Enzastaurin before and concomitant with radiation therapy, followed by enzastaurin maintenance therapy, in patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma without *MGMT* promoter hypermethylation

Wolfgang Wick, Joachim P. Steinbach, Michael Platten, Christian Hartmann, Frederik Wenz, Andreas von Deimling, Peipei Shei, Valerie Moreau-Donnet, Clemens Stoffregen, and Stephanie E. Combs

*University Clinic Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany (W.W., M.P., C.H., A.v.D., S.E.C.); German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), German Cancer Research Centre, Heidelberg, Germany (W.W., C.H., A.v.D.); J.W. Goethe University Hospital, Frankfurt am Main, Germany (J.P.S.); Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany (C.H.); University Medical Centre Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany (F.W.); Eli Lilly and Company, Lilly Corporate Center, Indianapolis, Indiana (P.S.); Lilly France, Neuilly Sur Seine Cedex, France (V.M-D.); Medical Department, Lilly Deutschland GmbH, Bad Homburg, Germany (C.S.)*

**Background.** This study’s primary objective was evaluation of the progression-free survival rate at 6 months (PFS-6) in patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma without O6-methylguanine-DNA-methyltransferase (*MGMT*) promoter hypermethylation postsurgically treated with enzastaurin before and concomitantly with radiation therapy, followed by enzastaurin maintenance therapy. PFS-6 of at least 55% was set to be relevant compared with the data of the EORTC 26981/22981 NCIC CE.3 trial.

**Methods.** Adult patients with a life expectancy of at least 12 weeks who were newly diagnosed with a histologically proven supratentorial glioblastoma without *MGMT* promoter hypermethylation were eligible. Patients were treated with enzastaurin prior to, concomitantly with, and after standard partial brain radiotherapy. Here we report on a multicenter, open-label, uncontrolled phase II study of patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma without *MGMT* promoter hypermethylation treated with enzastaurin and radiation therapy within 4 study periods.

**Results.** PFS-6 was 53.6% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 39.8–65.6). The median overall survival was 15.0 months (95% CI: 11.9–17.9) for all patients, 3.9 months (95% CI: 0.8–9.0) for patients with biopsy, 15.4 months (95% CI: 10.1–17.9) for patients with partial resection, and 18.9 months (95% CI: 13.9–28.5) for patients with complete resection. The safety profile in this study was as expected from previous trials, and the therapy was well tolerated.

**Conclusions.** PFS-6 missed the primary planned outcome of 55%. The secondary exploratory analysis according to resection status of the different subgroups of patients with biopsies, partial resection, and complete resection demonstrates the strong prognostic influence of resection on overall survival.
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**Gi**

Glioblastoma is the most common primary malignant brain tumor in adults and among the most aggressive, making this disease a challenge to treat. Historically, standard therapy was surgical resection and postoperative radiation. More recently, temozolomide (TMZ) as concomitant and adjuvant therapy to...
radiotherapy increased the progression-free survival rate at 6 months (PFS-6; 53.9% vs 36.4%) and median overall survival (OS; 14.6 vs 12.1 mo). Additionally, the 2-year survival rate was increased considerably relative to radiotherapy alone. Although radiotherapy with concomitant and adjuvant TMZ is currently considered the standard of care, subgroups of patients benefit only marginally and do not respond convincingly to this approach. The O6-methylguanine-DNA-methyltransferase (MGMT) protein has DNA repair activity. The activity of MGMT contributes to the resistance of cultured glioma cells and xenografts to alkylating agents. Hypermethylation of the MGMT promoter is associated with prolonged progression-free survival (PFS) and OS in glioblastomas and other gliomas. Although patients with unmethylated MGMT received a greater benefit from TMZ and radiotherapy than patients with unmethylated MGMT in a retrospective analysis of a phase III trial, patients with unmethylated MGMT promoter still appeared to derive some benefit from the combination. As such, TMZ plus radiotherapy remains the standard of care for all patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma, but improved treatments independent of MGMT status are needed, and even more so for patients with limited benefit from TMZ. Furthermore, this group of patients, best defined by the absence of MGMT promoter hypermethylation, allows the early evaluation of a compound with radiotherapy only, avoiding potential interaction biases and additional toxicity from the radiochemotherapy.

Enzastaurin (LY317615) is an orally active protein kinase C and phosphoinositide-3 kinase/Akt inhibitor with apoptotic, antiproliferative, and anti-angiogenic activities. It has anticancer and antiproliferative activity in cells and xenografts derived from solid tumors, and there is preclinical evidence that enzastaurin and radiotherapy might act synergistically. At the time that this protocol was approved, enzastaurin showed activity in solid tumors and was well tolerated in a phase I trial. In this phase II trial, patients with unmethylated MGMT promoter, as determined by a method established by Esteller et al and Heigi et al, were treated with enzastaurin before, concomitantly with, and after radiotherapy to determine PFS-6.

Materials and Methods

Patient Eligibility

Patients ≥18 years old with newly diagnosed, histologically proven grade IV glioblastoma (based on the World Health Organization 2007 classification) were eligible. An Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (ECOG PS) of ≤2 and an estimated life expectancy of ≥12 weeks were mandatory. Other key eligibility criteria were availability of surgical or biopsy specimens for central pathology review and exploratory biomarker analysis; demonstration of an unmethylated MGMT promoter; disease evaluation by gadolinium-MRI within 72 h of surgery; ability to discontinue enzyme-inducing antiepileptic drugs ≥14 days prior to enrollment; adequate organ function; clinically normal cardiac function; and written informed consent.

Key exclusion criteria were inability to swallow tablets; planned surgery for other diseases; history of coagulation disorders involving bleeding, recurrent thrombotic events, or stroke; use of anticoagulant therapy at the time of study enrollment; and placement of poliprost-20 with carmustine implant wafer at surgery.

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and applicable good clinical practice guidelines. Human investigations were performed after approval by a local human investigations committee. Written informed consent was obtained.

Molecular Methods

Only tissue samples with a histologically estimated tumor cell content of 80% or more underwent molecular analysis. DNA was extracted from paraffin-embedded tumor tissue using the DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen). A total of 200 ng of DNA from each tumor was treated with sodium bisulfite using the EZ DNA Methylation-Gold Kit (HIS Diagnostics). A172 glioma cells served as a positive control for MGMT promoter methylation. Genomic DNA extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes served as an unmethylated control. MGMT promoter methylation status was determined (C.H., A.v.D.) by a central quantitative methylation-specific PCR assay.

Study Design and Treatment

The study was designed as a multicenter, open-label, uncontrolled phase II trial. Single arm designs are deemed acceptable in pilot efficacy assessment of novel agents when reliable historical datasets exist, as for our trial. In study period I (safety run-in), 2 dose regimens were explored. Dose regimen 1 (DR1) was oral enzastaurin 500 mg once daily (qd), which has been used before as a monocompound, and dose regimen 2 (DR2) was enzastaurin 250 mg twice daily (b.i.d.), which was deemed to have superior pharmacokinetics. With both regimens, a loading dose of 1125 mg of enzastaurin was taken on day −7; doses from day −6 forward were either 500 mg qd or 250 mg b.i.d. Beginning on day 1, concurrent radiotherapy (CRT; 1.8- to 2.0-Gy fractions) was administered 5 days per week for 6 weeks.

Three patients were enrolled in DR1. If 1 dose-limiting toxicity (DLT) occurred in DR1, 3 additional patients were enrolled. If no DLT occurred in DR1 or a DLT occurred in ≤2 of 6 patients, DR2 was initiated. The planned enrollment in DR2 was 6 patients. If a DLT was observed in >2 of 6 patients in DR2, a decision would be made to close or modify the trial. DR2 began when all patients in DR1 completed a 2-week observation period following the enzastaurin plus radiation phase. Study period II (treatment) started after the safety run-in demonstrated the feasibility of DR1 or DR2. If both regimens were feasible, the b.i.d. regimen would be chosen. Therefore, the full analysis set of this trial
consisted of patients who were treated with a loading dose of enzastaurin followed by enzastaurin 250 b.i.d. and CRT administered as previously described.

In study period III (maintenance), patients were treated with enzastaurin 250 mg b.i.d. until progression or unacceptable toxicity for a maximum of 3 years; however, if a patient benefited from therapy, treatment could have continued beyond 3 years with investigator and sponsor agreement.

In study period IV (follow-up), a 30-day safety follow-up was performed after enzastaurin treatment ended. Patients were followed for PFS and OS for a maximum of 2 years. For patients receiving enzastaurin for more than 3 years, the 30-day safety follow-up was to be performed, but long-term follow-up visits were not to occur.

DLTs were any of the following occurring during combination therapy: (i) any nonhematologic grade 3 toxicity per the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 3.0 (CTCAE; excluding rapidly controlled alopecia, nausea, or vomiting); (ii) an absolute neutrophil count (ANC) of $<0.5 \times 10^9/L$ lasting for 7 days; (iii) febrile neutropenia, defined as an ANC $<1.0 \times 10^9/L$ and fever of at least 38.5°C; (iv) CTCAE grade $\geq 3$ thrombocytopenia; (v) any grade 4 radiation-induced skin changes.

**Radiotherapy**

Target volumes were determined based on pre- and posttherapeutic diagnostic T1-weighted MRI scans or contrast-enhanced CT scans in axial slicing. The target volume comprised the contrast-enhancing tumor, edema, and a safety margin of 2 cm. Three-dimensional inverse treatment planning was performed in all patients according to International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements (ICRU) Report 50 standards. Isocenter definition was done by either virtual or conventional simulation. Radiotherapy was performed according to a conventional fractionation regimen (5 fractions of 2.0 Gy ICRU reference point) administered weekly for 6 weeks up to a total target volume dose of 60.0 Gy. If parts of the brainstem or optic chiasma were in the radiation field, the single doses were reduced to 1.8 Gy, the organs at risk were limited to 54.0 Gy, and the total dose was reduced to 39.4 Gy. Corticosteroids were administered at the discretion of the treating physicians.

**Dose Adjustments**

Enzastaurin administration was omitted for the following adverse events (AEs) until event resolution: ANC $<0.5 \times 10^9/L$ for $>7$ days; ANC $<1.0 \times 10^9/L$ with fever (38.5°C); platelet count $<25 \times 10^9/L$; CTCAE grade 4 transaminase elevations; and clinically relevant CTCAE grade 3 or 4 nonhematologic toxicity (nausea and vomiting were managed with antiemetics). If the event resolved to grade 1 or baseline, therapy was resumed, but at 250 mg per day (qd or b.i.d. depending on the regimen). If, after restarting therapy, the event did not recur after 14 days, the dose could be re-escalated to the full dose at investigator discretion. If the event did not resolve to grade 1 or baseline within 2 weeks, or another event occurred at the reduced dose, the patient was discontinued from enzastaurin and received radiotherapy only.

Radiotherapy was interrupted if absolute granulocyte counts were below $0.5 \times 10^9/L$ or platelet counts were below $25 \times 10^9/L$. Radiotherapy resumed when the levels exceeded these cutoffs. In case of any grade 4 radiation-induced skin changes, radiotherapy was interrupted until the event resolved.

**Patient Evaluations**

Within 14 days prior to the start of therapy, patients had a complete medical history, physical examination (including ECOG PS and assessment for steroid use), Mini Mental Status Examination (MMSE), neurologic function status test, and slit lamp examination. Pre-study tests performed within 14 days prior to therapy included electrocardiogram (ECG), hematology, blood chemistry, neurologic functional status, and (in women) a pregnancy test. Baseline MRIs were performed within 21 days prior to start of therapy and no more than 72 h after start of therapy.

During visit 1, occurring during enzastaurin therapy, a physical examination (including ECOG PS and concomitant medication assessment) and CTCAE grading were performed. During visit 2, occurring during the enzastaurin + radiation phase, a physical examination (including ECOG PS and concomitant medication assessment), CTCAE grading, ECG, hematology, blood chemistry, neurologic functional status, and MMSE were performed. During visit 3, occurring during the maintenance phase, brain scans were performed starting 4 weeks after completion of radiation, and then after every 6 weeks ($\pm 1$ wk) of treatment. The same imaging method as baseline was used. During visit 3, the patient had a physical examination with CTCAE grading, ECG, blood chemistry, neurologic examination, and MMSE. This visit was repeated every 6 weeks. Until 6 months postoperatively, scans were repeated every 6 weeks. After visit 5, scans were repeated every second visit (every 3 months $\pm 1$ wk). A slit lamp ocular examination was repeated every 6 months, if the patient’s status allowed.

Confirmation of response occurred not less than 4 weeks from the first evidence of response. Thereafter, responding patients were followed every 6 weeks ($\pm 1$ wk).

**Statistical Considerations**

The primary objective was PFS-6. In an agent not expected to interfere with contrast enhancement, PFS and PFS-6 are accepted surrogate endpoints.19,20 Secondary objectives were safety and tolerability, neurologic status, overall response rate, OS, biomarkers relevant to enzastaurin and disease state, and correlation to clinical outcome.
PFS was the time from the randomization date to the date of objectively determined progressive disease (based on radiologic assessment) or death from any cause, whichever came first. PFS was derived using the Kaplan–Meier method. Planned enrollment was 60 patients; of these, 54 patients were planned for study period II. The sample size calculation assumed that PFS followed an exponential distribution. Forty-three events of progression or death were needed to have an 80% power to detect an improvement between the null hypothesis PFS-6 of 40%, which was the PFS-6 rate achieved with radiochemotherapy in patients with unmethylated MGMT promoter in the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) 26981 National Cancer Institute of Canada (NCIC) CE.3 trial and the alternative hypothesis of PFS-6 of 55% at a significance level of 0.05. Assuming a censoring rate of 20%, the study needed to be 14.9%, and the 24-month PFS rate was 3.7%. Further exploratory subgroup analysis by baseline neurosurgical intervention showed that those patients with complete resection of their tumors had a PFS-6 rate of 72.0% versus 45.5% and 22.2% for patients who had partial resection and biopsy, respectively. At first progression, 49/55 (89%) patients received TMZ and 2 a nitrosourea.

Median OS was 15.0 months; the 6-month, 1-year, and 2-year OS rates were 87.7%, 63.0%, and 27.0%, respectively (Table 2).

In a Cox regression analysis with covariables for gender, surgery with complete resection, and ECOG PS (0 vs 1, 2), surgery (yes vs no) with complete resection was significantly associated with improved OS (hazard ratio [HR] = 0.43 [95% CI: 0.23–0.79]; \( P = .0066 \)). Additionally, ECOG PS was significantly associated with decreased OS (HR = 2.42 [95% CI: 1.29–4.55]; \( P = .0059 \)).

Tumor responses were measured and recorded using the Macdonald criteria.\(^\text{21}\) For complete response (CR) or partial response (PR), best response was confirmed; the second assessment was performed ≥28 days after the first evidence of response. Two objective status determinations of CR before progression were required for a best response of CR, and 2 determinations of PR or better before progression, but not qualifying for a CR, were required for a best response of PR.

The Kaplan–Meier curves of the overall PFS time and the OS time were to be generated and the quartiles and appropriate point probabilities calculated. For event rates, the point estimates as well as the 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were to be presented. All efficacy and safety analyses were performed on all patients receiving at least 1 dose of enzastaurin at the dose level chosen for study period II. The clinical data of those patients in study period I who were treated with the same enzastaurin dose as patients in study period II were included in the efficacy analysis of study period II.

Results

Between 23 October 2007 and 28 July 2011, 60 patients in 10 German centers were entered in this trial. Of these, 3 patients receiving qd enzastaurin were not considered in the full analysis set. The remaining 57 patients receiving b.i.d. enzastaurin were enrolled and made up the full analysis set. Table 1 shows baseline demographics. Figure 1 shows the flow diagram for the trial.

Efficacy

The primary efficacy measure was the rate of patients showing PFS-6 after diagnosis. PFS was defined as the time from the surgical diagnosis date to the date of objectively determined progressive disease (based on radiologic assessment) or death from any cause, whichever came first. The PFS-6 was 53.6% (Table 2). The median PFS was 6.6 months. The 12-month PFS rate was 14.9%, and the 24-month PFS rate was 3.7%. Further exploratory subgroup analysis by baseline neurosurgical intervention showed that those patients with complete resection of their tumors had a PFS-6 rate of 72.0% versus 45.5% and 22.2% for patients who had partial resection and biopsy, respectively. At first progression, 49/55 (89%) patients received TMZ and 2 a nitrosourea.

Median OS was 15.0 months; the 6-month, 1-year, and 2-year OS rates were 87.7%, 63.0%, and 27.0%, respectively (Table 2).

In a Cox regression analysis with covariables for gender, surgery with complete resection, and ECOG PS (0 vs 1, 2), surgery (yes vs no) with complete resection was significantly associated with improved OS (hazard ratio [HR] = 0.43 [95% CI: 0.23–0.79]; \( P = .0066 \)). Additionally, ECOG PS was significantly associated with decreased OS (HR = 2.42 [95% CI: 1.29–4.55]; \( P = .0059 \)).

Tumor responses were measured and recorded using the Macdonald criteria.\(^\text{21}\) For complete response (CR) or partial response (PR), best response was confirmed; the second assessment was performed ≥28 days after the first evidence of response. Two objective status determinations of CR before progression were required for a best response of CR, and 2 determinations of PR or better before progression, but not qualifying for a CR, were required for a best response of PR.

The Kaplan–Meier curves of the overall PFS time and the OS time were to be generated and the quartiles and appropriate point probabilities calculated. For event rates, the point estimates as well as the 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were to be presented. All efficacy and safety analyses were performed on all patients receiving at least 1 dose of enzastaurin at the dose level chosen for study period II. The clinical data of those patients in study period I who were treated with the same enzastaurin dose as patients in study period II were included in the efficacy analysis of study period II.

Safety

Overall, 53 (93%) patients experienced at least 1 treatment-emergent adverse event (TEAE); of these, 38 (66.7%) patients had TEAEs possibly related to enzastaurin, 33 (57.9%) had TEAEs possibly related to radiation, 16 (28.1%) had TEAEs possibly related to enzastaurin + radiation, and 6 (10.5%) had TEAEs possibly related to study procedure. Twenty-six (45.6%) patients experienced at least 1 grade 3/4 TEAE; of these, 10 (17.5%) had TEAEs possibly related to enzastaurin, 5 (8.8%) had TEAEs possibly related to radiation, 4 (7.0%) had TEAEs possibly related to enzastaurin + radiation, and 1 (1.8%) had TEAEs possibly related to study procedure.

Serious TEAEs that were possibly related to the study are listed in Table 3. Four patients discontinued due to serious AEs; these were cerebral aspergillosis,
pneumonia, convulsion, and pulmonary embolism. Three patients discontinued due to nonserious AEs; these were fatigue, elevated gamma-glutamyltransferase, hyponatremia, and deep vein thrombosis.

Seven patients experienced 9 TEAEs leading to enzastaurin dose adjustments. These TEAEs were nausea, impaired healing, pneumonia, wound infection, increased gamma-glutamyltransferase level, convulsion, dizziness, hemiparesis, and deep vein thrombosis. With the exception of nausea and hemiparesis, which occurred during induction, these events occurred during radiotherapy.

Overall, the most common possibly drug-related TEAE was urine color change (19.3% of patients, grade 1 only) followed by grade 1 fatigue (8.8% of patients). Grades 2 and 3 fatigue were experienced by 1.8% of patients each. The most common possibly radiation-related TEAEs were grade 1 alopecia (22.8% of patients) and grade 1 radiation dermatitis (12.3% of patients). Grade 2 radiation-related alopecia and radiation dermatitis were experienced by 1.8% of patients each. The only drug- and radiation-related TEAE occurring in ≥2 patients (3.5% of patients) was grade 1 fatigue (5.3% of patients). Thrombosis and embolism were the only grades 3–5 TEAEs to occur in ≥2 patients (3.5% of patients).

Overall, 7 patients died during the study’s drug therapy or within 30 days of discontinuation. Of these, 2 patients died from progressive disease during the maintenance period. One drug-related death occurred during induction (intracranial tumor hemorrhage). Four deaths were due to AEs (2 sepsis and 1 ventricular fibrillation during radiotherapy and 1 pneumonia during maintenance therapy).

### Neurologic Status

Figure 2 shows MMSE scores over time for the full analysis set. During the entire study period, 29 (50.9%) patients required at least 1 dose of enzastaurin; 20 (34.5%) patients required at least 1 dose of enzastaurin; 20 (34.5%) patients required at least 1 dose of enzastaurin; 20 (34.5%) patients required at least 1 dose of enzastaurin. The only drug- and radiation-related TEAE occurring in ≥5% of patients was grade 1 fatigue (5.3% of patients). Thrombosis and embolism were the only grades 3–5 TEAEs to occur in ≥2 patients (3.5% of patients).

Overall, 7 patients died during the study’s drug therapy or within 30 days of discontinuation. Of these, 2 patients died from progressive disease during the maintenance period. One drug-related death occurred during induction (intracranial tumor hemorrhage). Four deaths were due to AEs (2 sepsis and 1 ventricular fibrillation during radiotherapy and 1 pneumonia during maintenance therapy).

### Discussion

MGMT promoter hypermethylation is a promising molecular biomarker in glioblastoma and is associated
with prolonged PFS and OS in glioblastomas and anaplastic gliomas.\textsuperscript{7,9,22} In the EORTC 26981/22981 CE.3 trials, patients with methylated MGMT received substantial benefit from the addition of TMZ to radiotherapy compared with patients with unmethylated MGMT even in the long-term follow-up; however, patients with unmethylated MGMT still appeared to derive some benefit from the combination.\textsuperscript{6} Further, testing of MGMT has been shown to be difficult and neither fully sensitive nor reliable.\textsuperscript{9} Therefore, TMZ + radiotherapy remains the standard of care for all patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma with median PFS and OS of ~7 and 15 months, respectively.\textsuperscript{5,6} Despite this standard of clinical practice, the limited efficacy of TMZ in patients with unmethylated MGMT promoter led to the development of a series of clinical trials that restricted entry to patients with a glioblastoma with unmethylated MGMT promoter. For enzastaurin, the rationale was based on

### Table 2. Analyses of efficacy measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Patients</th>
<th>Biopsies</th>
<th>Partial Resections</th>
<th>Complete Resections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PFS-6 (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td>53.6% (39.8–65.6)</td>
<td>22.2% (3.4–51.3)</td>
<td>45.5% (24.4–64.3)</td>
<td>72.0% (50.1–85.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median PFS (95% CI)</td>
<td>6.6 mo (4.6–8.2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-mo PFS</td>
<td>14.9% (7.0–25.6)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-mo PFS</td>
<td>3.7% (0.7–11.4)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median OS</strong></td>
<td>15.0 mo (11.9–17.9)</td>
<td>3.9 mo (0.8–9.0)</td>
<td>15.4 mo (10.1–17.9)</td>
<td>18.9 mo (13.9–28.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-mo OS</td>
<td>87.7% (76.0–93.9)</td>
<td>44.4% (13.6–71.9)</td>
<td>91.3% (69.5–97.8)</td>
<td>100.0% (100.0–100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-y OS</td>
<td>63.0% (49.1–74.1)</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>69.3% (46.1–84.0)</td>
<td>80.0% (58.4–91.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-y OS</td>
<td>27.0% (16.2–39.0)</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>18.5% (5.8–36.7)</td>
<td>44.0% (24.5–61.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Serious TEAEs possibly related to study (patients with ≥1 event)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Drug-Related (n = 57)</th>
<th>Radiation-Related (n = 57)</th>
<th>Drug- and Radiation-Related (n = 57)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Events n (%)</strong></td>
<td>8 (14.0)</td>
<td>4 (7.0)</td>
<td>6 (10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total events</strong></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear and labyrinth disorders</td>
<td>1 (1.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertigo</td>
<td>1 (1.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal disorders</td>
<td>2 (1.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>1 (1.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>1 (1.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General disorders and administrative site conditions</td>
<td>1 (1.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>1 (1.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infections and infestations</td>
<td>7 (4.0)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>2 (3.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchopulmonary aspergillosis</td>
<td>1 (1.8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral aspergillosis</td>
<td>1 (1.8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empyema</td>
<td>1 (1.8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumocystis jiroveci infection</td>
<td>1 (1.8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigations</td>
<td>1 (1.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic enzyme increased</td>
<td>1 (1.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoplasms</td>
<td>1 (1.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracranial tumor hemorrhage</td>
<td>1 (1.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nervous system disorders</td>
<td>2 (3.5)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain edema</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convulsions</td>
<td>1 (1.8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemiparesis</td>
<td>1 (1.8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory, thoracic, and mediastinal disorders</td>
<td>2 (3.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary embolism</td>
<td>2 (3.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular disorders</td>
<td>2 (3.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep vein thrombosis</td>
<td>2 (3.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
good tolerability but limited efficacy as a monocompound as well as clear signals from a preclinical animal model where the combination of enzastaurin and radiotherapy demonstrated synergistic efficacy independent of MGMT status.

This was the first trial of a prospectively planned study in a molecularly defined patient subpopulation with a poor prognosis. The safety profile of enzastaurin demonstrated in this study was expected and showed that the combination of enzastaurin and radiotherapy is tolerated. The combination also allowed for a stable cognitive function of patients on trial as determined by Mini Mental State Examination.

The PFS-6 for this trial was 53.6%, which is less than the planned primary outcome of 55%. However, this PFS-6 is similar to that seen in patients treated with TMZ + radiotherapy (53.9%) and is greater than historical data of radiotherapy alone (36.4%). The PFS-6 of 53.6% in this trial is also interesting compared with the subsets of patients without MGMT promoter hypermethylation in the pivotal EORTC/NCIC trial. In that trial, PFS-6 rates in the MGMT unmethylated population were 35.2% for patients receiving radiotherapy alone and 40.0% for patients receiving radiochemotherapy.

PFS-6 by baseline extent of resection demonstrated a clear delineation among tumor biopsy, partial resection, and complete resection (22.2%, 43.5%, and 72.0%, respectively). Though restricted to patients with unmethylated MGMT promoter, the number of patients with a complete resection and good performance status and the number of patients receiving steroids at study entry reflect a relatively good prognosis group of patients compared with the EORTC 26981 trial. Another limitation is the use of PFS-6 as the primary efficacy endpoint. Many trials no longer use PFS-6 as the primary endpoint and focus more on OS. This trial is also limited by being open-label and having only historical data for alternative treatment comparison. Further, the PFS data may be impacted by pseudoprogression, a concept that received attention primarily when this trial was already enrolling. However, comparative analysis of median OS of the present trial (15 mo) is relevant compared not only with the median OS with radiochemotherapy (11.8 mo) in patients without MGMT promoter hypermethylation, but also with the 12.1 months achieved with primary radiotherapy or the 14.6 months for radiochemotherapy with TMZ in the full trial population of the EORTC/NCIC trial. This is further underscored by the unexpected data on subgroups for extent of resection. The OS data for patients with a biopsy only (3.9 mo), partial resection (15.4 mo), and complete resection (18.9 mo) further support a potential prognostic value of neurosurgical interventions.

In conclusion, despite these limitations, enzastaurin in combination with radiotherapy yielded promising results in a molecularly diagnosed group of poor prognosis patients with glioblastoma. This trial marks the first controlled trial that suggests differences in OS using the prognostic factor of tumor resection type in unmethylated patients. The concept is now followed in the development of cilengitide, separately for patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma with a methylated (CENTRIC trial) or unmethylated (CORE trial) MGMT promoter. The OS data show that it is reasonable to focus further research on this patient population.
development strategies for enzastaurin on patients who undergo partial or complete resection.
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