Calcium ketoglutarate versus calcium acetate for treatment of hyperphosphataemia in patients on maintenance haemodialysis: a cross-over study
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Abstract Since dietary restrictions and phosphorus removal by haemodialysis (HD) are not sufficient to control serum phosphate (s-phosphate) levels in dialysis patients the use of oral phosphate binders is mandatory. Calcium ketoglutarate (CaKE) is an analogue of glutamic acid exerting phosphate binding properties. Therefore we compared this substance to calcium acetate (CaAC) in a 24-weeks open cross-over trial in 28 maintenance HD patients. Medications and HD prescriptions were kept unchanged during the trial. Following 2 weeks of withdrawal of phosphate binders, patients were randomly assigned to one of the calcium salts for 12 weeks; after a second withdrawal of 2 weeks all patients were shifted to the other treatment for another 12 weeks. All patients received equimolar doses of CaKE and CaAC with respect to the amount of prescribed elemental calcium. Treatment with CaAC and CaKE significantly reduced s-phosphate levels after 4 weeks (CaAC 1.95 ± 0.6 vs 2.4 ± 0.53 mmol/l, P = 0.004; CaKE 1.95 ± 0.4 vs 2.47 ± 0.63 mmol/l, P = 0.0001) reaching a virtually stable plateau over the remaining observation time without significant differences between the groups. The incidence of hypercalcaemia defined as a serum calcium level ≥ 2.8 mmol/l was significantly higher in CaAC than in CaKE treated patients (n = 8 vs n = 1, P = 0.03). There were no significant differences in serum intact parathyroid hormone (PTH) bicarbonate, albumin or calcitriol levels between the groups after 12 weeks treatment. We conclude that CaKE is as effective as CaAC for treatment of hyperphosphataemia in chronic HD patients and may be particularly helpful in patients who are prone to develop hypercalcaemia.
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Introduction

Virtually all patients on chronic haemodialysis (HD) develop hyperphosphataemia with its known detrimental impact on the progression of secondary hyperparathyroidism, renal osteodystrophy and vascular calcifications [1,2]. Since the surplus of phosphorus can not sufficiently be removed by HD or reasonably restricted by dietary means the use of oral phosphate binders is necessary in almost all patients to control s-phosphorus levels [2]. Phosphate binders based on calcium salts like calcium carbonate (CaCA) or calcium acetate (CaAC) have been used successfully in the past to treat hyperphosphataemia widely displacing the previously used more toxic aluminium containing agents [2]. However, the main side effect of these substances, hypercalcaemia, limits their use in patients prone to this complication [2]. Calcium ketoglutarate (CaKE), a semi-synthetic analogue of the amino acid glutamic acid exerts phosphate binding properties apparently without inducing hypercalcaemia [3,4] or other side effects as were shown in uncontrolled studies by Zimmermann et al. in chronic HD patients. Furthermore, putatively beneficial effects on the nutritional status of dialysis patients were described by Riedel and colleagues [5]. CaAC is regarded as the most potent calcium based phosphate binder both in vitro and in vivo [6,7]. So far no study has investigated if CaKE is equally effective when compared to CaAC. Since calcium is the phosphate binding moiety of the above mentioned substances we designed a study to compare CaAC versus CaKE given in equimolar amounts of elemental calcium (Ca^{2+}) with respect to their phosphate binding potency and the incidence of hypercalcaemia in patients on maintenance HD.

Methods

Subjects

The participating centres were the Hospital’s Dialysis Unit within the Vth Department of Medicine, University Hospital Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, Theodor-Kutzer-Ufer 1-3, D-68135 Mannheim, Germany.
Mannheim and the Dialysis Centre Drs Zimmermann/Wassmer, Mannheim, Germany. All patients had chronic renal failure and were on maintenance HD for at least 12 months. Thirty two stable chronic HD patients were enrolled after giving informed consent. Inclusion criteria were hyperphosphataemia after withdrawal of phosphate binding agents (s-phosphorus $>1.7$ mmol/l), known adherence to therapy, prior dialysis time $>12$ months and an intact PTH level smaller than the ten-fold upper normal level. Previously, control of phosphorus was obtained by CaCA, CaAC or CaKE given in dosages of between 1000 and 2000 mg of Ca$^{2+}$. Of the 32 patients initially enrolled 20 had been treated with CaKE before. Prior, and during the study, all patients received $3–5$ h dialysis treatment three times per week using a bicarbonate dialysate with a calcium concentration between 1.25 and 1.75 mmol/l. No patient was taking vitamin D supplements. Serum s-phosphate levels after 4 weeks, (CaAC $1.95 \pm 0.53$ vs $2.16 \pm 0.52$ mmol/l, $P = 0.0004$; CaKE $2.47 \pm 0.63$ vs $2.12 \pm 0.52$ mmol/l, $P = 0.0005$). Treatment with CaAC and CaKE significantly reduced s-phosphate levels after 4 weeks, (CaAC $1.95 \pm 0.6$ vs $2.4 \pm 0.53$ mmol/l, $P = 0.004$; CaKE $1.95 \pm 0.4$ vs $2.47 \pm 0.63$ mmol/l, $P = 0.0001$) reaching a virtually stable plateau over the remaining observation time without significant differences between both groups. From the eighth week on there was a tendency towards lower s-phosphate levels in the CaAC group, however these differences never reached a statistically significant level (Figures 1 and 2). In both groups there was an insignificant increase in s-calcium concentrations during the study periods (Figure 3). The incidence of hypercalcaemia was not different between the groups when defined as s-calcium levels $>2.6$ mmol/l (CaAC: 16 episodes of 168 measurements; CaKE: 14 of 168, $P = NS$). However, more severe hypercalcaemia defined as s-calcium levels of $>2.8$ mmol/l occurred significantly more in CaAC treated patients when compared to CaKE (eight episodes of 168 measurements vs 1 of 168, $P < 0.05$). The amount of ingested Ca$^{2+}$ per day was not significantly different between the groups (CaAC $851 \pm 473$ vs CaKE $920 \pm 571$ mg). Intact PTH, calcitriol, albumin and venous bicarbonate levels were neither significantly different between the groups at study entry or after 12 weeks treatment (Table 1).

Discussion

In this open randomized cross over study in 28 chronic haemodialysis patients we demonstrated clearly that CaKE, when given in equimolar amounts of Ca$^{2+}$, exerts the same phosphate binding effect as CaAC.
Despite a stable significant reduction of s-phosphate levels over the whole study time in both groups normal s-phosphate levels were not reached because the initial dosage based on an empirical formula was too small and we did not change the initial dosage since we wanted to compare both substances given in equimolar amounts of Ca\(^{2+}\). This is reflected by the relatively small amount of prescribed Ca\(^{2+}\) (CaAC 851 ± 473 vs CaKE 920 ± 571 mg, n.s.) which was not significantly different between the groups. Generally, more than a two-fold amount of Ca\(^{2+}\) is required both for CaAC and CaKE to decrease s-phosphate levels back in the normal range [2,8]. Thus, despite the significant reduction of serum phosphorus induced by both agents...
phosphate control was surely not adequate during our study.

The incidence of severe hypercalcaemia defined as s-calcium level of >2.8 mmol/l was significantly higher in CaAC treated patients when compared to CaKE. Recently, it was demonstrated in an unblinded cross-over study of 10 patients, that CaKE had the same phosphate binding capacity but induced significantly lesser increments in s-calcium levels when compared to CaCA [8]. This in vivo finding corresponds to in vitro findings, that CaKE has a similar phosphate-binding effect as CaCA with a smaller amount of Ca\(^{2+}\) available for resorption [9]. Furthermore, Zimmermann et al. in their two reports of successful use of CaKE as an phosphate binding agents reported no occurrence of significant hypercalcaemia [3,4]. Thus CaKE showed the same phosphate binding potency as the two well established phosphate binders CaCA and CaAC. However, the propensity of CaCA to increase s-calcium levels or of CaAC to induce hypercalcaemia seems not to be shared by CaKE making it the ideal agent for patients prone to hypercalcaemia.

Bro et al. [8] reported a high incidence of gastrointestinal complaints in haemodialysis patients receiving CaKE. In their study comparing CaKE to calcium carbonate in an open cross over design 29% patients were withdrawn from CaKE therapy within the first 2 weeks due to gastrointestinal symptoms like vomiting, anorexia and diarrhoea. However, Bro et al. stated also that all of these patients had pre-existing gastrointestinal symptoms. In contrast, none of our patients in the CaKE limb developed these symptoms. In our centres CaKE had been used for about 10 years and it is our general impression that it is a very well tolerated drug with no special gastrointestinal side effects. This is supported by studies from Zimmermann and Riedel who also reported no gastrointestinal complaints using CaKE in a similar dosage of 900–1000 mg elemental calcium per day in haemodialysis patients treated up to 36 months [3–5]. Nevertheless, the dosage of elemental calcium which was used in these studies as well as in our study was rather low and not sufficient to control phosphate levels. Thus, besides the possibility that CaKE is not well tolerated in patients with
pre-existing gastrointestinal complaints, the apparent paucity of gastrointestinal side effects could be a dose related phenomenon since Bro et al. used more than twice as much CaKE, reflected by a mean intake of elemental calcium of 2.44 g a day after 12 weeks treatment. However, all symptoms appeared in the first 14 days of treatment when the dosage of CaKE was comparable to that we used. Thus, the exact reason for this discrepancy in side effects remains obscure but may be related to the fact that in our centres both patients and nephrologists are used to CaKE and is therefore not considered to be a new drug with potential unknown side effects. Moreover 20 out of the 32 patients initially included had been treated with CaKE before study start, and were therefore familiar with this drug.

The main disadvantage of CaKE is its relatively high price when compared to CaAC or CaCA [8]. Thus, besides its potential usefulness in patients prone to hypercalcæmia, its putative anabolic effect thereby improving malnutrition in haemodialysis may justify a more widespread use of this agent. The rationale for this consideration is the fact, that ketoglutarate is a central metabolite of the tricarboxylic acid cycle serving as a precursor for several non-essential amino acids [10]. In this context Riedel et al. have shown recently that in haemodialysis patients after 12 months of treatment with a similar amount of CaKE as in our study not only s-phosphate was reduced but plasma concentrations of l-arginine, proline and histidine were increased as well as was the body weight [5]. Furthermore, Kardasz et al. reported improved acid-base status, increased levels of certain s-amino acids and a slower rise of s-creatinine after 6 months of treatment with CaKE in patients with chronic renal failure [11]. Interestingly, studies by a Swedish work-group demonstrated that in patients undergoing elective abdominal surgery, ornithine-alpha-ketoglutarate or alpha-ketoglutarate supplementation added to total parenteral nutrition decreased muscle protein catabolism after surgical trauma [12–14]. Taken together these data suggest that CaKE exerts an anabolic and nitrogen sparing effect preventing muscle breakdown in states of distress. In our study, s-albumin as a marker for the nutritional status of dialysis patients [15] was slightly higher in CaKE treated patients when compared to CaAC, however this was not statistically significant. However, the study periods might be too short to reveal differences between the groups and our trial was not designed to investigate nutritional alterations. Since malnutrition is frequent among dialysis patients [16] and has a major impact on their outcome [15] further studies are warranted to investigate the intriguing anabolic property of CaKE.
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