Replication regulation of *Vibrio cholerae* chromosome II involves initiator binding to the origin both as monomer and as dimer
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**ABSTRACT**

The origin region of *Vibrio cholerae* chromosome II (chrII) resembles plasmid origins that have repeated initiator-binding sites (iterons). Iterons are essential for initiation as well as preventing over-initiation of plasmid replication. In chrII, iterons are also essential for initiation but over-initiation is prevented by sites called 39-mers. Both iterons and 39-mers are binding sites of the chrII specific initiator, RctB. Here, we have isolated RctB mutants that permit over-initiation in the presence of 39-mers. Characterization of two of the mutants showed that both are defective in 39-mer binding, which helps to explain their over-initiation phenotype. *In vitro*, RctB bound to 39-mers as monomers, and to iterons as both monomers and dimers. Monomer binding to iterons increased in both the mutants, suggesting that monomers are likely to be the initiators. We suggest that dimers might be competitive inhibitors of monomer binding to iterons and thus help control replication negatively. ChrII replication was found to be dependent on chaperones DnaJ and DnaK *in vivo*. The chaperones preferentially improved dimer binding *in vitro*, further suggesting the importance of dimer binding in the control of chrII replication.

**INTRODUCTION**

Binding of proteins, called initiators, to the origin of replication is generally the event that initiates duplication of the genome (1). In bacterial origins, the presence of multiple initiator binding sites is the norm and they are used to form a specialized nucleoprotein structure that unwinds the origin, a crucial step that precedes DNA synthesis (2–4). In *Escherichia coli*, where initiator binding has been studied in most detail, great strides have been made to understand the opening process but the mechanism of unwinding still remains speculative, primarily because only partial structural information about the nucleoprotein complexes is available (5). Multi-site binding provides more regulatory opportunities to prevent untimely or over initiation of replication. Understanding the details of the initiator–origin interaction is therefore basic to understanding genome maintenance.

The replication origin of *E.coli*, oriC, contains high and low affinity sites for binding the initiator DnaA. DnaA binds to these sites in either ATP or ADP bound forms. The high affinity sites are used to nucleate binding to low affinity sites, some of which must be occupied by DnaA-ATP (4). Over-initiation of replication is prevented primarily by limiting binding to the low affinity sites (6,7).

Multi-site initiator binding to the origin is also the norm in replication of lambdoid phages and in a large group of plasmids of *E.coli* (3). Here, the sites are direct repeats of nearly identical sequences, called iterons, which bind cognate initiators with high affinity. Limiting initiator binding is also used as one of the mechanisms to prevent over-initiation of plasmid replication, although not of lambdoid phages (8–10).

Multiple mechanisms are used to limit synthesis, activity and availability of initiators. In *E.coli*, initiator synthesis is limited by transcriptional auto-repression and by sequestering the initiator promoter for a significant part of the cell cycle (6). The initiator activity is restrained by a process called the regulatory inactivation of DnaA (RIDA), which accelerates conversion of DnaA-ATP to DnaA-ADP. Finally, initiator availability is reduced by titration of the protein by hundreds of sites distributed throughout the chromosome. Iteron-carrying plasmids use basically the same strategies to limit their initiators except that promoter sequestration and ATP binding are not involved: initiators are inactivated simply by dimerization since only monomers have significant affinity for iterons (11).
Replication of plasmids and chromosomes differ in an important respect: whereas plasmids replicate throughout the cell cycle, a fundamental feature of chromosomes is that they replicate at a particular time of the cell cycle (12). *Vibrio cholerae* provides an opportunity to understand how the timing of replication can be changed from random to specific. Vibrios have two chromosomes (chrI and chrII) (13,14). The replication origin of chrI is nearly identical to that of the *E.coli* chromosome, and the chrII origin is similar to that of plasmids with iterons (15). ChrII, however, is a bona fide chromosome because it carries essential genes while plasmids do not, and because it replicates at a specific time of the cell cycle (16).

The control of chrII replication initiation is more involved than that of plasmid replication. While plasmid initiators bind only to iterons, the chrII initiator, RctB, binds additionally to sites that we call 39-mers (17) (Figure 1). The 39-mers are the key inhibitors that prevent chrII over-replication, whereas in plasmids this is done by the iterons. ChrII iterons have an internal Dam methylation site, GATC, and methylation of the adenine residues of GATC is required for RctB binding (18). The plasmid iterons lack GATC sites. The added features of chrII replication have provided some clues as to how the replication could be restricted to a specific stage of the cell cycle (17).

To get a better understanding of the role of the two kinds of the RctB binding site in the control of chrII replication initiation, here we have isolated mutant RctBs that are more proficient in supporting chrII replication. We have characterized two of the mutants and show that both the mutants are defective in binding, in vivo and in vitro, to a replication inhibitor 39-mer site. This finding provides a reasonable explanation for how the mutants could promote replication. In vitro, RctB bound to a 39-mer as monomer and an iteron as monomer and as dimer. Dimer binding was less with both the mutants, suggesting that it might be inhibitory to replication initiation. We also show that chaperones DnaJ and DnaK are required for efficient chrII replication in vivo and they improve all RctB binding in vitro, particularly the dimer binding. This was unexpected from plasmid studies that showed that mainly monomers bind iterons and the chaperones promote monomer binding by converting dimers to monomers (21,22). These results suggest that the binding of RctB dimers to iterons is yet another mechanism to control chrII replication. The dimer binding could be a potential negative feedback mechanism to control over-initiation of replication, as will be discussed.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and plasmids**

*Vibrio cholerae* and *E.coli* strains, and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. The plasmids constructed in this study are as follows.

pAS1 was constructed by annealing complementary oligonucleotides [Supporting Information in (19)] (Supplementary Table S1).

pGD12 is same as pET22b (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) except its *bla* gene was replaced with the *aadA7* gene by PCR. *aadA7* was amplified from pTVC11 using primers GD4 and GD7, and the backbone of pET22b without the *bla* gene was amplified using primers GD5 and GD9. The two PCR products were mixed and used as template for amplification with primers GD6 and GD16. The final PCR product was digested with PstI and self-ligated, generating pGD12. Next, the multi-cloning
site between the NdeI and XhoI sites of pGD12 was replaced with annealed oligonucleotides GD1 and GD2, generating pGD14. pGD16 is a clone of rctB with an internal BamHI site (created by silent mutations rctB GD2, generating pGD14. pGD16 is a clone of rctB GD2, generating pGD14. pGD14 was digested with the same enzymes, generating pGD24, pGD28 and pGD43 are identical to pGD16 and pTVC16, respectively, except for the F378S change in the rctB ORF. The change was introduced by site directed mutagenesis using primers GD23 and GD24 and QuikChange II XL Kit (Agilent Technologies, La Jolla, CA, USA).

pJ02 was constructed by cloning the PCR product with the 29-mer (nt 1049–1133) in pMLB1109; pSC101; ApR and KpnI and cloned in pGD14 digested with the same enzymes, generating pGD24. pGD28 and pGD43 are identical to pGD16 and pTVC16, respectively, except for the F378S change in the rctB ORF. The change was introduced by site directed mutagenesis using primers GD23 and GD24 and QuikChange II XL Kit (Agilent Technologies, La Jolla, CA, USA).

pGD14 was digested with the same enzymes, generating pGD24, pGD28 and pGD43 are identical to pGD16 and pTVC16, respectively, except for the F378S change in the rctB ORF. The change was introduced by site directed mutagenesis using primers GD23 and GD24 and QuikChange II XL Kit (Agilent Technologies, La Jolla, CA, USA).

pGD16. To clone the rctBΔC157 gene, the relevant region was amplified from pGD16 using the primers GD10 and GD27, the product digested with EcoRI and KpnI and cloned in pGD14 digested with the same enzymes, generating pGD24, pGD28 and pGD43 are identical to pGD16 and pTVC16, respectively, except for the F378S change in the rctB ORF. The change was introduced by site directed mutagenesis using primers GD23 and GD24 and QuikChange II XL Kit (Agilent Technologies, La Jolla, CA, USA).

pJ02 was constructed by cloning the PCR product using the Phusion High-Fidelity polymerase (NEB, Beverly, MA, USA), N16961 (CVC205) DNA as
template, and JJ02 and BNHE1 as primers, into pTVC11, after digesting both with NheI and NotI. pJJ03 was constructed similarly except that the primers were JJ01 and JJ60, and the PCR product was cloned into pTXB1 (NEB), after digesting both with NdeI and XhoI. pJJ17 were constructed by cloning complementary oligonucleotides in pSP5 at the EcoRI site. pJJ56 was constructed by cloning the PCR product using N16961 DNA as template and JJ115 and JJ70 primers, in pMAL-c2X vector (NEB), after digesting both with EcoRI and BamHI. pJJ58 is same as pTVC11 except for the F378S change in the retB ORF. The change was introduced by site-directed mutagenesis as before using primers JJ36 and JJ64.

pTVC148 was constructed by cloning an oligonucleotide fragment with NotI and XhoI ends into the transcription-free region of pTVC61, after digesting the vector with the same enzymes. pTVC500 was constructed similarly to pAS1, except that the EcoRI oligonucleotide fragment (chrII nt 1049–1133), upstream of the promoter-less lacZ gene in pMLB1109, contained a 39-mer, precisely replacing the 29-mer of PretB.

pSP5 is derived from pBR322 by destroying its EcoRI site (by end filling and ligation) and cloning two copies of the multi-cloning site cassette of pUC13 as inverted repeats at the HindIII site. The cloning sites are arranged in the order HindIII–EcoRI–HindIII.

Mutagenesis of retB and selection mutants conferring chaperone-independence

The retB mutant library was generated by PCR, using the Genemorph II Random Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies). The mutagenesis conditions were expected to generate up to 10 mutations per kb. The whole retB gene was amplified using primers GD30 and GD31. A total of 2800 transformants were pooled, their plasmid library was introduced in DH5α cells by electroporation. A total of 2800 transformants were pooled, made chemically competent and further transformed with either pTVC22 (mini-orII; ApR) or pACYC177 (positive control; ApR). The transformants were selected after overnight incubation at 37°C on LB agar plate containing ampicillin (100 µg/ml) and spectinomycin (40 µg/ml).

Mutagenesis of incII sites

The mini-orII plasmid, pTVC251, has in addition to the minimal origin a part of the adjoining negative control locus, incII (Figure 1). The incII region includes a 39-mer, an 11-mer and a 12-mer, which are all binding sites for the initiator RctB (17). Using overlap extension PCR, a part of the 39-mer sequence, 5'-CGGAAGCATG-3', was changed to 5'-GCCTTTCACTACG-3', and a part of the 11- and 12- mers, 5'-GATC-3' to GTAG (27). These changes significantly decreased RctB binding in vitro [figure S7 of ref. (17) and figure S1C of ref. (18)] and replication control activity in vivo [figure 3 of ref. (17)].

Protein purification

To purify WT RctB and the ΔC157 and F378S mutants, the corresponding genes were cloned into pTXB1 vector (NEB), and the derivate plasmids: pTVC16, pJJ03 and pGD43, respectively, were used to transform BL21(DE3). The transformed colonies were inoculated into 5 ml of LB medium with ampicillin (100 µg/ml) and grown overnight at 37°C. Two ml of this culture was added to 21 of the same medium and grown to OD600 of 0.6 at 37°C. The expression of the cloned protein was induced with 0.4 mM IPTG and the culture was incubated overnight at 16°C. Cells were harvested and resuspended in 20 ml of lysis buffer [25 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 500 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol (v/v) and 1 mM EDTA] and lysed using French Press. The lysate was spun at 13 000 rpm for 20 min (Biofuge fresco, Heraeus, UK) and the supernatant was loaded onto a Bio-Rad Poly-Prep column (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) with 1 ml of packed chitin beads (NEB). The column was washed with 20 volumes of the lysis buffer, and the bound proteins were reacted with 1 ml of 50 mM DTT in the same buffer at 4°C inside the column. After overnight incubation, the released protein was collected by gravity flow. The process was repeated twice with fresh DTT solution but with 1 h incubation each time. The released proteins were pooled and concentrated using Amicon Ultra (30 K) filters (Millipore Corp., Billerica, MA, USA) at 10 000 rpm for 5 min. The concentrated protein (≤500 µl) was further purified by gel filtration using a Superdex 200 column equilibrated with RctB buffer [25 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 300 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol (v/v) and 1 mM EDTA] and as described in the legend to Supplementary Figure S3. The fractions containing RctB was concentrated about 5-fold using Amicon filters (Millipore Corp., Billerica, MA, USA) at 10 000 rpm for 5 min. The concentrated protein (≤500 µl) was further purified by gel filtration using a Superdex 200 column equilibrated with RctB buffer [25 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 300 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol (v/v) and 1 mM EDTA] and as described in the legend to Supplementary Figure S3. The fractions containing RctB was concentrated about 5-fold using Amicon filters as above and dialyzed against a buffer [25 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 300 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol (v/v) and 1 mM EDTA] and stored at −80°C at a final concentration of about 1.5 mg/ml. The protein concentration was measured by Bradford reagent (Fermentas, Glen Burnie, MD, USA), using Bovine serum albumin (BSA) as standard. No visible contaminating band was detected in 10% SDS–PAGE upon loading 6 µg of RctB and staining with SimplyBlue™ SafeStain (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), where a band of 250 ng RctB could be easily detected. The purity of the protein was thus considered >96%.

Mal-RctB fusion protein expressed from pJJ56 was purified similarly, except that the induction was done in the presence of 0.2 mM IPTG, and cells were lysed by freeze-thaw in buffer A [20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4), 200 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol and 1 mM EDTA], followed by sonication in a Digital Sonifier (Branson, Danbury, CT, USA) at 30% power level for 20 s, thrice with 2-min intervals. The lysate supernatant was loaded onto a column containing the maltose resin (NEB). The column was washed with 20 volumes of buffer A and the bound protein was eluted with 10 mM
maltose in buffer A. The eluted protein was dialyzed against buffer A and stored at −80°C.

**EMSA and handcuffing assay**

Plasmids (5 µg) were digested with appropriate restriction enzymes (EcoRV + HpaI or EcoRV) followed by de-phosphorylation of the ends with SAP (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The desired fragments were gel purified and radio-labeled with 30 units of polynucleotidyl kinase (NEB) and 50 µCi of adenosine 5’-[γ-32P]triphosphate (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). The labeled fragments were purified through G-50 columns (Roche Diagnostics Corporation, Indianapolis, IN, USA). EMSA and handcuffing assay were done as described (19,28).

**β-Galactosidase assay**

These were done from late log phase cultures (OD = 0.4–0.5) of BR8706 and its derivatives as described (29).

**Plasmid copy-number measurement**

Host cells for plasmids were BR4391 (dnaKJ+), BR4392 (ΔdnaKJ) in Figure 1, and BR8706 (where araE is expressed constitutively from the chromosome) in Supplementary Figure S2. The plasmids carrying either the wild-type rctB gene or its mutant variants were pGD16, pGD24 and pGD28 (Figure 1), and pTVC11, pJJ02 and pJJ58 (Supplementary Figure S2). Cells with these RctB source plasmids were further transformed with oriII-plasmids. The oriII plasmids contained a second origin (R6K oriII), which was utilized during plasmid construction, maintenance, and isolation, using a host (CVC553) that supplied the cognate initiator (π protein). When measurement of oriII activity was desired, cells had a source of RctB instead of the π protein. In these experiments, LB plates had lower drug (50 instead of 100 µg/ml of ampicillin) to improve growth of cells with mini-oriII plasmids. After overnight growth the colonies were collected by washing the plates and plasmid copy number was determined as described (17). Even with these modifications, the low-copy oriII plasmid band could not be detected in some cases (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure S2).

**Refolding of RctB in vitro**

WT, ΔC157 and Mal-fused RctB proteins, either singly or in pair-wise combination in ≤2 µl, were added to 50 µl of 8 M urea to a final concentration of 2.67 µM and dialyzed against 100 ml of the RctB buffer containing 8 M urea for 2 h. For refolding, the urea concentration in the dialysis buffer was reduced stepwise by adding fresh RctB buffer. Urea concentration was first reduced to 6 M and dialysis continued for 1 h. This process was repeated with 4, 2 and 1 M urea in the dialysis buffer, except the last dialysis in 1 M urea was for 2 h. Finally, dialysis was continued for another 6 h with RctB buffer without urea. These proteins were used within a day for EMSA.

**RESULTS**

**Isolation of RctB mutants that cause over-replication**

Characterization of initiator mutants that cause over-initiation of plasmid replication (copy-up mutants) has contributed greatly to our understanding of plasmid replication control. For low-copy irteteron-carrying plasmids, such as P1 and F, which depend on chaperones for efficient replication, the majority of the mutants isolated on the basis of chaperone-independent replication, also showed the copy-up phenotype (30–32). Plasmids carrying the chrII origin, oriII, replicate efficiently in E.coli, when the chrII-specific initiator, RctB, is provided (15,33). Here, we show that this is not the case when E.coli is deleted for chaperones DnaK and DnaJ (ΔdnaKJ; BR4392). When an oriII plasmid (pTVC22) was used to transform ΔdnaKJ cells containing a RctB source plasmid (pGD16), no transformants were seen after overnight incubation (Supplementary Table S2). This opened the possibility for isolation of copy-up mutants of RctB following the chaperone-independent replication phenotype.

In order to isolate RctB mutants that might allow oriII to function in ΔdnaKJ cells, a plasmid library of rctB mutants was generated by error prone PCR. The library was used en masse to transform ΔdnaKJ cells. The transformants were pooled and further transformed with either an oriII plasmid (pTVC22) or a control plasmid (pACYC177). When the presence of both the resident rctB plasmid and the incoming control plasmid was selected, about 2000 colonies were obtained. When an equal amount of oriII plasmid replaced the control plasmid, the number of colonies was 32. From 10 of these colonies, the rctB plasmid was isolated and sequenced. In each case, the rctB gene showed multiple changes (Supplementary Table S3). Mutants were created with single changes in some of these positions by site-directed mutagenesis of the wild-type gene present in pGD16, and their ability to replicate the oriII plasmid (pTVC22) in ΔdnaKJ cells was tested. Only mutations causing substitutions F378L, F378L, M502S and K502S conferred replication proficiency. We chose the mutants K502S and F378L for further study. The ochre mutant was interesting as it was replication proficient in spite of lacking 157 C-terminal amino acids. The F378L mutant was chosen because it showed a stronger replication proficient phenotype than the other two. Hereafter, the mutants will be referred to as ΔC157 and F378L.

The replication phenotype was tested quantitatively by measuring copy number of oriII plasmids. Two oriII plasmids were used. One (pTVC251) carried the minimal oriII and a part of the adjoining negative control locus, incII (Figure 1). This plasmid was chosen because here the negative control operates near maximally and severely restricts oriII activity (19). In the other (pTVC336), the three RctB binding sites of incII were mutated, leaving only the oriII region intact (17). In the latter plasmid,
activity is found to be near maximal. These will be referred to as low- and high-copy oriII plasmids, respectively.

The oriII plasmids were electroporated into cells that already had an established plasmid supplying RctB. In ΔdnaKJ cells, the mutant RctB proteins supported replication of both the oriII plasmids at copy numbers higher than those obtained with WT RctB (Figure 1). The copy number hierarchy of the two oriII plasmids was maintained, indicating that the mutants remain capable of mediating incII-mediated negative control. The copy numbers increased further when dnaKJ cells were used, indicating that the mutants were still dependent on chaperones for full activity. The copy number of the low-copy oriII plasmid increased more in the presence of F378S compared to ΔC157, indicating that F378S is more dependent on chaperones than ΔC157. The increase in copy number was not due to changes in initiator concentration either in dnaKJ or ΔdnaKJ cells, as determined by western blotting (Supplementary Figure S1).

Unexpectedly, dnaKJ cells supplying mutant RctB proteins could not be transformed with the high-copy oriII plasmid. This was not due to significant changes in RctB supply (Supplementary Figure S1). We considered the possibility that too high a rate of replication of the oriII plasmid could be the reason for the failure to obtain transformants, as plasmid over-replication is known to arrest cell growth (33). Since the rate of oriII firing depends upon RctB supply (20, 34), we tried to reduce the rate by reducing RctB supply. This was achieved by a lower copy number vector and limiting induction of rctB transcription (Supplementary Figure S2A). Under these conditions, the high-copy oriII plasmid could transform and replicate in dnaKJ cells in the presence of either of the RctB mutants. In summary, it appears that although selected as chaperone-independent, copy-up is the major phenotype of both the RctB mutants.

**RctB mutants are altered in DNA binding in vivo**

To understand the basis of the copy-up phenotype, we determined the binding of the mutant proteins to iterons and to a 39-mer, the two kinds of sites to which RctB specifically binds. We used promoter-repression as reporter of binding in vivo. In the origin region of chrII, there are two promoters, one overlapping an iteron (P-rctA) and the other overlapping a 29-mer (P-rctB) (17) (Figure 1). The 29-mer is similar in sequence and structure to a 39-mer and binds RctB. In any event, we replaced the 29-mer with the 39-mer from the middle of incII and this did not alter the promoter activity. The promoters were fused to a promoter-less lacZ gene present in a plasmid and these reporter plasmids were introduced into E.coli. Promoter activities were then determined in the presence of an inducible plasmid source of RctB (Figure 2).

Assuming promoter repression to be diagnostic of RctB binding, the results indicate that compared to the WT protein, ΔC157 is defective in 39-mer binding but is improved in iteron binding. Since 39-mer binding inhibits initiation, and iteron binding promotes initiation, the reduced 39-mer binding and increased iteron binding provides a ready explanation for the copy-up phenotype. F378S behavior was similar to that of ΔC157 in that it also bound with lower affinity to a 39-mer and greater affinity to iterons compared to WT RctB but ΔC157 exhibited a greater degree of discrimination. These results and the results of copy-number measurements (Figure 1) suggest that the copy-up phenotype of the two mutants have common but not identical bases.

**RctB mutants are altered in DNA binding in vitro**

The DNA fragment used in these studies carried either a single 12-mer or a single 39-mer. WT RctB bound to both kinds of site at similar protein concentrations but maximal binding was significantly more to the 39-mer compared to the iteron (~90% versus ~20%; Figure 3). The opposite was the case for the ΔC157 mutant: it bound negligibly to the 39-mer but efficiently to the iteron (~2% versus ~80%). The F378S binding pattern was different but it bound the 39-mer less avidly than the WT protein (~45% versus ~90%). The 39-mer binding defect seen in vitro is thus supported in vitro for both the mutants. However, while both the mutants were improved in iteron binding in vivo, this was obvious only for the ΔC157 mutant in vitro. The two mutants thus appear to be different in their binding characteristics in vitro as well.

Binding to the iteron in vitro appeared to be complex: two retarded bands were reproducibly seen both for the WT and F378S proteins, although the fragment used had a single iteron. The faster migrating band was more intense in the case of the F378S mutant protein, opposite to the case of the WT protein. The ΔC157 mutant mainly showed one retarded band that corresponded to the faster migrating band with the WT protein. The finding that both the copy-up mutants are enriched in the faster migrating band suggests that the form of the protein present in this band is more proficient in promoting replication initiation.

**RctB binds to an iteron both as monomer and dimer, and to a 39-mer only as monomer**

The molecular weight (Mw) of RctB monomer is 75 kDa, calculated from its amino acid sequence. The Mw appeared to be ~160 kDa in gel filtration studies, indicating that the protein can dimerize, like the initiators of iteron-carrying plasmids (Supplementary Figure S3). Plasmid initiators, however, generally bind to iterons as monomers. Dimers can also bind but only to one-half of an iteron sequence, and this half-site binding is considerably weaker (28). Dimers do bind efficiently when the half-iteron site is naturally present as an inverted repeat (35, 36). The chrII iterons differ from plasmid iterons in having an internal dyad-symmetric element, TGATCA, and these bases are the only bases of the iterons that are fully conserved. The element is however asymmetrically placed within the iteron. These features prompted the possibility that RctB might bind to single iterons either as monomer or as dimer, which could explain the two retarded bands seen by EMSA (Figure 3).
We used Ferguson analysis to estimate the Mw of the two retarded bands of the iteron-carrying fragment and the single retarded band of the 39-mer carrying fragment. In this analysis, the Mw of a protein–DNA complex is determined by comparing its electrophoretic mobility with that of known protein standards in a series of native gels of increasing concentrations. It is assumed that mobility of each species is influenced solely by its size, shape, and net charge. Initially, migration of each species can be taken in support of dimer binding. Three proteins were used: WT RctB, a truncated version, the ∆C157 mutant, and a larger protein, a Mal-RctB fusion. These three proteins were unfolded and refolded separately, and in pair-wise combinations: WT + ∆C157, WT + Mal-RctB, and ∆C157 + Mal-RctB (further details are in the ‘Materials and Methods’ section). The binding patterns of refolded WT, ∆C157, and Mal-RctB proteins were similar to those obtained with their native counterparts (Figure 3; data not shown for Mal-RctB). When refolded protein combinations were used, two new bands were observed in the case of WT + Mal-RctB, although one band was expected (Figure 5A). The positions of the new bands were intermediate between the putative dimer binding bands of the two starting proteins. The observation that no new retarded bands were seen when ∆C157 was combined with the other two proteins suggests that ∆C157 is inefficient either in dimer formation (at the assay concentration) or in binding to DNA as dimer. Together with the results of Figure 3, the suggestion is that the single retarded band seen with ∆C157 represents monomer binding, and the two retarded bands seen with full length RctB represent monomer and dimer binding.

Evidence for heterodimer binding was also obtained by coexpressing WT RctB and RctB fused in frame to chitin-binding domain (RctB-CBD). In these experiments, the two proteins were over-produced in the same cell and crude extracts were mixed with the 12-mer fragment and the binding determined by EMSA (Supplementary Figure S4).

The refolded proteins when tested for binding to the 39-mer, did not show any new retarded species (Figure 5B). This result is expected if RctB binds to 39-mer as monomer, as was also the suggestion from the results of Figure 3.

Chaperones promote binding of RctB both as monomer and as dimer

The chaperones DnaJ and DnaK were routinely used in studies by EMSA described above. When the chaperones were omitted, the binding was less in all cases, indicating that the chaperones promote RctB binding both to iterons
and to the 39-mer; both the mutant proteins were similar to the WT RctB in this respect (Supplementary Figure S5). These results are consistent with the results of copy number measurements that indicated that the replication of the mutants has remained largely chaperone dependent (Figure 1).

In the case of iteron-carrying plasmids, the chaperones remodel the initiator protomers in a way that disfavors dimerization (37,38). The increase of monomeric species leads to increased iteron binding. Since RctB seems to bind iterons both as monomer and dimer, we expected that the chaperones would preferentially increase monomer binding. However, both monomer and dimer binding increased, and the results were same whether the protein was WT RctB or the F378S mutant (Figure 6). Although the structural basis of chaperone action on RctB remains to be studied, it appears that the chaperones refold the RctB protomer in a way that they remain competent in dimerization.

**Handcuffing by RctB mutants**

One of the properties of plasmid initiators is that they can pair iterons by forming protein bridges, a process called handcuffing. Participation of the origin iterons in handcuffing either in cis or in trans, is believed to inhibit
replication by causing steric hindrance to origin function. Copy-up mutants of plasmid initiators have often been found to be defective in handcuffing, indicating the importance of the mechanism in lowering of plasmid copy number (11). Since RctB can also handcuff iterons (17), reduction of handcuffing could be a mechanism underlying the copy-up phenotype of the RctB mutants. To test this hypothesis, the handcuffing efficiency of the mutants was determined using a fragment with three iterons (the 11-mers of incII). (Fragments with lower number of iterons handcuff poorly and the 39-mer by itself does not handcuff (17).) When normalized for binding, C157 was severely defective in handcuffing, consistent with its copy-up phenotype. In contrast, F378S was at least as efficient as the WT RctB in handcuffing, suggesting that handcuffing is unlikely to be reason for its copy-up phenotype (Figure 7).

RctB binding to iterons is cooperative

In our experimental condition, WT RctB bound to single iterons poorly, and majority of the probe remained unbound (Figures 3 and 6). In contrast, when we used multiple iterons, it was possible to achieve saturation of binding (Figure 8A, lane 3). This indicates binding to one site increases the affinity of binding to a neighboring site in cis (positive cooperativity). In contrast to the WT protein, ΔC157 was found to be significantly defective in cooperative binding (Figure 8A; lanes 3 versus 6). Although ΔC157 bound to single iterons more efficiently than WT RctB, it required more protein than the WT to saturate binding to multiple sites, which is also indicative of a defect in cooperative binding (Figure 8A, lanes 3 versus 7). The degree of cooperativity was quantified by estimating cooperativity factor, τ (39). A τ value of replication by causing steric hindrance to origin function. Copy-up mutants of plasmid initiators have often been found to be defective in handcuffing, indicating the importance of the mechanism in lowering of plasmid copy number (11). Since RctB can also handcuff iterons (17), reduction of handcuffing could be a mechanism underlying the copy-up phenotype of the RctB mutants. To test this hypothesis, the handcuffing efficiency of the mutants was determined using a fragment with three iterons (the 11-mers of incII). (Fragments with lower number of iterons handcuff poorly and the 39-mer by itself does not handcuff (17).) When normalized for binding, C157 was severely defective in handcuffing, consistent with its copy-up phenotype. In contrast, F378S was at least as efficient as the WT RctB in handcuffing, suggesting that handcuffing is unlikely to be reason for its copy-up phenotype (Figure 7).

RctB binding to iterons is cooperative

In our experimental condition, WT RctB bound to single iterons poorly, and majority of the probe remained unbound (Figures 3 and 6). In contrast, when we used multiple iterons, it was possible to achieve saturation of binding (Figure 8A, lane 3). This indicates binding to one site increases the affinity of binding to a neighboring site in cis (positive cooperativity). In contrast to the WT protein, ΔC157 was found to be significantly defective in cooperative binding (Figure 8A; lanes 3 versus 6). Although ΔC157 bound to single iterons more efficiently than WT RctB, it required more protein than the WT to saturate binding to multiple sites, which is also indicative of a defect in cooperative binding (Figure 8A, lanes 3 versus 7). The degree of cooperativity was quantified by estimating cooperativity factor, τ (39). A τ value of...
greater than 1.4 indicates positive cooperativity, and only WT RctB exceeded this value (Figure 8B).

Cooperativity was also quantified by determining the Hill coefficient, \( n_H \). The value of \( n_H > 1 \) indicates positive cooperativity. For ease of quantification, a fragment with only two iterons was used. The \( n_H \) value for WT RctB was found to be \( \sim 2 \), confirming that the binding is positively cooperative (Figure 8C). The \( n_H \) value for \( \Delta C157 \) was \( \sim 1 \), indicating that the binding is not cooperative, and for F378S was \( \sim 1.4 \), indicating that the mutant has lost some of the cooperative binding property. Loss of positive cooperativity thus might be another reason for the copy-up phenotype of the mutants.

**DISCUSSION**

In bacteria, the control of chromosomal replication is mediated by DnaA. In the three most studied cases, *E.coli, Bacillus subtilis* and *Caulobacter crescentus*, the control mechanisms seem to differ significantly among the three, although they are all centered on DnaA (6).

The chrII of *V. choleare* provides a fresh perspective on chromosomal replication control, as it is controlled by the chrII-specific initiator RctB. Nonetheless, here also initiator–origin interaction plays a central role in initiation of DNA replication, as it does in other organisms (40). In this study, we have shown that RctB binds specifically to iterons in two forms, as monomer and dimer, the latter binding being more efficient. Monomer binding, in contrast, increases in mutant initiators that promote replication. This implies that dimer binding could be a previously unrecognized negative regulatory mechanism for chrII replication initiation. In the iteron-family of plasmids, whose replication region has many similarities to that of chrII, the initiators bind to iterons as monomer, although there is an isolated evidence of dimer binding as...
The avid dimer binding in the case of chrII suggests that it could be a significant regulatory mechanism, which is not generally the case with iteron-carrying plasmids. Dimers of plasmid initiators, although they do not bind iterons, they do contribute to the control of replication in a major way. Dimerization reduces monomer concentration and therefore initiator binding, since monomers only bind iterons (35). In fact, in many members of the iteron family of plasmids, chaperones such as DnaJ and DanK, are required to dissociate dimers so that enough monomers are available for initiation (21,22). The chaperones remodel the initiators in a way that reduces dimerization (37,38,41). We also show here that plasmids driven by the chrII origin (oriII) depend on chaperones for optimal replication but the chaperones improve both monomer and dimer binding in vitro, the latter more efficiently (Figure 6 and Supplementary Figure S5), the opposite of what was expected from plasmid studies (28). This suggests that reducing dimerization is not the role of chaperones in chrII replication. Our results lead instead to the following model of specific DNA-binding activity of RctB:

Inactive RctB dimer $\xrightarrow{\text{Chaperones}}$ Active RctB monomer

Active RctB monomer $\leftrightarrow$ Active RctB dimer

The model also helps to explain the apparent contradictory findings that the chaperones increase dimer binding, a putative inhibitory mechanism, and yet promote replication. At low RctB concentration, the chaperone-mediated increase of active monomers might promote replication since RctB is limiting for replication (20,34). However, if RctB concentration overshoots, the consequent preferential increase in dimer concentration might prevent over-replication. Dimer binding thus can be viewed as a negative feedback mechanism for initiation control. It should be noted that our results do not rule out the possibility that chaperones make only active monomers, as in plasmids, and the monomers dimerize upon interacting with DNA (42). In any event, the negative feedback would apply equally well.

We have shown recently that prevention of over-replication of chrII is mediated not by iterons alone, as in plasmids, but primarily by a second kind of RctB binding site, the 39-mers (17). This was inferred by mutating those binding sites. Here, we have come to the same conclusion by mutating RctB. Both RctB mutants characterized here that allowed over-replication of plasmids driven by oriII, are defective in 39-mer binding. These studies further establish the importance of 39-mer in controlling chrII replication.

Another potential regulatory mechanism revealed in this study is the involvement of cooperative binding of the initiator to the iterons of the origin. It is also intriguing that both the mutants exhibit decreased affinity for the 39-mer but increased affinity for the iterons (Figure 2). The increased binding apparently allowed the incII iterons to play a more prominent inhibitory role. This proposal is based on our earlier finding that the iterons of incII become more potent inhibitors when the 39-mers are mutated (17). Although the mutant initiators confer over-replication, they are still control proficient. The copy number of oriII plasmids decreased when RctB binding sites of incII were added, irrespective of whether the initiator was WT or mutant (Figure 1). The added incII region included two iterons and a 39-mer. Relative to the WT protein, both the mutants exhibited increased affinity for the 39-mer but decreased affinity for the iterons (Figure 2). The increased binding apparently allowed the incII iterons to play a more prominent inhibitory role. This proposal is based on our earlier finding that the iterons of incII became more potent inhibitors when the 39-mers are mutated (17).

Another potential regulatory mechanism revealed in this study is the involvement of cooperative binding of the initiator to the iterons of the origin. It is also intriguing that both the mutants are reduced in cooperative binding. Most of the plasmid initiators appear to bind to iterons in a non-cooperative manner, although under some restricted conditions exceptions have been reported (43). The significance of cooperative binding in the control of replication remains to be studied.
The copy-up phenotype of the two mutants was conferred by two very different changes: one is a deletion of C-terminal 157 amino acids and the other a substitution of a single amino acid far from the C-terminal. In a recent study, selection of regulatory mutants by a different strategy also revealed, among others, these two types of mutant (44). Of the two mutants, ΔC157 is expected to confer a higher copy number: it is more defective in 39-mer binding and more proficient in iteron binding as monomer (Figures 2 and 3). ΔC157 is also more defective in handcuffing, which is believed to be the major mechanism that inhibits plasmid replication. However, F378S seems to be a better initiator in vivo (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure S2A). It apparently binds to iteron and 39-mer in a sigmoidal fashion, the significance of which remains to be understood. Whether the reduced 39-mer binding fully accounts for the proficiency of the F378S mutant in promoting chrII replication remains to be determined.
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