Supplementary Table S1. Primary results of Hi-C libraries sequencing

| Sample | Number of reads | Number of <br> mapped contacts <br> (after all filters)* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CEF, replica 1 | 140744763 | 54344109 |
| CEF, replica 2 | 135932850 | 38624661 |
|  |  |  |
| CME, replica 1 | 129824124 | 84643264 |
| CME, replica 2 | 131351508 | 85117148 |
|  |  |  |
| CIE, replica 1 | 139424774 | 93367439 |
| CIE, replica 2 | 139424774 | 92091702 |

*Data provided for standard pipeline executed on contig-level genome assembly. See "Generation of heatmaps" for detailed protocol.

Supplementary Table S2. Sizes of TADs identified in CIE and CME

| Algorithm | Number | Total <br> Length, <br> Mbp | Genome <br> Coverage, <br> $\%$ | Mean <br> Length, <br> Mbp | Median <br> Length, <br> Mbp |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CIE Aramtus | 3032 | 781,8 | 76,54 | 257.850 | 200 |
| CIE Dixon | 653 | 927,04 | 90,76 | 1419.663 | 1240 |
| TADtree (Level 0) | 1807 | 623,08 | 61 | 344.815 | 200 |
| TADtree (nested, Level <br> 1) | 733 | 164,28 | 16,08 | 224.120 | 200 |
| TADtree (nested, Level <br> 2) | 96 | 15,48 | 1,52 | 161.250 | 120 |
| TADtree (nested, Level <br> 3) | 2 | 0,28 | 0,03 | 140 | 120 |
| CME Aramtus | 3485 | 806,6 | 78,96 | 231.449 | 200 |
| CME Dixon | 805 | 903 | 88,4 | 1121.739 | 920 |
| CME TADtree (Level <br> 0) | 1567 | 610,28 | 59,75 | 389.458 | 240 |
| CME TADtree (nested, <br> Level 1) | 609 | 129,6 | 12,69 | 212.808 | 160 |
| CME TADtree (nested, <br> Level 2) | 73 | 11,92 | 1,17 | 163.288 | 160 |
| CME TADtree (nested, <br> Level 3) | 9 | 1,4 | 0,14 | 155.556 | 120 |
| CME TADtree (nested, <br> Level 4) | 1 | 0,12 | 0,01 | 120 | 120 |

Supplementary Table S3. BAC-clones used in this study

| BAC CHORI-261 | BAC start* | BAC end* | BAC length, bp | Color after detection |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 177 D 19 | 25424085 | 25632182 | 208098 | red |
| 96 F 4 | 26339255 | 26564682 | 225428 | green |
| 91 I5 | 27261747 | 27445467 | 183721 | green |

*-coordinates in genome assembly Gallus_gallus-5.0

## Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1. Pearson correlation coefficient between biological replicas and different cell types.

Supplementary Figure 2. Analysis of the first Eigenvector values. A. Distribution of E1 values in CEF, CIE and CME. B. Fraction of positive E1 values (A-compartment) and negative E1 values (B-compartment) on each chromosome. C. Scatter plot showing correlation between gene expression levels (measured as FPKM) and E1 values corresponding to promoter region. Each dot represents a single gene. X-axis shows FPKM value, Y-axis shows E1-value of 100KB genomic region containing the gene. If gene spans more than 100 KB , we used E1-value of the 100 KB bin containing transcription start size. Because CME do not exhibit transcriptional activity, we used FPKM values derived from CIE expression data.

Supplementary Figure 3. A/B-compartments in chicken fibroblasts and erythrocytes.
A. All genomic regions separated into 5 categories according to the E1 values (categories B, b, 0 , a, A correspond to ascending E1 values). Heatmaps show average enrichment of interactions between loci belonging to each pair of categories. Compartmentalization strength is calculated as natural logarithm of the AA (bottom right pixel) * BB (upper left pixel) / AB (upper right pixel). B. Scatter plots of E1 values. The X- and Y-axes indicate the E1 values derived from two different cell types.

Supplementary Figure 4. The ratio of contact probabilities between pairs of loci of CIE and CEF (green) and of CIE and CEF (blue) at different genomic distances. The X-axis indicates genomic distance, and the Y -axis indicates the ratio of contact probabilities. The black line shows a 1:1 ratio.

Supplementary Figure 5. An example of different compartments pattern in CIE and CME observed on chromosome 9, near LPP gene.

Supplementary Figure 6. Genomic characteristics of TADs identified in chicken fibroblasts. Features shown on Fig. 3 for Dixon TADs presented here for Armatus (left panel, A,C,E,G,I,K) and TADtree (right panel, B,D,F,H,J,L) TADs in a similar manner.

Supplementary Figure 7. CTCF sites contribute to insulation of Dixon TADs. A. The drop of contact probability between the genomic loci separated by the CTCF site is shown as on Fig. 3, B. The X -axis shows a distance from the CTCF-site, the Y -axis represents average value of contact frequencies of pairs of loci located 40 KB upstream and 40 KB downstream of the point defined by X-axis values. B. Orientation of CTCF sites at TAD boundaries. Again, X-axis shows distance
from TAD borders, and Y-axis represents average orientation of CTCF sites in genomic regions defined by X-axis. To obtain Y-values, all CTCF sites in defined genomic regions were summed with a sign according to CTCF site orientation (+1 for forward orientation; -1 for reverse orientation). Obtained results were normalized to the total number of CTCF sites. The graph shows minimum at the -40 KB point, reflecting enrichment of CTCF sites in reverse orientation ( -1 ) near 5'-end of TAD and maximum at the +40 KB point, reflecting enrichment of CTCF sites in forward orientation (+1) near 3'-end of TAD, as shown on schematic illustration below the graph.

Supplementary Figure 8. Comparison of genomic locations of TADs identified by various algorithms in CEF, CIE and CME. A. Heatmap showing variation of information coefficient values for pairwise comparisons of TAD sets. Data is presented as ratio of observed information coefficient value ( $\underline{\mathbf{O}}$ bserbed) to the value obtained for randomly permuted TADs (Expected). For similar TAD sets, variation of information coefficient is equal to 0 , thus the resulting $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{E}$ ratio is equal to 0 . If there is no correlation between positions of TADs of two cell types (or TADs obtained by two different algorithms) observed value would be similar to the expected value, thus resulting O/E ratio will be equal to 1 . B. Hierarchical clustering of TAD sets based on O/E values.

Supplementary Figure 9. Properties of TADs identified in erythrocytes and fibroblasts. A, B. Average number of genes (A) and CTCF sites (B) near borders of Dixon TADs identified in CIE. Data is presented as on Fig. 3, B. C, D. Average Armatus (C) and TADtree (D) TAD identified in CEF. CIE and CME.

Supplementary Figure 10. Heatmaps showing E1 values of TAD borders and neighboring loci. Each row represents a single TAD, and each pixel reflects E1 value of 40 KB region according to the color scheme shown in the bottom. For each TAD, we show $240 \mathrm{~KB}(6 * 40 \mathrm{~KB})$ of genome centered at 3 '-border and 240 KB centered at 5 '-border.

Supplementary Figure 11. The dependence of the contact probability on the genomic distance $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{s})$ calculated separately for micro- and macrochromosomes presented as on Fig. 6.

Supplementary Figure 12. A. Co-occurrence of TAD borders and chicken linage EBRs shown as on Fig. 9, A. B-D. Distribution of transposable elements belonging to DNA transposons (B), LINE (C) and LTR (D) families near TAD borders shown as on Fig. 9, C.

Supplementary Figure 13. A-C. The observed/expected ratio for CEF interchromosomal contacts is shown as on Fig. 6, but separately for individual replicas (A, B) and merged dataset (C).

Supplementary Figure 14. Western blot analysis of CTCF and Rad21, extracted from chicken fibroblasts, erythrocytes, and human HEK293 cells. A: Anti-CTCF staining; B: antiRad21 staining.

Supplementary Figure 15. Distribution of CTCF in CEF and CME (red). DNA is counterstained with DAPI (blue).
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