Ligand-dependent EphB1 signaling suppresses glioma invasion and correlates with patient survival
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Background. Extensive evidence implicates the Eph receptor family of tyrosine kinases and its ligand, ephrin, in glioma invasion, but it remains incompletely understood how these receptors affect chemotactic behavior of glioma. We sought to identify the Eph family members that correlate with patients’ survival and to reveal the function of Eph in glioma invasion.

Methods. Clinical relevance of EphB genes was confirmed in a clinically annotated expression data set of 195 brain biopsy specimens. The function of EphB was analyzed in vitro and in vivo.

Results. Levels of mRNA of certain EphB members were significantly different in histological grades of glioma. According to Kaplan–Meier analysis, only the EphB1 level among 5 members of EphB emerged to be a powerful predictor of favorable survival in malignant glioma (n = 97, P = .0048), although the levels of EphB1 expression did not vary across the tumor grades. Immunoprecipitation showed that tyrosine phosphorylated EphB1 was not detected in all glioma cells tested. Forced overexpression and autophosphorylation of EphB1 in low expressor cell lines (U251, U87) did not affect cell migration or invasion in vitro, whereas EphB1 phosphorylation induced by ephrin-B2/Fc significantly decreased migration and invasion. Cells expressing ephrin-B2 showed noteworthy morphological changes consistent with migration induction; this alteration was negated by EphB1 overexpression. Concomitantly, overexpression of EphB1 abrogated the increased migration and invasion induced by ephrin-B2 in vitro and in vivo.

Conclusions. These data suggest that ligand-dependent EphB1 signaling negatively regulates glioma cell invasion, identifying EphB1 as a favorable prognostic factor in malignant glioma.
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EphA (A1–A8) and EphB (B1–B6) subgroups based on their degree of sequence similarity and ligand affinity; ephrin ligands are divided into 2 subclasses: glycosylphosphatidylinositol-linked ephrin-A ligands and transmembrane ephrin-B ligands. Eph/ligands are involved in various aspects of the development of the nervous system, including morphogenesis, vascular formation, and cell migration.6,9,10 Because both receptors and ligands are membrane bound, a direct cell-cell contact is necessary for ligand binding and subsequent activation of the signaling cascades.10 Upon cell-to-cell contact and ligand-receptor engagement, intracellular signaling is induced in a bidirectional fashion: “forward signaling” starts in receptor-expressing cells, while “reverse signaling” initiates in cells expressing the corresponding ligand.5,11 Eph forward signaling has emerged in cancer, especially in the setting of invasion by numerous cancers.12,13 Recently, it became clear that Eph can signal without ligand; for example, EphB4 receptor can inhibit cancer cell adhesion independently of ephrin binding.14 Intriguingly, EphA2 overexpression promotes migration of glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) cells and their invasion through a ligand-independent mechanism, whereas ligand-dependent activation of EphA2 inhibits chemotactic migration of GBM cells.15 Eph receptors can also act as both tumor promoters and suppressors in different contexts.15–17 Prior studies showed that EphB2 plays a functional role in promoting glioma cell invasion.18,19 Conversely, a tumor-suppressing role of EphB2 has been reported in colorectal16 and prostate cancers.20 EphB4 has also both tumor-suppressing and tumor-promoting activities in different tumor models.21 These divergent and paradoxical EphB signaling functions make it of great interest to study the role of EphB in glioma cell behavior.

To further characterize the genetic and functional role of EphB in glioma cell motility, we analyzed transcriptional levels of EphB signaling in gliomas and demonstrated that EphB1 expression level is associated with good survival in patients with malignant astrocytomas. Furthermore, we showed that EphB1 forward signaling by autophosphorylation does not have an impact on migration and invasion of glioma, whereas EphB1 phosphorylation in a ligand-dependent manner retards glioma cell migration and invasion in vitro and in vivo. These results suggest that ligand-dependent EphB1 signaling serves as a negative regulator for glioma cell motility and that its expression imparts a positive predictor for glioma patient survival.

Materials and Methods

Gene Expression Profiling and Survival Analysis

Snap-frozen nonneoplastic brain specimens from epileptogenic patients (n = 24) and tumor (n = 171) specimens with clinical information were collected at Hermelin Brain Tumor Center, Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit, Michigan (courtesy of T. Mikkelsen). All specimens were collected under an institutional review board–approved protocol and de-identified for patient confidentiality. Clinical information was provided for all samples (29 astrocytomas, 82 GBM, 49 oligodendrogiomas, and 11 oligoastrocytomas).

Gene expression profiles of these brain specimens were captured using Affymetrix U133 Plus 2 GeneChips according to the protocol of the manufacturer at the Neuro-Oncology Branch of the National Cancer Institute. Array data were processed according to the Affymetrix Microarray Suite 5 algorithm implemented in Affymetrix GeneChip Operating Software and uploaded into GeneSpring 7.2 for data management (Silicon Genetics).

Expression values were filtered for highly variable (differentially expressed) genes (coefficient of variation >30%) across samples, producing a list of 7322 genes. Principal component (PC) analysis was done to investigate the relationships among samples (ie, to find clusters within the data). Components were sorted from most to least amount of variation. Two clusters were evident in a 3-dimensional scatter plot of PC1, PC2, and PC3. The 3 components accumulatively accounted for 46% of the variation in the data set. Kaplan–Meier survival curves were developed for each cluster. One cluster had a median survival time of 401 days and the other cluster had a median survival time of 952 days. Box plots for EphB expression in each cluster derived from PC analysis were graphed. Significance between the 2 populations was tested with a 2-tailed t-test assuming unequal variances.

Cell Line and Cell Culture

Human glioma cell lines U251, U87, and SNB19 (American Type Culture Collection) were cultured at 37°C in a humidified CO2 incubator with Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), and 1% penicillin-streptomycin.

Antibodies and Reagents

Cell culture media, sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel, polyvinylidene difluoride membrane, and bovine serum albumin were purchased from Invitrogen. Anti-phosphotyrosine monoclonal antibody (Clone #9411) and mouse monoclonal antibody against EphB1 (Clone #3980S) for immunoprecipitation, immunofluorescence, and immunoblot analysis were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. Anti-vinculin monoclonal antibody was obtained from Sigma. EphB1/crystallizable fragment (Fc) and ephrin-B2/Fc chimera were purchased from R&D Systems. Control mouse immunoglobulin (Ig)G and Fc fragment of mouse IgG were purchased from Sigma and Jackson ImmunoResearch, respectively. Protein G Sepharose 4 Fast Flow was purchased from GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences. Mouse β-actin monoclonal antibody was obtained from Sigma.

Quantitative Real-time PCR

Gene expression was quantified by quantitative real-time (qRT)–PCR on a LightCycler using MasterSYBR Green (Roche Diagnostics) as described previously.24
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PCR was performed with the following primers (the nucleotide number and amplicon size for each primer are within parentheses): EphB1 (Genbank accession number NM_004441.4): sense, 5′-AGAGGGGGA AAGGACCAGG-3′; antisense, 5′-GGTTTCCCAGGC ATCTC-3′ (amplicon size, 183 bp); β-actin (NM_001101): sense, 5′-CTACATGAGCTGCTTGAGCTGC-3′; antisense, 5′-CAGGTCCAGGCAGGATGGGC-3′ (amplicon size, 271 bp). The LightCycler analysis software was used to analyze the PCR data, as described previously.24

Immunoprecipitation and Immunoblot Analysis

Immunoprecipitation and immunoblot analyses were performed as described previously.7,19 Equivalent amounts of protein (200 µg) were precleared, then immunoprecipitated from the lysates. To detect phosphorylation of EphB1, cells were stimulated by ephrin-B2 for 10 min at 37°C before cell lysate extraction.

Expression Plasmids and Cell Transfection

Expression plasmid for EphB1 was constructed as follows. The cDNA fragment encoding EphB1 was PCR-amplified using human embryonic kidney 293 T cDNA as a template; the fragment was inserted into pEAK plasmid. Transient transfection was performed with U251 and U87 cells using Effectene (Qiagen), as recommended by the manufacturer’s protocol. Another expression plasmid for ephrin-B2 was constructed; the consistency of ephrin-B2 transfection into glioma cell lines was confirmed previously.7 Cells transfected with empty plasmid vector were used as controls.

Immunofluorescence

For immunofluorescence, cells transiently transfected with EphB1 and/or ephrin-B2 or empty plasmid vector were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, then permeabilized. After washing with phosphate buffered saline, the cells were blocked with 2% bovine serum albumin and 3% goat serum for 30 min and incubated with anti-EphB1 antisemum (1 : 500 dilution) or anti-vinculin (1 : 100) for 1 h at 25°C, followed by incubation with Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit antibody or 546 goat anti-mouse antibody (1 : 100; Invitrogen) for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. Negative controls were stained with a 1:50 dilution of preimmunization mouse sera. Finally, the sections were washed with Tris-buffered saline Tween-20 and mounted with mounting medium for fluorescence with 4′,6-diamino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Cell images were captured by fluorescence microscopy (Bioréo BZ-9000) and inverted confocal laser microscopy (Zeiss LSM510).

Migration Assay

Chemotactic migration of cells was measured in a modified Boyden chamber as described previously.25,26 Briefly, the fibronectin-coated filter with 8-µm pores was placed in a 96-blind-well chamber (Neuroprobe) and the cells in DMEM containing free FBS after certain pretreatments were loaded into the upper wells. After incubation at 37°C for 8–10 h, cells that had migrated to the underside surface of the filter were fixed with methanol and stained with a Diff-Quick staining kit (Sysmex). The number of cells was determined by measuring optical densities at 590 nm using a 96-well microplate reader (Bio-Rad).

Matrigel Invasion Assay

Cell invasion assays were carried out using modified Boyden chambers consisting of Transwell with precoated Matrigel membrane filter inserts in 24-well tissue culture plates (BD Biosciences) as described previously.7,19 Serum-deprived cells suspended in DMEM containing 0.1% FBS were added to each Transwell. After incubation at 37°C for 16–20 h, noninvading cells were removed by wiping the upper side of the membrane, and the invading cells were fixed with methanol and stained with 0.5% crystal violet (Sigma). The invading cells of the filter were counted from 6 randomly selected fields (total magnification, ×200). In certain experiments, EphB1/Fc, ephrin-B2/Fc, or control Fc fragment of mouse IgG was applied to the upper chamber.

In vivo Tumor Invasion Assay

Following an institutional review board–approved protocol, intracranial transplantation of glioma cells into mice was done. Female nonobese diabetic/severe combined immunodeficiency disease (NOD/SCID) mice (Charles River Laboratories) aged 7 weeks were used as described previously.25 Mice were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of pentobarbital (60–70 mg/kg body weight). A burr hole was made in the skull 3 mm lateral to the bregma using a drill, and 1 × 10^5 U87 cells stably transfected with empty plasmid vector, EphB1 receptor vector, or ephrin-B2 ligand vector in 2 µL phosphate buffered saline were stereotactically injected over 4 min at a depth of 3 mm below the dura mater. This procedure reproducibly resulted in tumor growth and obvious tumor-related symptoms about 3 weeks after intracerebral injection. Three mice in each group were euthanized on day 21 after tumor injection. The brain tissue was embedded in paraffin and then cut into 5-µm serial coronal sections. Tissue sections were stained by the standard hematoxylin and eosin technique.

Cell Proliferation Assay

Proliferation of cells transfected with EphB1 and/or ephrin-B2 or empty plasmid vector was measured using a fluorescence plate reader by adding Alamar Blue (Biosource) as described previously.27

Statistical Analysis

Statistical significance was determined using an unpaired Student’s t test, and P < .05 was considered significant. Overall survival curves were plotted according to the
Kaplan–Meier method, with the log-rank test applied for comparison. All data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism software.

**Results**

**EphB1 Is a Prognostic Marker in Malignant Astrocytomas**

To first gain a global view of the signaling pathways engaged by EphB receptors in various human glial tumors, we analyzed transcriptional levels of EphB in vivo. Whole genome expression profiling of a series of human brain tumor specimens was carried out and revealed EphB2, B3, and B4 expression to be significantly higher in GBM \( (P < .01) \) than in normal brain specimens (Fig. 1A). By contrast, EphB6 was weakly expressed in diffuse astrocytoma, anaplastic astrocytoma, and GBM compared with nonneoplastic brain \( (P < .01) \). Except for the increased expression level of EphB1 in oligodendroglioma compared with normal brain specimens \( (P < .01) \), levels of EphB1 expression did not vary across the tumor grades.

PC analysis was used to investigate the relationship of EphB expression across all tumor samples and
Expression and Phosphorylation of EphB1 in Glioma Cell Lines

Expression of EphB1 in human glioma cell lines was assessed by qRT-PCR using β-actin mRNA as an internal quantitative reference. Levels of EphB1 mRNA (EphB1 mRNA/β-actin mRNA ratios) were detected at various levels in U251, U87, and SNB19 glioma cells (Fig. 2A).

To determine the endogenous levels of EphB1 phosphorylation in glioma cells, we immunoblotted the EphB1 immunoprecipitates with a specific monoclonal antibody directed against phosphorylated tyrosine residues. SNB19 glioma cells displayed the highest levels of EphB1 protein (Fig. 2B), consistent with qRT-PCR data. Tyrosine phosphorylated EphB1 was not detected in any of the cell lines.

Overexpression of EphB1 Does Not Correlate With Migration and Invasion of U251 and U87 Cells

We investigated whether EphB1 overexpression may regulate chemotactic cell migration and invasion in the absence of its ligand, ephrin-B. EphB1 vector was transfected into U251 and U87 cells, which are relatively lower expressors of EphB1 (Fig. 2). Forced expression of the EphB1 receptor was accompanied by phosphorylation of the transfected EphB1 receptor, whereas transfection with empty plasmid vector had no effect on endogenous EphB1 phosphorylation (Fig. 3A). To further characterize increased levels by EphB1, we investigated the subcellular localization of EphB1. The cell shapes of mock and EphB1 transfectants seem to be similar (data not shown). Figure 3B shows that EphB1 was faintly and uniformly distributed in the cytoplasm, with no discreet localization at the cell membrane in the transfectants with empty vector. By transfection with EphB1 vector, EphB1 was upregulated and became localized mainly at the plasma membrane. The observation of the cytological localization of EphB1 was consistent with the membrane-anchored character of a transmembrane protein.

Overexpression of EphB1 alone, in the absence of ligand stimulation, provided no obvious change in migration of U251 and U87 cells (mean ± SD, 0.89 ± 0.15 fold and 1.07 ± 0.20 fold, respectively) relative to mock-transfected cells (1.00 ± 0.17 and 1.00 ± 0.13 fold, respectively) in the cell migration assay (Fig. 3C). The effects of upregulated EphB1 on cell invasion were tested. As shown in Fig. 3D, cell invasion through membranes coated with Matrigel also did not show significant difference between U251 and U87 cells expressing EphB1 (mean ± SD, 0.95 ± 0.08 fold and 0.91 ± 0.16 fold, respectively) and the mock-transfected cells (1.00 ± 0.15 and 1.00 ± 0.22 fold, respectively). This series of experiments confirmed that overexpression of EphB1 alone does not alter migration and invasion of U251 and U87 cells, suggesting that EphB1 absent ligand activation does not affect glioma migration and invasion in vitro.

Ligand-dependent EphB1 Phosphorylation Suppresses Migration and Invasion of SNB19 and U251 Cells

We have previously demonstrated that ephrin-B2 ligand is overexpressed in GBM. To examine the functional
role of phosphorylated EphB1 upon ligand stimulation in human gliomas, we used a recombinant ephrin-B2 to activate EphB1 in wild-type SNB19 and in EphB1-transfected U251 cells. As shown in Fig. 4A, phosphorylation of endogenous EphB1 was induced by exposure to ephrin-B2/Fc chimera in SNB19 cells. EphB1 phosphorylation in U251 cells transfected with empty plasmid vector or EphB1 vector was immunostained with an antibody against EphB1 and DAPI. EphB1 and nuclei were stained in green and blue, respectively. Arrowheads, localization of EphB1 at the plasma membrane. Scale bar, 50 μm. (C) U251 and U87 cells transfected with empty plasmid vector or EphB1 vector were plated on fibronectin-coated membranes in a chemotaxis chamber. Cells migrated to the underside surface of membrane for 8–10 h, then migrated cells were stained and absorbance measured at 590 nm. The mean absorbance (590 nm) value from mock-transfected cells in each cell line was shown as 1. Bars, SD; n = 6. (D) U251 and U87 cells were treated and then plated on membranes precoated with Matrigel in a chemotaxis chamber. Cells invaded to the underside surface of membrane for 16–20 h, then invaded cells were stained and counted in at least 6 high-powered fields in each of 3 experiments. The mean value from mock-transfected cells in each cell line was normalized as 1. Bars, SD; n = 6.

Fig. 3. Cell migration and invasion analysis of glioma cell lines overexpressing EphB1. (A) Extracts of U251 and U87 cells transiently transfected with empty plasmid vector (mock) or EphB1 vector (EPHB1) were immunoprecipitated (IP) with anti-EphB1 antibody. The immunoprecipitates were probed by immunoblotting (IB) as indicated. Whole cell lysates (WCL) were subjected to immunoblot with anti-EphB1 antibody and anti–β-actin antibody to control for equal protein loading of cell samples. PY, phosphotyrosine. (B) U251 and U87 cells transiently transfected with empty plasmid vector or EphB1 vector were immunostained with an antibody against EphB1 and DAPI. EphB1 and nuclei were stained in green and blue, respectively. Arrowheads, localization of EphB1 at the plasma membrane. Scale bar, 50 μm. (C) U251 and U87 cells transiently transfected with empty plasmid vector or EphB1 vector were plated on fibronectin-coated membranes in a chemotaxis chamber. Cells migrated to the underside surface of membrane for 8–10 h, then migrated cells were stained and absorbance measured at 590 nm. The mean absorbance (590 nm) value from mock-transfected cells in each cell line was shown as 1. Bars, SD; n = 6. (D) U251 and U87 cells were treated and then plated on membranes precoated with Matrigel in a chemotaxis chamber. Cells invaded to the underside surface of membrane for 16–20 h, then invaded cells were stained and counted in at least 6 high-powered fields in each of 3 experiments. The mean value from mock-transfected cells in each cell line was normalized as 1. Bars, SD; n = 6.

EphB1 Recovered Cell Morphology Induced by Ephrin-B2

To explore the molecular mechanisms that mediate ligand-dependent inhibition of chemotaxis by EphB1 in glioma cells, EphB1 was cotransfected with ephrin-B2 in U251 and U87 cells. Immunoprecipitation and western blots demonstrated that EphB1 phosphorylation was visibly enhanced by cotransfection with Ephrin-B2 and EphB1 vector relative to EphB1 vector alone in both U251 and U87 cells (Fig. 5A).

To examine the effect of EphB1 phosphorylation on cellular morphology, we investigated focal adhesion protein-vinculin and the cytoskeleton structure of U251 and U87 cells expressing EphB1 and Ephrin-B2-EPHB1. U251 and U87 cells expressing EphB1 showed no differences in cell morphology compared with mock...
Cells were treated with 2.0 (EPHB1) were immunoprecipitated (IP) with anti-EphB1 antibody. transfected with empty plasmid vector (mock) or EphB1 vector with ephrin-B2

Fig. 4. Cell migration and invasion analysis of glioma cell lines stimulated with EphB1 and ephrin-B2 blocked the cell shape changes induced by ephrin-B2 (Fig. 5B, panel “EPHB1 + EFNB2”).

**EphB1 Abolishes Ephrin-B2 Induced Migration and Invasion**

We have previously demonstrated that ephrin-B2 signaling promotes migration and invasion in glioma cells. To gain further insights into a potential role of EphB1 signaling in malignant behavior of human glioma, we performed migration and invasion assays on cells transfected with EphB1 and/or ephrin-B2 vectors. Migration assays revealed that overexpression of ephrin-B2 in U251 and U87 cells induced an increase in migration (mean ± SD, 1.56 ± 0.08 fold, P < .05; and 1.66 ± 0.24 fold, P < .05, respectively) relative to mock-transfected cells (1.00 ± 0.12 and 1.00 ± 0.18 fold; Fig. 6A) as previously shown. Cotransfection with EphB1 and ephrin-B2 vector led to measurable diminution of migration induced by ephrin-B2 in U251 and U87 cells (1.06 ± 0.35 fold, P < .05; and 1.22 ± 0.21 fold, P < .05, respectively), suggesting that EphB1 partly abolishes ephrin-B2 induced migration on glioma cells.

Similar findings were observed in an invasion assay. As shown in Fig. 6B, cell invasion through membranes coated with Matrigel was increased in U251 and U87 cells expressing ephrin-B2 (mean ± SD, 1.23 ± 0.11 fold, P < .05, and 1.74 ± 0.33 fold, P < .05, respectively) over mock-transfected cells (1.00 ± 0.14 and 1.00 ± 0.22 fold), whereas cotransfection with EphB1 and ephrin-B2 vector caused an inhibition of invasion in U251 and U87 cells (1.00 ± 0.08 fold, P < .05; and 0.99 ± 0.17 fold, P < .05, respectively), which counteracted the increased invasion by ephrin-B2 overexpression. Furthermore, the mouse model overexpressing ephrin-B2 showed increased tumor invasion, whereas mock- and EphB1-transfected models appeared similar. Cotransfection of EphB1 with ephrin-B2 abrogated the tumor invasion induced by ephrin-B2 (Fig. 7). These changes of migration and invasion were consistent with the effects on cell morphology. As in the proliferation, no significant change was observed in cells transfected with EphB1 and/or ephrin-B2 vector (Fig. 8). In total, analysis of these functional assays indicates that EphB1 abolishes ephrin-B2 signaling, which induces motility by glioma cells.

**Discussion**

Eph receptors are important but paradoxical and controversial regulators of cancer development. Results
presented here demonstrate that the role of EphB1 in regulating chemotactic migration and invasion of glioma cells has opposite effects depending on whether EphB1 is functioning ligand dependently or ligand independently (see Fig. 9). Our data mining indicates that in patients with malignant glioma, high EphB1 expression status is associated with longer survival. We also found that ligand-dependent EphB1 signaling significantly suppresses glioma invasion. Additionally, EphB1 signaling reversed cell morphological changes triggered by ephrin-B2 signaling and abolished the increased cell motility induced by ephrin-B2. These results support the action of EphB1 as a negative regulator of glioma cell invasion in a ligand-dependent mechanism; clinically, EphB1 expression serves as a favorable predictor for clinical outcome of glioma patients.

Eph receptors are very attractive therapeutic targets and are expressed in a broad range of human cancers, including glioma and gastrointestinal, gynecological, breast, lung, and liver cancers. However, the function of EphB signaling in glioma is less well known than in breast, colorectal, and lung cancer. We previously reported that EphB2 is overexpressed in GBM and plays a positive role in promoting glioma cell invasion by eliciting signaling through R-Ras. In this study, we assessed individual EphB family member expression for clinical relevance (expression associated with tumor grade or patient survival) and identified only EphB1 as a favorable prognostic factor for malignant glioma. To our best knowledge, only one study has previously examined the impact of EphB expression on patient survival in human glioma. Tu et al. reported that EphB4 was significantly associated with the histopathological grade of gliomas using immunohistochemical analysis, and EphB4 expression was an independent poor prognostic factor for progression-free survival of GBM patients (n = 96). This is in agreement with data presented herein; we found that patients with high EphB4 levels had significantly shorter survival than patients with low EphB4 levels. To our knowledge, no literature has yet been
reported concerning EphB1 receptors associated with the clinical outcome of malignancies. This study is the first to reveal that EphB1 expression correlates with patient survival of glioma.

The biological function of EphB1 signaling in tumor progression is poorly known. In our present data, we examined the function of EphB1 in glioma cell lines. Tyrosine phosphorylated EphB1 was minimally detectable in all cells tested, which have previously been demonstrated to be highly migratory cell lines. This result was in accordance with a previous study in colorectal cancer, in which the level of EphB1 negatively correlated the invasive ability. Another report also demonstrated that underexpression of EphB1 protein was significantly associated with invasion and metastasis in gastric carcinomas. Currently, no data have been reported concerning the induction of glioma cell motility by EphB1, although it is well established that EphB2 mediates glioma cell migration. The data in the present study reveal functional significance of EphB1 in glioma: EphB1 has ligand-dependent inhibitory effects on chemotactic cell migration. Upon examination of the data herein, a model emerges supporting the anti-oncogenic functions of EphB1.

Eph receptors have been associated with both tumor suppression and promotion. Putative reasons for paradoxical activities may reside in the different downstream signaling evoked by these receptors. In our study, EphB1 ligand-dependent signaling, but not ligand-independent signaling, reduced migration. We speculate that this may be caused by distinct downstream signaling. Miao et al. recently revealed the mechanism that converts the EphA2 receptor from a tumor suppressor (when activated by ephrin-A1 ligand, ligand-dependent signaling) to a tumor promoter (with phosphorylated Akt, ligand-independent signaling). EphA2 inhibits Akt and Ras/mitogen-activated protein kinase when activated by ephrin-A1, resulting in inhibited cell migration and proliferation. Similar mechanisms may occur in EphB1 ligand-dependent signaling. Additional analysis for the function of the downstream signaling pathways of EphB1 receptors dependent on ephrin-B binding in glioma will be required to further address this question.

We showed that ephrin-B2 reverse signaling triggered dramatic morphological changes and increased motility, which is consistent with our previous observations that ephrin-B2 reverse signaling increased motility of glioma cells. EphB1 forward signaling reversed cytoskeletal
changes provoked by ephrin-B2 and abrogated the effects of migration and invasion induced by ephrin-B2 signaling. It is unknown what molecules are involved in these phenotypic changes downstream of ephrin-B2 signaling in glioma cells. A recent study demonstrated that ephrin-B2 is associated with endothelial cell morphology through Rho family small GTPases and motility independently of Eph-receptor binding. In response to ephrin-B1 reverse signaling, cells increase focal adhesion kinase catalytic activity, redistribute paxillin, loose focal adhesions, round up, and disassemble F-actin–containing stress fibers. Further investigation may reveal whether these sequelae occur consequent to ephrin-B2. Besides the mechanism of ephrin-B2 signaling, how an EphB1–ephrin-B2 interaction impinges on the cytoskeleton structure and cellular behavior in glioma cells is poorly understood. A previous report indicated that EphB4–ephrin-B2 interactions between adjacent cells lead to reduced cell motility and the reorganization of focal adhesions, and this interaction recruits the adaptor molecule Crk and p130(Cas) signaling complex. Further investigation into the mechanism of EphB1–ephrin-B2 interaction is under way in our laboratory. Finally, our study revealed that EphB1 kinase may evoke opposite effects in regulating chemotactic cell invasion and migration depending on ephrin-B2 binding to EphB1. Furthermore, overexpression of EphB1 acts as a favorable prognosis predictor for glioma patients. Further understanding of the negative regulation of ligand-dependent EphB1 signaling will expand our knowledge of the molecular pathogenesis of glioma. Our discovery provides novel insight into the mode of action of Eph receptors in cancer cells and represents a new facet in the complexities of Eph receptor function.
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