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Do workplace physical activity interventions improve mental health outcomes?
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Background Mental health is an important issue in the working population. Interventions to improve mental health have included physical activity.

Aims To review evidence for the effectiveness of workplace physical activity interventions on mental health outcomes.

Methods A literature search was conducted for studies published between 1990 and August 2013. Inclusion criteria were physical activity trials, working populations and mental health outcomes. Study quality was assessed using the Jadad scale.

Results Of 3684 unique articles identified, 17 met all selection criteria, including 13 randomized controlled trials, 2 comparison trials and 2 controlled trials. Studies were grouped into two key intervention areas: physical activity and yoga exercise. Of eight high-quality trials, two provided strong evidence for a reduction in anxiety, one reported moderate evidence for an improvement in depression symptoms and one provided limited evidence on relieving stress. The remaining trials did not provide evidence on improved mental well-being.

Conclusions Workplace physical activity and yoga programmes are associated with a significant reduction in depressive symptoms and anxiety, respectively. Their impact on stress relief is less conclusive.
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Introduction

The work environment is recognized as an important source of psychological stress due to work demands and pressures. This may lead to adverse mental health outcomes and impaired psychological well-being. Many organizations have interventions to improve mental health such as cognitive behavioural therapy, meditation, relaxation and deep breathing. Physical activity may also be an effective intervention. Yoga is a form of physical activity that focuses not only on physical well-being but also on nurturing mental health and has attracted growing attention from researchers. Yoga combines physical activity/postures of low-to-moderate intensity which focus on muscle stretching exercises, meditation technique and regulation of respiration [1]. Participation in yoga as a mind–body intervention has become increasingly popular in Western cultures over the past century [2].

Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain the connection between physical activity and improved mental health. The endorphin hypothesis postulates that elevation of endorphins (endogenous opioids) in the central nervous system (CNS) decreases cortisol levels, alters neurotransmitters, stimulates production of serotonin and norepinephrine, and increases levels of brain-derived neurotrophic factor [3–5]. Endorphins are elevated by exercise and released into the bloodstream from the pituitary, resulting in improved mood states, described as an ‘endorphin high’ or ‘runner’s high’. It is suggested that exercise increases the permeability of the blood–brain barrier and supports the safe passage of endorphins into the brain and CNS [6]. Inhibitory effects of endorphins
on the CNS tend to minimize the discomfort of exercise, causing a feeling of euphoria, positive mood state and sensation of calm after exercise [7]. An alternative hypothesis is based on monoamine neurotransmitters (e.g. serotonin and norepinephrine). This suggests that physical exercise stimulates the sympathetic nervous system, triggering the synaptic transmission of monoamines. Increasing concentrations of these neurotransmitters has the same effect as antidepressant drugs [3].

Past reviews of the effectiveness of physical activity trials on mental well-being included studies on subjects with clinical depression [8] or diagnosed anxiety disorders [9], children/adolescents [10], older populations [11] or the general adult population [12]. Other reviews reported interventions that included psychological treatments and physical activity trials in the workplace setting [13,14]. A previous meta-analytic review explored workplace physical activity interventions on worksite and health outcomes [15]. A review published in 2011 included studies published through 2009 on physical activity and workers’ presenteeism, productivity, job satisfaction and emotional well-being.

While such information can be used to support the positive roles of physical activity, it falls short of suggesting practical guidelines on which forms of physical activity prescription in the workplace are likely to be most beneficial for specific health outcomes. This review updates the evidence from trials on the effectiveness of physical activity interventions specifically on workplace mental health outcomes (stress, anxiety and depression). Because yoga is considered to be an alternative to traditional aerobic exercise training programmes, our focus will be on exploring the effectiveness based on separate categorization of physical activity and yoga interventions.

Methods

A systematic literature review was conducted of peer-reviewed articles published between 1990 and August 2013 in the following databases: EMBASE, PubMed and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL). The following search terms were entered: ‘occupational or employee or organization or work’ and ‘stress or depression or anxiety or mental or psychological or well-being’ and ‘physical activity or exercise or muscle stretching exercise’ and ‘intervention or training or programme* or randomized controlled trial or controlled trial’. Reference lists within individual studies and review papers were screened to retrieve relevant studies.

The following general inclusion criteria were applied: (i) Types of study design: RCTs and other quasi-experimental studies. Comparison groups included no treatment or other interventions (i.e. various intensities or types of physical activity, stress management training, cognitive behavioural therapy, mindfulness training).

(ii) Study populations: working adults of different workforce sectors. (iii) Types of study interventions: interventions that involved evaluation of the effectiveness of physical activity interventions (i.e. interventions could be supervised or non-supervised physical activity, exercise and yoga programmes delivered either at the workplace or home based). (iv) Types of outcomes: mental health outcomes (including stress, anxiety and depression). (v) Written in the English language.

We excluded abstracts, dissertations, studies involving trials with post-test only design, trials including physical activity intervention as a small component of health promotion programmes, animal studies, studies lacking outcomes related to the objectives of this review as well as non-English articles. The following characteristics were extracted and summarized from each study: study design, treatment and control (or reference) group, sample size and source of the study groups, treatment components, duration, outcome measures and main results. We evaluated the methodological quality of each study by the modified Jadad [16] (or the Oxford quality rating systems (Table 1). The Jadad scale was developed and validated to gauge the quality of RCT-based methods relevant to random assignment. A point of 1 is given when adequate description and performance of each item are met. As double blinding is less applicable for behavioural interventions, the scale was modified to give a point of 1 when blinding of the outcome assessor was reported [17]. A total score between 0 (weakest) and 4 (strongest) was assigned to appraise the level of evidence of each study. A study was considered to be of high quality for those with a Jadad score ≥3 and low quality for those with a Jadad score <3. For assessment of the overall strength of the evidence, the modified Royal College of General Practitioners (RCGP) three-star system was adopted [18]. To determine a trial’s effectiveness, we defined the outcome measure with ‘+’ which denotes positive effects, ‘↔’ no effects.

The modified RCGP three-star system:

-***Strong evidence—provided by generally consistent findings in multiple, high-quality scientific studies.
-
-**Moderate evidence—provided by generally consistent findings in fewer, smaller or lower quality scientific studies.
-
-*Limited or contradictory evidence—provided by one scientific study or inconsistent findings in multiple scientific studies.
-
-No scientific evidence—based on clinical studies, theoretical considerations and/or clinical consensus.

Results

The literature search yielded 3684 unique titles from the databases. A total of 3650 articles were excluded after removing duplicates and applying inclusion and exclusion
Studies were grouped into two key intervention areas: physical activity (aerobic dance/exercise, weight-training exercise, strength or resistance training) and yoga exercise. Within these groups, synthesis of evidence is provided using a narrative approach. Of the 13 RCTs, nine studies investigated physical activity interventions and four investigated yoga. Among the comparison trials, one focused on physical activity, while the other involved yoga practice. Two of the non-RCTs investigated the effects of aerobic dance and aerobic/anaerobic programmes aimed at muscle strength training. The intervention trials differed in terms of the comparison group including wait-list control, psychological education, cognitive behaviour intervention, intensity of exercise and anaerobic training. The intervention period varied from 2 weeks to 12 months. The frequency of training sessions ranged from twice per week to once in every 4 weeks. Training duration ranged from 5 to 60 min per session. The regimens of the physical activity interventions varied from 5 min/working day (i.e. 25 min/week) to 55 min/week. The age range of the study populations was 23–67 years, with the exception of one trial that failed to report the age of their study participants [32].

Studies were published using a narrative approach. Of the 13 RCTs, nine were selected, comprising a total of 2025 participants (post-intervention). Of these 17 studies, 3 were conducted each in the Netherlands, Norway, 2 studies each in Australia, Finland, UK, USA and 1 each in Japan, Malaysia and Sweden published between 1990 and August 2013. The sample size of the participants ranged from n = 27 to n = 628. Included trials consisted of working participants involved in a diverse range of sectors: telecommunications industry, casino, university, insurance company, postal service, local government authorities, laundry workers, household items manufacturer, childcare, elderly care, financial service and police officers. The age range of the study populations was 23–67 years, with the exception of one trial that failed to report the age of their study participants [32].

### Table 1. Jadad methodological quality system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Was the study described as randomized (this includes words such as randomly, random and randomization)?</th>
<th>Was the method used to generate the sequence of randomization described and appropriate (table of random numbers, computer-generated, etc)?</th>
<th>Single blinding for the outcome measures</th>
<th>Was there a description of withdrawals and dropouts?</th>
<th>Result of modified Jadad score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Atlantis et al. [19]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Cheema et al. [20]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 de Zeeuw et al. [21]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Erikse n et al. [22]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Grønningæter et al. [23]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Hartfiel et al. [24]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Hinman et al. [25]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Kerr and Vos [26]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Nurminen et al. [27]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Sakuma et al. [28]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Sjogren et al. [29]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Tveito and Erikse n [30]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Wolever et al. [31]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison trial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Granath et al. [32]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Van Rhenen et al. [33]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled trial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Mastura et al. [34]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Norris et al. [35]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
activity component. Adherence rate in these studies was calculated: compared with the start of the physical activity interventions, an average of 84% (range: 58–100%) of the participants were examined at the end of the trials. Study outcomes included stress, anxiety and depression that were assessed using a number of self-report measures. Two studies assessed the level of depression using the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS) and the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9). Six studies included a measure of anxiety using the DASS, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), General Well-Being Questionnaire and General Health Questionnaire (GHQ30). Twelve studies measured stress using DASS, Cooper job stress questionnaire, Personal Strain Questionnaire (PSQ), Nordic questionnaire, Perceived Stress Scale (PSS), Four-Dimensional Symptom Questionnaire (4DSQ), Derogatis Stress Profile (DSP) and Job stress questionnaire (JSQ). None of the studies reported negative effects derived from physical activity intervention on mental health outcomes.

The quality scores ranged from ‘2’ to ‘4’ for the RCTs, ‘2’ for both comparison trials and ‘1’ for both non-RCTs for the eligible studies on the Jadad scale (Table 1). Eight of the 13 RCTs that reported the procedure of random sequence generation were classified as high-quality studies. The most common loss of points in the rating system was due to lack of blinding procedure and unclear description of random sequence generation.

**Effects of interventions**

**Physical activity and stress**

Seven RCTs that compared physical activity with control groups receiving no treatment on workplace stress were identified [19,22,23,25,27,29,30]. Only one study of high quality showed a significant improvement in stress scores [19]. Atlantis et al. conducted an exercise intervention programme combined with behaviour modification in 44 employees from a casino over a 24-week period and showed significant improvement in stress score among the treatment group by −37% (effect size, $d = 0.56$, $P = 0.036$). The remaining RCTs—three each of high quality [22,27,30] and low quality [23,25,29]—reported no effects of physical activity on stress.

**Physical activity and anxiety**

Two RCTs of high quality [19,26] and two RCTs of low quality [23,29] investigated the effects of physical activity on anxiety. None found any significant difference between the treatment and control groups on anxiety disorders. Of note, Kerr and Vos [26] demonstrated a reduction in anxiety score among participants who received exercise training over a 12-month period; however, the differences between the groups did not reach statistical significance.
## Table 2. Detailed descriptions of included trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s) and reference numbers</th>
<th>Treatment control</th>
<th>Sample size and description</th>
<th>Treatment components</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>Main results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Randomized controlled trial</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantis et al. [19]</td>
<td>(i) Aerobic and weight-training exercise, plus behaviour modification or (ii) control group</td>
<td>T = 20 (mean age: 30 ± 6.8 years), C = 24 (mean age: 33 ± 8 years); casino employees with low physical activity (Australia)</td>
<td>20-min, 3 days/week of moderate- to high-intensity aerobic exercise on fitness facilities (Supervised)</td>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>Depression, anxiety and stress (DASS)</td>
<td>Depression: + Anxiety: ↔ Stress: +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Zeeuw et al. [21]</td>
<td>(i) In-company fitness programme with two supervised training sessions per week or (ii) control group</td>
<td>T = 14 (mean age: 41.3 ± 6.5 years), C = 13 (mean age: 31.8 ± 7.5 years); white-collar employees from an insurance company with minimal symptoms of depression (The Netherlands)</td>
<td>10–20 min of cycling on a bicycle ergometer, jogging on a treadmill, walking on a cross-trainer, or climbing stairs, on a pedal stepper, 2 days/week of supervised training sessions (Supervised)</td>
<td>10 weeks</td>
<td>Depression (Patient Health Questionnaire, PHQ-9)</td>
<td>Depression: ↔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eriksen et al. [22]</td>
<td>4-arm trial: (i) Physical exercise (PE), (ii) stress management training (SMT), (iii) combined integrated health programme (IHP), (iv) control group</td>
<td>PE = 144 (mean age [95% CI]: 38.2 ± 36.7–39.7 years), SMT = 123 (mean age [95% CI]: 38.9 ± 37.2–40.6 years), IHP = 129 (mean age [95% CI]: 38.2 ± 36.5–39.8 years), C = 232 (mean age [95% CI]: 37.0 ± 35.8–38.1 years); postal service employees (Norway)</td>
<td>60-min, 2 sessions per week of the Norwegian aerobic dancing programme, ‘Gymnastikkkitiden’. A dynamic and rhythmical moderate-intensity level exercise (70–80% of maximum heart rate) (Supervised)</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>Job stress (Cooper job stress questionnaire)</td>
<td>Job stress: ↔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gronningætter et al. [23]</td>
<td>(i) Aerobic physical exercise (EXT), (ii) stress management training (SMT), (iii) control group</td>
<td>EXT = 25, SMT = 23, control = 28 (age range: 25–67 years); physically inactive insurance workers (Norway)</td>
<td>55-min, 2 sessions per day, 3 times per week of aerobic exercise and relaxation programme (Supervised)</td>
<td>10-week intervention, 6-month follow-up</td>
<td>Job stress (Cooper job stress questionnaire), trait/state anxiety (State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, STAI)</td>
<td>Job stress: ↔ Trait anxiety: ↔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinman et al. [25]</td>
<td>(i) Exercise group, (ii) control</td>
<td>T = 26, C = 24 (Average age: 38.9 years); female office workers from nursing school (USA)</td>
<td>15-min, 2 sessions per day of computerized body muscle relaxation exercise regime (Supervised)</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>Job stress (Personal Strain Questionnaire, PSQ)</td>
<td>Job stress: ↔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerr and Vos [26]</td>
<td>4-arm trial: (i) Treatment groups (T): (a) Regular participants of an Employee Fitness Programme (EFP), (b) Irregular participants of EFP (ii) Control groups (C): (a) Regular exercisers, (b) Non-exercisers</td>
<td>T (i) = 34, T (ii) = 29, C (i) = 38, C (ii) = 38 (mean age: 39 years); white-collar bank employees (The Netherlands)</td>
<td>60-min, once per week of employee fitness programme with onsite fitness facility using professionally trained physical education staff to increase endurance, strength and flexibility (Supervised)</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>Anxiety (Measured by ‘Uptight’ factor using the General Well-Being Questionnaire)</td>
<td>Anxiety: ↔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurminen et al. [27]</td>
<td>(i) Exercise group, (ii) control group</td>
<td>T = 133; C = 127 (mean age: 40 years); women laundry workers (Finland)</td>
<td>60-min, once per week of moderate-intensity physical activity (26 sessions) (Supervised)</td>
<td>3-, 8-, 12- and 15-month follow-up</td>
<td>Stress (Modified-version of Nordic questionnaire)</td>
<td>Stress: ↔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siogren et al. [29]</td>
<td>(i) Exercise intervention, (ii) control group</td>
<td>T = 55, C = 35 (mean age: 45.7 ± 8.5 years); office workers (Finland)</td>
<td>5-min working day (i.e. 25-min/week), light resistance training (Supervised)</td>
<td>15 weeks</td>
<td>Stress, anxiety (Subjective well-being questionnaire)</td>
<td>Stress: ↔ Anxiety: ↔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author(s) and reference numbers</td>
<td>Treatment control</td>
<td>Sample size and description</td>
<td>Treatment components</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Outcome measures</td>
<td>Main results[^b]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tveito and Eriksen [30]</td>
<td>(i) Exercise group, (ii) control group</td>
<td>T = 12, C = 17 (mean age: 45 years); employees in a nursing home for older people (Norway)</td>
<td>60-min, 3 times per week of aerobic dancing sessions, health information/stress management training (1 h once per week) and a practical examination of the workplace during working hours (Supervised)</td>
<td>9 months</td>
<td>Job stress (Swedish version of the Psychological Demands dimension from the Demand/Control Model, PD-DCM)</td>
<td>Job stress: ↔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheema et al. [20]</td>
<td>(i) Yoga group, (ii) control</td>
<td>T = 18, C = 19 (total mean age: 38 ± 12 years); employees in university-based office positions (Australia)</td>
<td>50-min, 3 times per week of yoga emphasized on postures and exercises (Supervised)</td>
<td>10 weeks</td>
<td>State and trait anxiety (State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, STAI)</td>
<td>State anxiety: +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartfiel et al. [24]</td>
<td>(i) Yoga group, (ii) control</td>
<td>T = 16 (mean age: 46.1 ± 11.5 years), C = 17 (mean age: 43.6 ± 11.5 years); local government authorities (UK)</td>
<td>50-min, 2.6 times of yoga sessions per week (Supervised)</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>Perceived stress (PSS)</td>
<td>Stress: +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakuma et al. [28]</td>
<td>(i) Home-based yoga group, (ii) control group</td>
<td>T = 44; C = 24 (mean age: 33.6 years); female childcare workers (Japan)</td>
<td>7 min 30 s of a DVD yoga programme (Home based)</td>
<td>2-week intervention, 4-week follow-up</td>
<td>Anxiety (General Health Questionnaire, GHQ30)</td>
<td>Anxiety: +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolever et al. [31]</td>
<td>(i) Yoga group, (ii) mindfulness group, (iii) control group</td>
<td>Yoga = 76, mindfulness = 82, control = 47 (average age: 42.9 years); national insurance carrier (USA)</td>
<td>60 min per week of yoga session (Home based)</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>Perceived stress (PSS)</td>
<td>Stress: +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Rhenen et al. [33]</td>
<td>(i) Physical exercise and relaxation intervention, (ii) cognitive intervention</td>
<td>Exercise intervention = 71, cognitive intervention = 59 (mean age: 44.2 ± 7.4 years); employees in a telecommunications company (The Netherlands)</td>
<td>60 min per session, with four sessions distributed over 10 weeks (Supervised)</td>
<td>10-week intervention and 6-month follow-up</td>
<td>Distress (Four-Dimensional Symptom Questionnaire (IDSQ))</td>
<td>Distress: + Depression: + Anxiety: +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granath et al. [32]</td>
<td>(i) Yoga and (ii) cognitive behaviour therapy, in either an all-female group or a mixed group (making 4 groups in total)</td>
<td>Yoga = 16, CBT = 17 (mean age: N/A); employees from large a company in the financial sector (Sweden)</td>
<td>10 sessions of weekly yoga exercise (Supervised)</td>
<td>4 months</td>
<td>Psychological: General stress level (Perceived Stress Scale, PSS)</td>
<td>Stress: +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mastura et al. [34]</td>
<td>(i) Low-impact aerobic dance exercise, (ii) control group: conventional high/low impact aerobics</td>
<td>T = 20, C = 20 (age range: 40–55 years); overweight and sedentary working women from government sectors (Malaysia)</td>
<td>50-min, 3 times per week of a new intervention low-impact aerobic dance exercise (Total 36 sessions) (Supervised)</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>Total stress scores (Derogatis Stress Profile, DSP)</td>
<td>Stress: +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norris et al. [35]</td>
<td>(i) Aerobic, (ii) anaerobic training, (iii) control group</td>
<td>Aerobic group = 28, anaerobic group = 24, control = 25 (age range: 20–50 years); male police officers; (UK)</td>
<td>45 min, 3 times per week in sessions aimed at improving either cardiovascular endurance or muscle strength (Supervised)</td>
<td>10 weeks</td>
<td>Job stress (Job stress questionnaire, JSQ)</td>
<td>Job stress: +</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[^b]: T indicates treatment group; C indicates control group. 
[^a]: ‘+: positive effects, ‘↔’: no effects.
Physical activity and depression

Two RCTs of high quality were identified that assessed the effects of physical activity on depressive symptoms [19,21]. Atlantis et al. [19] found that participants receiving an exercise training plus behaviour modification programme significantly reduced depression scores by −26% (P < 0.05) compared with participants who did not receive the programme. On the other hand, although de Zeeuw et al. [21] found an improvement (d = −0.90) in depression scores among participants who received the exercise training compared with the control group after the 10-week programme, the difference between the two groups was not significant.

Yoga and stress

Two RCTs of low quality examined the effectiveness of home-based yoga programme which lasted for 4 weeks. In Hartfiel et al.'s study [24], short-term adherence to yoga practice over an 8-week period was found to significantly reduce stress scores (F ratio = 7.64, P = 0.001) when compared with the control group which received no treatment. Similarly, Wolever et al. [31] found a significant improvement (F ratio = 8.79, P < 0.01) in perceived stress among the yoga group after receiving a training over 12 weeks for home practice.

Yoga and anxiety

Two high quality RCTs evaluating yoga practice [20,28] showed significant reductions in anxiety. Cheema et al. [20] found that although the improvement in anxiety was not pronounced in the yoga group compared with the control group, those with higher adherence to the yoga intervention showed a significant improvement in anxiety symptoms (P < 0.05). Sakuma et al. [28] also found a significant reduction in anxiety (P < 0.01) among participants in a brief home-based yoga programme which lasted for 4 weeks.

Physical activity versus cognitive behavioural therapy on mental well-being

One comparison trial of low quality compared the effectiveness of two preventive stress management programmes for mental health scores: (i) physical activity combined with relaxation technique and (ii) cognitive behavioural therapy intervention [33]. Compared with baseline mental health scores, Van Rhenen et al. found significant improvements in stress, anxiety and depressive disorders among participants from both programmes; however, the differences between both groups post-treatment and at 6-month follow-up were not significant.

Yoga versus cognitive behavioural therapy on stress

One comparison trial of low quality examined the effectiveness of yoga and cognitive behavioural therapy programmes on perceived stress among a group of employees without a no-intervention control group design. The 10 sessions in each programme were conducted over a 4-month period. Whilst the effect size for reduction in stress scores was medium to large in each yoga and cognitive behaviour therapy group (effect sizes, d = 0.82 [Yoga] to d = 1.42 [Cognitive behaviour therapy]), the authors reported no statistically significant difference between the two programmes [32].

Two low-quality non-RCTs were identified that examined the effects of physical activity on perceived stress among employees [34,35]. Results demonstrated a significant positive effect on stress associated with physical activity programmes. Mastura et al. [34] showed a large effect size from low-impact aerobic dance (d = 0.17) in improving stress scores compared with a control group that undertook conventional aerobic exercise. The mean difference between treatment and control groups was 2.433 (standard error = 0.85, P < 0.001), suggesting a beneficial effect in the treatment group. Norris et al. [35] found a substantial improvement in stress scores for those performing aerobic and anaerobic exercise compared with controls; moreover, those performing aerobic exercise reported significantly larger treatment effects on stress than the anaerobic group (F ratio = 8.69, P < 0.01).

Discussion

This review identified 17 trials that examined the effectiveness of physical activity and yoga practice interventions on mental health outcomes in working populations. Besides RCTs, comparison trials and controlled (quasi-experimental) trials were included in this review. There was no adverse effect reported from any of the physical activity or yoga programs. However, there was a lack of consensus on the effectiveness of physical activity interventions.

There is limited evidence for the effectiveness of physical activity on stress (*). Differences in study subjects or procedural variation may explain these results. The number of subjects recruited in these studies may be too small, or subjects may not be sufficiently stressed to show improvement, and this might explain why stress and mood were unaffected by physical activity in most of the RCTs. For example, despite being a high-quality study with a supervised intensive physical exercise regime, the results obtained in Tveito and Eriksen’s [30] study were probably influenced by its small sample size (n = 29). Another example is Sjogren et al.’s [29] study, where the authors speculated that the dose of light resistance training was not adequately high or prolonged to bestow beneficial effects.

In 2004, Atlantis et al. [19] provided suggestive evidence for the effect of supervised aerobic and weight-training exercise combined with behaviour modification
on stress level. The detection of such an effect was attributed to an 80% compliance rate in their treatment group, and this may be related to the supervised exercise and behaviour modification components that are effective in promoting exercise adherence among the participants. However, an approximately 40% dropout was noted at the end of the study. 'Lack of time' was believed to be a predominant reason for not complying with the regimen of three 20-min exercise sessions per week. Promotion of exercise adherence may be an important consideration for future studies to improve external validity of the results. Strategies to facilitate adherence may include recognizing participant’s psychological determinants or barriers to exercise and providing strategies to deal with these. Specific goals can be set to achieve the prescribed amount of physical activity, regular follow-up regarding progress, providing financial incentives or rewarding with praise.

When physical activity programmes were undertaken for the purpose of improving anxiety disorder, there was no significant difference between the intervention and control groups [19,23,26,29]. Based on these studies, it appears that there is no evidence to support the effectiveness of physical activity training programmes in reducing anxiety, among working populations (>). The absence of positive effects from the evidence of these intervention studies is in contrast to a recent systematic review which reported that both aerobic and anaerobic exercise treatments improved anxiety disorder [36]. In another review article examining treatment of anxiety symptoms in patients, Herring, O’Connor and Dishman [37] found that exercise training had a preventive effect on symptoms of anxiety disorder in sedentary adults with chronic illness. It is possible that differences in study population, i.e. working versus patient populations, are responsible for the discordance.

There was considerable heterogeneity in the exercise training doses between individual studies, thus interpreting findings of positive effects of exercise can be difficult. Grønningaeter et al. [23] reported no significant effect of exercise on stress reduction. In this study, participants were grouped into treatment and control groups according to physical activity levels (i.e. physically active or inactive). The mental health scores of the participants at baseline were unclear and it is likely that the inclusion of 'stress-free' participants diluted the treatment effect of the physical activity interventions, contributing to non-significant findings. Thus, an intervention should be appropriately designed to target populations at higher risk for mental illness. Moreover, a small sample size of 25 participants in the exercise group may be another reason for the non-significant effects. Although no significant finding was observed, an effect of time on reduction of anxiety trait after 6-month follow-up was shown in the exercise group [23]. Likewise, Kerr and Vos [26] also suggested that exercise performed for a longer period of time may be beneficial. They found anxiety reduction among those who exercise compared with non-exercisers, but it was not statistically significant. Taken together, these two studies imply that a longer exercise training period may provide more sustainable effects on mental health, and the issue of long-term follow-up treatment should be explored in future studies.

There is moderate evidence for the effectiveness of physical activity programmes to improve depression (**). Although one high-quality RCT reported a significant improvement in depressive scores among casino workers ([19]), another high-quality study of insurance company workers indicated a non-significant reduction [21]. This disparity may be partly due to differences in the physical activity programmes. When a supervised physical activity intervention and an additional behaviour modification strategy were utilized, Atlantis et al. [19] observed a reduction in depression scores. On the other hand, in a study by de Zeeuw et al. [21], even though the difference in depression scores between the treatment and control groups was not significant, the authors pointed out that 86% of their participants in the treatment group no longer suffered from minimal depressive symptoms compared with 31% in the controls. The common characteristics from these two high-quality randomized controlled studies are that they were carried out at the company’s fitness centre, involving supervised 10–20 min of moderate- to high-intensity aerobic exercise training per session, and an intervention period of at least 10 weeks. These findings further support those previously described, suggesting that greater number of exercise sessions results in greater improvement in depression [38]. Under the supervision of a professional instructor, individuals in the exercise group had increased opportunities to contact motivational reinforcers, which helped to enhance the exercise compliance.

In contrast, results from a meta-analysis conducted by Lawlor and Hopker [39] yielded no supportive evidence for exercise intervention in the management of depression. This may be due to differences in the study populations. Participants diagnosed with depression aged 18 or above were included in the meta-analysis, whereas our review included those from the working population. The authors also suggested that their inconclusive findings were probably due to the low-quality studies with inadequate concealment of allocation, blinding and intention to treat analysis. However, trials of lower quality are usually associated with larger intervention effects [40]. These contradictory findings reinforce the need for better quality research in this area.

Two low-quality RCTs reported a significant reduction in perceived stress in the yoga group compared with control group (P < 0.01), indicating moderate evidence of the protective effect of yoga against stress (** [24,31]). Based on these two studies, it seems that yoga intervention practice for managing stress should be attempted for at least 50 min per week either in the workplace or at home. These
positive results are consistent with a systematic review of yoga practice on stress management among healthy adults [41]. Yoga programmes included in that review generally involved an intervention period of <4 months. Hence, the authors highlighted that more studies should be attempted to investigate the long-term effects of yoga on mental well-being. Apparently, yoga as a therapy may be better suited to address stress outcomes than the exercise intervention. Considerably more work and higher quality studies will be needed to confirm this hypothesis.

Although only two studies have been identified that support the protective effect of yoga against anxiety disorders [20,28], taken together these high-quality studies indicate strong evidence in this regard (***). In assessing the effectiveness of a 50-min yoga programme over 3 days per week on anxiety disorder, Cheema et al. [20] observed that higher adherers had significantly higher reduction in state anxiety than non-adherers. The small sample size (n = 37) of this study may limit its external validity. Therefore, while positive results were obtained, the interpretation of their findings must be done with caution. Sakuma et al. [28] evaluated a 4-week home-based yoga practice among childcare workers and found an improvement in anxiety. The yoga programme employed by Sakuma et al. was regarded as a brief one with simple poses and a short 7-min workout. Being different from conventional exercises, yoga as a mind–body practice that emphasizes on muscle stretching has the ability to yield beneficial health outcomes through various intermediate biological mechanisms.

Van Rhenen et al. [33] stated that the purpose of conducting their comparative study (between physical activity (combined with relaxation intervention) and cognitive therapy) was that cognitive-behavioural therapy has always been interpreted as a superior stress-reduction intervention programme to a physical activity intervention. They introduced four exercise training sessions (60 min/session) spread throughout 8 weeks. At the end of the study, no statistically different effect between the two interventions was reported, demonstrating that both were congruently effective in exerting a positive impact on mental well-being scores. The effect sizes were medium to large for distress, anxiety and depression in the exercise group and cognitive group (0.90, 0.57 and 0.39 versus 0.96, 0.25 and 0.37 in each group, respectively). Although positive outcomes were noted, the lack of a control group receiving no treatment is a weakness of this study. Hence, there is limited evidence provided by this study in this regard (**). A larger study and possibly a long-term follow-up would be beneficial to enable a more definitive conclusion.

Two physical activity interventions investigated in a non-RCT design provided limited evidence on stress improvement [34,35] (*). Comparing low-impact aerobic dance with a control group that undertook conventional aerobic dance, Mastura et al. reported a favourable effect on stress in the treatment group and pointed out that music played in the background during aerobic exercise sessions encouraged study participation. Norris et al. [35] evaluated the job stress of male police officers assigned to either an aerobic or anaerobic strategy, or to a control group receiving no treatment. They found a significant improvement in perceived job stress in the aerobic group but not in the anaerobic group. The anaerobic programme was implemented at a lightweight level (with a higher number of repetitions) because most workers in this group took up the exercise for the first time, and this regimen may not be intense enough to produce an effect. Nonetheless, the most important limitation of these studies was the absence of randomization, which would result in lower internal validity.

Studies using rigorous research methodologies tend to show more positive results. Based on the qualitative summary of high-quality studies, supervised physical activity training conducted for at least 10 weeks at worksite fitness centres appears to be associated with a significant reduction in depression. The literature also showed strong evidence for the effect of yoga practice towards reducing anxiety at the workplace. The evidence supporting the effectiveness of physical activity interventions on stress reduction is currently inconclusive. While the working environment may be stressful, how workers cope may be buffered by their ability to manage stressors and changing lifestyle factors. The efficacy of physical activity interventions depend on factors such as quality of the intervention implemented, mode of delivery, length and intensity of the programme and tailoring of the physical activity regimen. Employees’ baseline characteristics such as mental health status, age, gender and socioeconomic status should be taken into account before physical activity is incorporated into the worksite health and wellness programmes.

As only published studies were included in this review, publication bias may be present, thus introducing the possibility of positive results bias. The outcome measurements of mental well-being, study design and quality of study methodology were inconsistent across studies. A variety of instruments for assessing mental well-being were used by different researchers to define outcome of stress, anxiety and depression. This might lead to incomparable and varying results between papers. As most of the studies typically include a component on physical intervention (applied relaxation), whereas yoga as a therapy usually includes a component on physical intervention (applied relaxation). The findings are also limited by the small study group sizes (n = 33). Hence, there is limited evidence provided by this study in this regard (**). A larger study and possibly a long-term follow-up would be beneficial to enable a more definitive conclusion.
could not be double blinded due to the nature of the interventions, the observed improvement of stress scores may be influenced by the Hawthorne and/or placebo effect. There were also differences in study population characteristics, and inadequate sample size was noted in many studies.

There is a need for high quality RCTs targeting populations at risk of mental disorders. Future trials should address methodological limitations, including sample size, recruitment and adherence as well as detailed characterization of physical activity with respect to duration, frequency, intensity and compliance rate. The use of objective measurement devices such as accelerometers and validated measures of mental health outcomes is recommended. Long-term sustainability of the effectiveness of an intervention should also be studied.

**Key points**

- This review indicates that physical activity programmes with a personalized supervision approach are effective in achieving beneficial mental health outcomes [22,23].
- Yoga programmes led by experienced trainers conducted at the worksite have been shown to improve state anxiety among workers [30,32].
- There was no adverse effect reported from any of the physical activity interventions or yoga programmes.
- Employers should take into account employees’ baseline characteristics such as mental health status, age, gender, socioeconomic status before incorporating physical activity or yoga into the worksite health and wellness programmes.

**Funding**

HIR MoHE (H-20001-00-E000069, UMRGRP001B-13HTM).

**Conflicts of interest**

None declared.

**References**

22. Eriksen HR, Ihlbæk C, Mikkelsen A et al. Improving subjective health at the worksite: a randomized controlled trial of stress management training, physical exercise...


