequence-specific polyamides (6,8,9) and, more recently, with antigen PNA molecules (10). A second strategy is to target non-cannonical structures that may regulate gene activity. Daunorubicin and its synthetic enantiomer, for example, can act as mutual allosteric effectors to switch DNA between right- and left-handed forms (11). G-quadruplexes are of intense interest as targets and have been implicated as important structural elements in both the biology of telomeres and in the regulation of gene expression (3,12,13). Small molecules that target G-quadruplexes and that can regulate c-myc oncogene expression have recently been reported (14–18).

The evolution of new tools for the study of sequence- and structural-selective ligand binding is important for efficient drug discovery. Chemical and enzymatic footprinting methods revolutionized studies of sequence-selective recognition of DNA by small molecules, enabling identification of ligand binding sites to base pair resolution (19–21). Competition dialysis methods that allowed for rather precise inferences of ligand base- and sequence-specificity actually predated footprinting (22,23), but saw little application in part because of the low-throughput nature of the assay. The competition dialysis method was recently revived and expanded to provide a rapid means of quantitatively evaluating ligand sequence- and structural-selectivity by using an array of nucleic acid samples (24–27). Thermal denaturation methods provide a thermodynamically sound approach for quantifying drug–nucleic acid interactions (28–31). Thermal denaturation is especially powerful for the characterization of ligands with ultratight binding affinity (32). A rapid and frugal thermal denaturation assay using molecular beacons was devised to study ligand interactions with duplex, triplex and quadruplex nucleic acids (33). While thermal denaturation methods are powerful, rigorous and readily automated, they are hampered by comparatively low-throughput. Samples are generally run serially, and a typical melting curve takes several hours to accumulate. Comparison of ligand binding with many sequences or structures is thus time consuming.
We report a simple new thermal denaturation assay that greatly facilitates comparison of ligand binding with different nucleic acid sequences or structures. The assay is based on the simple expediency of melting mixtures of polynucleotides with different sequences or structures whose melting temperatures are well resolved. Addition of ligand to such mixtures at appropriate molar ratios results in a shift of the melting temperature of the nucleic acid containing the preferred structure or sequence, providing a clear indication of ligand selectivity. We provide proof of principle of the assay concept for two cases, first with mixtures to test sequence selectivity and second with a mixture to test structural selectivity. The applicability to oligonucleotide mixtures is also demonstrated. We describe here assays that contain 4–5 sequences or structures. The concept is robust, however, and can potentially be expanded to include large numbers of samples of particular interest.

Additional comments concerning the strategy and design of the assay are needed. Melting of nucleic acids in the presence of ligands is complex (29,30). The apparent \( T_m \) shift has a simple quantitative meaning only under conditions where the nucleic acid lattice is fully saturated with ligand. At ligand concentrations where the lattice is not fully saturated, melting curves become multiphasic due to ligand redistribution, and the shift in \( T_m \) has no simple interpretation or meaning. By design, the assay described here utilizes low molar ratios of ligand, where the lattice is far from saturation. This is opposite from the typical experimental design usually used in melting experiments (31), but is an essential condition for visualizing sequence or structural selectivity. Sequence- or structural-selective binding is most clearly manifested in the limit as the binding ratio approaches zero (22,23). Our intent is to provide an assay that provides a rapid, qualitative demonstration of selective binding, one that clearly shows a \( T_m \) shift for the melting of the preferred nucleic acid. The assay is, by design, optimized for demonstrating selectivity in binding and is not intended to be used for quantitative analysis of \( T_m \) shifts. Once the assay identifies interesting types of selective binding, rigorous biophysical studies can follow to characterize the thermodynamics of binding quantitatively. The situation is analogous to early footprinting methodologies which focused on the qualitative identification of preferred binding sequences rather than on quantitative analysis of binding affinities.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**DNA sequence polynucleotides**

\([\text{Poly(dA–dT)}]_2, \text{Poly(dA)}-\text{poly(dT)}, \text{Poly(dA–dC)}-\text{poly(dG–dT)}, \text{Poly(dC)}-\text{poly(dG)}\) and \([\text{Poly(dC–dG)}]_2\) were purchased from Amersham Biosciences, Co. (Piscataway, NJ) and were used without further purification. Concentrations of nucleic acid samples were determined by UV absorbance measurements using the molar extinction coefficients (expressed in terms of phosphate) shown in Table 1. All polymer samples were prepared in BPE/4 buffer (1.5 mM Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\), 0.5 mM NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 0.25 mM Na\(_2\)EDTA, pH = 7.0). For preparation of polynucleotide mixtures, equal molar concentrations [typically 20–40 \( \mu \text{M}\) (bp)] of five polymers were added to the desired volume of buffer solution.

**Oligodeoxynucleotides**

Synthetic 24 nt deoxyligonucleotides, containing simple CC/GG, AC/GT and AG/CT dinucleotide sequences were purchased from Oligos Etc., Inc. (Wilsonville, OR). The sequences and molar extinction coefficient (expressed in terms of strands) were listed in Table 1. These oligomers were stored as concentrated stock solutions in water and were diluted to working concentrations immediately before use. For the preparation of double-stranded oligomers, equal molar amounts of single-stranded deoxyligonucleotides were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mixture</th>
<th>Nucleic acid</th>
<th>( \lambda ) (nm)</th>
<th>( e^a ) (M(^{-1}) cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( T_m ) (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sequence*</td>
<td>Poly(dA–dT)(_2), Poly(dA)-poly(dT), Poly(dA–dC)-poly(dG–dT), Poly(dC)-poly(dG) and [Poly(dC–dG)](_2)</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>32.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure*</td>
<td>Poly(dA)-poly(dT), Poly(rA)-poly(rU), Poly(dA)-poly(rU), Poly(rA)-poly(dT)</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>63.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligonucleotide*</td>
<td>1. Poly(dA–dC)·poly(dG–dT)</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>6250</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Poly(dA)·poly(rU)</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>7140</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Poly(rA)·poly(dT)</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>6250</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Concentration units of the extinction coefficient are expressed in terms bases for polynucleotides or strands for oligonucleotides. The molar extinction coefficients for single-stranded oligonucleotides were determined by means of a colorimetric phosphate assay (46).

The concentration of each polynucleotide in this mixture is 40 \( \mu \text{M}\) (bp). The experiments were conducted in a buffer containing 1.5 mM Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\), 0.5 mM NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), and 0.25 mM Na\(_2\)EDTA at pH 7.0.

The concentration of each polynucleotide is 10 \( \mu \text{M}\) (bp). The experiments were conducted in a buffer containing 1.5 mM Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\), 0.5 mM NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 0.25 mM Na\(_2\)EDTA and 46.25 mM NaCl at pH 7.0.

The concentration of each oligonucleotide is 2 \( \mu \text{M}\) (strand). The experiments were conducted in a buffer containing 6 mM Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\), 2 mM NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 1 mM Na\(_2\)EDTA and 185 mM NaCl at pH 7.0.

**Structural polynucleotides**

Poly(dA) and poly(dT) were purchased from Amersham Biosciences, Co. (Piscataway, NJ). Poly(rA) and Poly(U) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co (St Louis, MO). These synthetic polynucleotides were used without further purification. Concentrations of nucleic acid samples were determined by UV absorbance measurements using molar extinction coefficients (in terms of phosphate) of 8600 M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\) at 257 nm for poly(dA), 8520 M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\) at 264 nm for poly(dT), 9800 M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\) at 258 nm for poly(rA), 9350 M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\) at 260 nm for poly(U), respectively. To prepare DNA, RNA and DNA:RNA hybrid structures, equimolar amounts of single-stranded polymers were mixed with their complementary strand in BPES/4 buffer (1.5 mM Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\), 0.5 mM NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 0.25 mM Na\(_2\)EDTA and 46.25 mM NaCl at pH 7.0). Samples were heated at 90°C for 3 min followed by slow cooling to room temperature.
mixed with their complementary strand in BPES buffer (6 mM Na2HPO4, 2 mM NaH2PO4, 1 mM Na2EDTA and 0.185 M NaCl, pH = 7.0). Subsequently, the mixtures were heated at 90°C for 3 min followed by slow cooling to room temperature prior to the melting experiments.

**Ligands**

Ethidium bromide, daunorubicin and actinomycin D were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. Netropsin was purchased from Serva Feinbiochemica (Heidelberg, Germany). The structures of the ligands are shown in Figure 1. WP631 was purchased from EMD Biosciences, Inc. Ligands were used without further purification and prepared in distilled water purchased from EMD Biosciences, Inc. Ligands were used without further purification and prepared in distilled water and stored at −20°C. Drug concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically.

**Thermal denaturation assay**

A Jasco V-550 UV/Vis (Tokyo, Japan) spectrophotometer equipped with a thermostatic temperature controller was used to obtain thermal denaturation data. One centimeter pathlength quartz cuvettes with Teflon stoppers were used. All sample mixtures were fully equilibrated at room temperature following addition of ligand and were subsequently heated over the range of 20–98°C at a rate of 1°C/min while the absorbance was continuously monitored at 260 nm. Primary data were transferred to the graphics program Origin (Microcal, Inc.) for plotting and analysis.

**Competition dialysis**

Competition dialysis experiments were carried out as described previously (24–27). The experiments were carried out in BPES buffer consisting of 6 mM Na2HPO4, 2 mM NaH2PO4, 1 mM Na2EDTA and 0.185 M NaCl (pH 7.0). Nucleic acid samples including four different structures (volume of 200 µl at identical concentration of 75 µM bp) were dialyzed against 200 ml dialysate containing 1 µM netropsin or ethidium.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Assay for sequence selectivity**

An assay for base and sequence selectivity was devised by preparing an equimolar mixture of [Poly(dA–dT)]2, Poly(dA)-poly (dT), Poly(dA–dC)-poly(dG–dT), Poly(dC)-poly(dG) and [Poly(dC–dG)]2. The $T_m$ values of these duplexes are well separated (Table 1). Collectively, the polynucleotide mixture contains eight of the ten unique dinucleotide steps (AA = TT, AT, TA, AC, CA, GG = CC, GC, CG), and eight of the 32 unique triplet sequences (AAA, GGG, ATA, TAT, GCG, CGC, ACA, CAC). Figure 2 shows the results of addition of the compounds shown in Figure 1 to the mixture. Low molar ratios of compound (~0.01 drug/bp) were added to the mixture because such conditions are optimal for the selection of a particular binding site from the mixture. Netropsin (Figure 1A) shows a clear preference for binding to poly(dA)-poly (dT) and to a lesser extent, [poly(dA–dT)]2 (Figure 2A), as is evident from the shifts in peaks 2 and 1. This result is fully consistent with the known preference of this groove binder for AT-rich sequences revealed in the very first footprinting studies (19,21). Competition dialysis also revealed the strong preference of netropsin for [Poly(dA–dT)]2 and Poly(dA)-poly (dT), along with a general preference for AT-rich natural DNA samples (25).

We emphasize here that, by design, the assay uses a single, low molar ratio of added ligand, conditions that maximize the manifestation of selective binding. Supplementary Figure 1 shows the effects of increasing the molar ratio of netropsin on the melting of the polynucleotide mixture. As can be seen in that figure, as the concentration of netropsin increases, the $T_m$ values for the melting of the two AT containing polynucleotides increases, but that less specific binding to the remaining three polynucleotides also becomes evident. Such behavior is to be expected as the increased free ligand concentration drives the weaker, non-specific binding to the less preferred sequences.

Ethidium (Figure 1B) is widely, but erroneously, thought to lack sequence selectivity. It can, in fact, discriminate between sequences as revealed in low-temperature footprinting experiments (34). From an analysis of the changes in patterns of digestion by DNAase I, Fox and Waring deduced that ethidium binds best to regions of mixed nucleotide sequence, especially those containing alternating purines and pyrimidines. Exactly that preference is revealed in Figure 2B. $T_m$ shifts are evident for only poly(dA–dC)-poly(dG–dT) and [Poly(dC–dG)]2.

The anticancer agent daunorubicin (Figure 1C) binds preferentially (but not exclusively) to triplet sequences in which an AT base pair is flanked by adjacent GC base pairs (35–37). Daunorubicin shows a general preference for GC-rich DNA with an alternating purine-pyrimidine sequence (35–37). Such preferences are observed in Figure 2C, where daunorubicin elevates the $T_m$ of poly(dA–dC)-poly(dG–dT) and [Poly(dC–dG)]2.

Actinomycin binds selectively to 5’GpC steps in duplex DNA (19,21,38). That sequence preference is clearly observed in Figure 2D, where actinomycin only alters the $T_m$ of [Poly(dC–dG)]2.

Collectively, the data shown in Figure 2 confirm the utility of the melting of mixtures assay. The results obtained are fully consistent with the known sequence preferences of the
standard compounds used for validation of the assay. There are significant advantages of the thermal denaturation assay over both footprinting and competition dialysis methods. Both footprinting and dialysis are time consuming, with at least 24 h needed in each case to execute the experiment and process the data. Competition dialysis, in its simplest form, requires that ligands possess convenient absorbance or fluorescence signals for concentration determinations. The thermal denaturation assay described here requires no signal from the ligand and can be completed in a few hours with real-time data display. As a standard spectrophotometric assay, the method is clearly amenable to automation and multiplexing.

We caution that, by design, the absolute values of $T_m$ shift in this assay are not of primary importance in this assay, and in fact have no simple quantitative meaning. The conditions of the assay are such that the nucleic acid lattice is not saturated by ligand, consequently melting curves in the presence of ligand are expected to be broad and multiphasic (29,30). Nonetheless, the shift in $T_m$ unambiguously reports the binding to the most preferred nucleic acid sequence.

**Oligonucleotide-based assay**

Figure 3 shows results for a simple oligonucleotide-based assay. The polynucleotide assay for sequence selectivity is hampered by the availability of all desired sequences. Deoxynucleotides of any desired sequence can be synthesized, imparting great flexibility in the design of sequence mixtures for specific purposes. A potential disadvantage of an oligonucleotide assay is in the width of the individual melting transitions, which may adversely affect the resolution of melting temperatures. Figure 3, however, provides encouraging proof of principle.

Figure 3A shows the melting of three 24 bp designed duplexes, $T_2$ (CC)$_{10}$ $T_2$ · $T_2$ (GG)$_{10}$ $T_2$, $T_2$ (AC)$_{10}$ $T_2$ · $T_2$ (GT)$_{10}$ $T_2$ and $T_2$ (AG)$_{10}$ $T_2$ · $T_2$ (CT)$_{10}$ $T_2$, whose $T_m$ values are, respectively, 51, 63.9 and 71.9 °C. Figure 3B (black line) shows a mixture of these three duplexes. The peak positions are lowered relative to panel A because of a decrease in duplex concentration from 2 to 0.67 μM. The melting transitions of the three duplexes remain well resolved in the mixture. Upon addition of the bisdaunorubicin WP631 (Figure 3B, dashed line), melting of $T_2$ (CC)$_{10}$ $T_2$ · $T_2$ (GG)$_{10}$ $T_2$ is unaltered, while the melting temperatures of both $T_2$ (AC)$_{10}$ $T_2$ · $T_2$ (GT)$_{10}$ $T_2$ and $T_2$ (AG)$_{10}$ $T_2$ · $T_2$ (CT)$_{10}$ $T_2$ are increased. WP631 clearly prefers the sequences with mixed AT/GC composition. There is a greater increase in the $T_m$ of the AG sequence, suggesting that a nonalternating purine-purine arrangement is preferred over an alternating purine-pyrimidine sequence. Results using a binary mixture of $T_2$ (AC)$_{10}$ $T_2$ · $T_2$ (GT)$_{10}$ $T_2$ and $T_2$ (AG)$_{10}$ $T_2$ · $T_2$ (CT)$_{10}$ $T_2$ confirm that conclusion (Figure 3C). The results in Figure 3C indicate that WP631 can selectively stabilize the purine-purine sequence at low molar ratios where binding preferences are most clearly manifested.
These results show that oligonucleotide mixtures are of utility for studies of sequence selectivity. Simple binary and ternary mixtures were deliberately chosen to illustrate the potential of the method. There is no reason to prevent extension of the method to more complicated mixtures. The advantage of the oligonucleotide system is that any sequences of interest can be synthesized and studied. Minor disadvantages are that the width of oligonucleotide melting transitions adversely affects resolution, and melting temperatures are more dependent upon strand concentration than is the case for polynucleotides.

Assay for structural selectivity

A mixture was made to study ligand selectivity for four different structures, DNA, RNA and two types of DNA:RNA hybrids. In this mixture, DNA is represented by poly(-dA)-poly(dT), RNA by poly(rA)-poly(rU), hybrid I by poly(-dA)-poly(rU) and hybrid II by poly(rA)-poly(dT). DNA:RNA hybrids are structures of profound biological importance and are an emerging target for drug design efforts (39–41). Results are shown in Figure 4.

Figure 3. Melting of deoxyoligonucleotide mixtures. (A). Melting of (T2G2T2|C15T2(T2C20T2) (black line), (T2(AC)10T2|T2(GT)10T2) (dashed line) and (T2(AG)10T2|T2(CT)10T2) (dotted line). All samples were at 2 μM duplex concentration. (B). Melting of a mixture of the three deoxyoligonucleotides in (A) in the absence (black line) or presence (dashed line) of the bisanthracline WP631 (32). Each deoxynucleotide in the mixture was at a concentration of 0.67 μM duplex, for a total duplex concentration of 2 μM. WP631 was added to a final concentration of 1.6 μM. (C). Melting of a binary mixture (4 μM total duplex) of (T2(AC)10T2|T2(GT)10T2) and (T2(AG)10T2|T2(CT)10T2) alone (black line) or in the presence of 1.6 μM WP631 (dashed line).

Figure 4. Structural selectivity revealed by melting studies of polynucleotide mixtures. Each panel shows the melting of a mixture of DNA [poly(dA)-poly (dT); peak 4], RNA [poly(rA)-poly (rU); peak 2], a DNA:RNA hybrid [poly (dA)·poly (rU); peak 1] and an RNA:DNA hybrid [poly(rA)·poly (dT); peak 3] as the solid black line. The concentration of each polynucleotide structure was 10 μM (bp); total polynucleotide concentration is 40 μM (bp). The dashed line in each panel shows the effect of addition of ligand. (A). Netropsin at 1.6 μM. (B) Ethidium at 1.5 μM. (C) A semi-synthetic derivative of the natural product β-lapachone (45) at 5 μM.
The classical groove-binder netropsin showed a clear preference for DNA as represented by poly(dA)·poly(dT), as was expected. Netropsin is known to convert non-B-DNA structures back to a standard B-form that contains the preferred minor groove geometry to which it binds most avidly (42,43). Netropsin does not bind to A-form RNA (44).

Competition dialysis (Figure 5A) was used to confirm the structural preference of netropsin to validate the results of the melting mixture assay.

Ethidium was previously shown by competition dialysis to bind preferentially to an RNA:DNA hybrid structure (40). Figure 4B shows that same preference in the melting assay. Ethidium shifts the $T_m$ of poly(rA)·poly(dT), but does not appreciably alter the melting temperatures of any other polynucleotides in the mixture at the molar ratio added. Competition dialysis experiments (Figure 5B) validate the structural preference observed in the melting assay.

With the melting assay validated by netropsin and ethidium, an unknown compound was studied to illustrate the utility of the method. A derivative of the natural product β-lapachone (45) clearly shows a strong preference for the DNA:RNA hybrid poly(dA)-poly(rU) (Figure 4C). That is a wholly novel type of nucleic acid structural recognition that is under detailed biophysical study in our laboratory. The tantalizing result is shown here only to illustrate the utility of method. A more complete biophysical study of this unusual binding interaction has been completed and will be reported elsewhere.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

New types of thermal denaturation assays were described for the study of sequence- and structural-selective nucleic acid binding. Mixtures of polynucleotides or oligonucleotides of different sequences or structures with well-resolved melting temperatures can be prepared and subjected to thermal denaturation. Addition of ligands at low molar ratios results in elevation of the $T_m$ of the polynucleotide with the most preferred sequence or structure. The advantages of the assay are many. The assay is simple, direct, inexpensive and rapid. As a spectrophotometric assay, the method is amenable to automation and multiplexing. Proof of principle of the method was provided here with simple mixtures that are nonetheless complicated enough to be interesting. The composition of the mixtures can be designed in accord with the particular interests of the investigator and with regard to the nucleic acid being targeted. Simple 3 to 5 component mixtures were described here, but there is no reason to prevent the design of more complex mixtures to provide more stringent tests of selectivity.
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