## **Online Section: Figures**

Please explain why you selected "not very proud".	
The question was: "How proud are you of America with regard to the way democracy works?"	
ılı	
Figure OS1: Category selection probe for the "democracy" item	
What particular social security benefits did you have in mind when you were answering the question	m?
The question was: "And how proud are you of America with regard to its social security system?"	
th.	
Figure OS2: Specific probe for the "social security" item	
What particular groups in society did you have in mind?	
The question was: "And how proud are you of America with regard to its fair and equal treatment of all groups in society?"	

Figure OS3: Specific probe for the "fair and equal" item

## **Online Section: Tables**

 $Table\ OS1: Comparison\ of\ the\ mean\ values\ (standard\ deviations)\ and\ non-response\ rates\ between\ 2013\ ISSP\ and\ web\ survey\ for\ the\ items\ measuring\ constructive\ patriotism$ 

Country	2013 ISSP							Web Survey										
	Democracy		Social security		Fair and equal		Democracy		Social security		Fair and equal							
		ean -4)	NR (%)		lean 1-4)	NR (%)	Me (1-	ean -4)	NR (%)		ean -4)	NR (%)		ean -4)	NR (%)		ean -4)	NR (%)
Germany	2.2	(.7)	7.3	2.0	(.7)	5.7	2.5	(.8)	13.2	2.4	(.8)	8.1	2.4	(.8)	6.5	2.7	(.8)	12.1
GB	2.1	(.7)	9.0	2.3	(.8)	7.2	2.1	(.8)	7.5	2.3	(.9)	6.8	2.5	(.9)	8.7	2.3	(.9)	11.8
Mexico	3.2	(.8)	1.2	3.1	(1.0)	2.3	3.0	(1.0)	2.9	3.3	(.7)	2.3	3.3	(.8)	1.9	3.1	(.8)	4.0
Spain	3.0	(.9)	2.5	2.3	(1.0)	.9	2.6	(1.0)	4.0	3.1	(.9)	1.2	2.1	(.9)	.5	2.9	(.9)	3.7
United States	2.0	(.8)	7.9	2.5	(.9)	7.1	2.3	(.9)	7.5	2.0	(.9)	4.3	2.4	(.9)	6.8	2.3	(.9)	7.5

Table OS2: Single-country	analysis: Unstandardi	zed and standardized fa	actor loadings and	l standard errors

	$N \rightarrow$		$N \rightarrow$		CP -	<del>)</del>	CP →	-8	CP →	
Country	V19		V20		V25		V28		V34	
(a) Factor loadings on nationalism and constructive patriotism (unstandardized) (standard error in parentheses)										
1. Germany	.73	(.08)		1		1	.88	(.06)	.92	(.06)
2. Great Britain	.76	(.12)		1		1	1.04	(.10)	1.11	(.11)
3. Mexico	.81	(.07)		1		1	1.12	(.06)	.94	(.06)
4. Spain	.73	(.05)		1		1	.82	(.06)	.95	(.07)
5. United States	1.02	(.14)		1		1	1.10	(.11)	1.33	(.16)
(b) Factor loadings on nationalism and constructive patriotism (standardized) (standard error in parentheses)										
1. Germany	.65	(.04)	.91	(.05)	.69	(.02)	.63	(.02)	.60	(.03)
2. Great Britain	.61	(.05)	.87	(.07)	.64	(.04)	.58	(.04)	.64	(.04)
3. Mexico	.68	(.03)	.80	(.03)	.76	(.02)	.75	(.02)	.62	(.03)
4. Spain	.66	(.03)	.86	(.03)	.68	(.03)	.52	(.03)	.61	(.03)
5. United States	.62	(.05)	.66	(.05)	.52	(.04)	.50	(.04)	.61	(.04)

## Results with the WLSMV approach - Accounting for ordinality:

Table OS3: Single-country analyses with WLSMV estimator: RMSEA, CFI, and correlations between nationalism and constructive patriotism (standard errors in parentheses)

	(::			
Country	RMSEA	CFI	Correlation	(SE)
1. Germany	0.075 [0.055-0.096]	0.988	N↔ CP: .38	(.03)
2. Great Britain	0.000 [0.000—0.046]	1.000	N↔ CP: .39	(.04)
3. Mexico	0.091 [0.066-0.118]	0.989	$N \leftrightarrow CP$ : .57	(.03)
4. Spain	0.067 [0.044-0.093]	0.991	N↔ CP: .67	(.03)
5. United States	0.087 [0.065-0.112]	0.961	N↔ CP: .50	(.04)

Table OS4: Single-country analysis with WLSMV estimator: Unstandardized and standardized factor loadings and standard errors

	$N \rightarrow$	$N \rightarrow$	CP →	CP →	CP →
Country	V19	V20	V25	V28	V34
(a) Factor loadings on na	ationalism and constru	ctive patriotism (unsta	ndardized) (standar	d error in paren	theses)
1. Germany	.75 (.07)	1	1	.94 (.0	5) .93 (.0
2. Great Britain	.68 (.09)	1	1	.89 (.0	6) .99 (.0
3. Mexico	.88 (.06)	1	1	1.00 (.0	4) .89 (.0
4. Spain	.76 (.04)	1	1	.72 (.0	5) .87 (.0
5. United States	.90 (.11)	1	1	.92 (.0	8) 1.17 (
(b) Factor loadings on na	ationalism and constru	ctive patriotism (stand	lardized) (standard e	error in parenth	eses)
1. Germany	.70 (.03)	.94 (.04)	.73 (.02)	.69 (.0	3) .68 (.0
<ol><li>Great Britain</li></ol>	.63 (.05)	.93 (.06)	.70 (.04)	.62 (.0	3) .69 (.0
3. Mexico	.73 (.03)	.83 (.03)	.81 (.02)	.81 (.0	2) .72 (.0
4. Spain	.69 (.02)	.90 (.03)	.76 (.03)	.55 (.0	3) .66 (.0
5. United States.	.65 (.04)	.72 (.05)	.57 (.04)	.53 (.0	3) .67 (.0

Table OS5: MGCFA with WLSMV estimator: Fit measures of the invariance test

Model	df	RMSEA	CFI
1. Configural	20	0.074 [0.063—0.085]	0.988
2. Scalar invariance	72	0.165 [0.160-0.171]	0.787

<sup>\*</sup>Note: It is necessary to constrain factor loading, intercepts, and thresholds when testing ordinal data for measurement invariance. Therefore, this study refrains from reporting the results for the metric invariance test (see also Davidov et al. 2011)