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We sought to elucidate the relationship of ADHD (Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder) to the DRD4 exon III VNTR 7R allele worldwide using analytic techniques and to relate these findings to the field of cultural neuroscience. To focus on a potential moderating role of race/ethnicity, we excluded over 30 papers that have explored the relationship between the DRD4 7R and ADHD but had unclear or lax racial–ethnic inclusion criteria. The papers in this meta-analysis were only included if a single race made up 95% or more of their sample. We searched for and translated papers not published in English, and found a significant difference in the relationship of ADHD and DRD4 7R in people of European-Caucasian (Odds ratio 1.635, Z = 3.936, P < 0.00001) and South American (Odds ratio 2.407, Z = 3.317, P = 0.001) descent vs people of Middle Eastern ancestry (Odds ratio 0.717, Z = –2.466; P = 0.014). We also examined the moderating effect of differing ADHD diagnoses, subject recruitment, control recruitment and male to female ratio. Finally, we consider the implications of these data for cultural neuroscience.
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Among psychiatric disorders found worldwide, Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is relatively common and characterized by impulsivity, hyperactivity and inattention. As such, ADHD is a major behavioral phenotype and important clinically to understand in detail. Research on the genetic etiology of the disorder has analyzed a variety of genes, focusing on DRD4 and DAT. One of the most robust findings in this region contains polymorphism patterns identical to the 7R allele (Wang 2004) we searched for papers that contained the 7-repeat allele and ADHD (Faraone, 2001), opposite findings have recently been published (Bakker et al., 2005; Brookes, 2005). Genetic demographic studies demonstrate that the 7-repeat allele is present in highly varying percentages in different populations worldwide (Chang et al., 1996; Borinskaya et al., 2004; Baydala, et al., 2006; Bhaduri et al., 2007; Mansoor et al., 2008), e.g. in up to 78% of native South Americans, but only 0–2% of South Asians. Moreover, it was once thought that the worldwide prevalence of ADHD is homogenous (Hawi, 2000), yet recent research suggests that it is heterogeneous (Polanczyk et al., 2007). These findings demonstrate the complexity of both the DRD4 gene and ADHD, and hint at potential gene–culture interactions of exactly the sort of major interest in cultural neuroscience (Chiao, forthcoming).

There have been multiple relevant meta-analyses published, but to our knowledge they have focused on either Caucasian subjects (Faraone et al., 2001; Maher et al., 2002; Langley et al., 2004), or only Caucasian and Asian subjects (Li et al., 2006). The present study aims to be the broadest worldwide meta-analysis of the relationship of the DRD4 7R allele and ADHD. As such, the findings are more relevant to cultural neuroscience than previous meta-analyses.

METHODS

We identified papers related to ADHD and DRD4 by searching through papers available on PubMed and Google Scholar, and by searching through meta analyses already written on the topic of ADHD and DRD4. We then narrowed our search by selecting papers that were directly related to the 7 repeat DRD4 allele (exon III VNTR). Due to the extremely low numbers of Asians with the 7R allele (Chang et al., 1996), and because the 2R allele’s downstream region contains polymorphism patterns identical to the 7R allele (Wang 2004) we searched for papers that contained data on the relationship of the 2R allele and ADHD in Asian subjects. From over 3200 papers retrieved from PubMed and Google Scholar, we retained 600 abstracts on topics related to DRD4 and ADHD. Of these, we identified 61 papers relating directly to DRD4 7R and ADHD and 24 needing translation. Since the 7R allele is highly variant among races (Chang et al., 1996), we decided to include papers with 95% or greater racial homogeneity. We excluded...
16 papers because of low, unclear or unknown ethnic inclusion criteria. All of the 23 papers included in the final analyses were published in journals.

Three undergraduate translators from Yale University were hired for the Portuguese, Spanish and German papers. We found Google Translate to be of comparable translation quality for our purpose, and thereafter we translated 20 additional papers using this website. One non-English paper passed all inclusion criteria to become part of the final group of studies to be analyzed (Carrasco et al., 2004).

For each paper, we recorded the number of subjects with ADHD and the risk allele (RA), with ADHD without RA, without ADHD with RA and without ADHD without RA. This formed the basis of our statistical analysis. Some papers specified whether subjects were heterozygous or homozygous for the 7R allele, although 7R homozygotes are rare. In case–control studies, we counted these both heterozygous and homozygous individuals as one count in our analyses. Some papers used Haplotype relative risk rather than case–control study design. Papers using this method were also included as well since the control subjects are even better genetic matches to the ADHD subjects (Knapp et al., 1993). In the European/Caucasian category, we also coded for subject recruitment strategies, the rigor of ADHD diagnoses, the ratio of males to females in the ADHD sample, which ADHD criteria were used in the diagnoses, and the male to female ratio of the control group. Control recruitment was divided into three groups: random/local population samples, subjects part of longitudinal studies and low scorers on ADHD diagnostic measures. ADHD recruitment was also split into three groups: subjects that came from hospitals and clinics or were referred by psychiatrists, those that had been part of longitudinal studies not related to ADHD, and high scoring individuals on ADHD diagnostic measures. Rigor of ADHD diagnoses was also split into three categories, low, medium and high. Low rigor referred to subjects put into the ADHD category based solely on a teacher or parent interview of the child. High rigor denoted that the ADHD subjects had undergone a formal diagnosis from one or more psychiatrists or similarly highly trained individuals; this was almost always combined with parent or teacher interviews of the child’s behavior. The medium rigor category was used for all papers in which the ADHD group was composed of individuals subjected to diagnostic measures somewhere in between the two aforementioned categories.

We analyzed these data using Comprehensive Meta-analysis (Biostat, 2005), a software program that can compute a large variety of meta analytical statistics, and used it to compute all statistics. We combined ethnic groups into four overarching groups which we label European-Caucasian, South American, Middle Eastern and Asian. The European-Caucasian group was composed of studies of individuals with British, German, American, Norwegian and Irish ancestry. The South American group was composed of Chilean and Brazilian individuals (of non-native-American descent). The Middle Eastern grouping was composed of individuals of Turkish and Israeli descent, and the Asian grouping was made up of Han Chinese, Korean, Indian and Taiwanese individuals.

RESULTS

We found a significant difference between the European-Caucasian and Middle Eastern groups in the relationship of ADHD to the 7R allele (Figures 1 and 2). Specifically, as previously reported, the Caucasian group showed a positive relationship between ADHD and the 7R allele, Odds ratio (OR) 1.64 (1.28–2.09, Z= 3.94, P= 0.0001). In contrast, the Middle Eastern group showed a negative relationship between ADHD and the 7R allele, OR 0.72 (0.55–0.93; Z= –2.47; P= .014). The South American group also demonstrated a positive relationship between ADHD and the 7R allele: OR 2.41 (1.43–4.05; Z= 3.32, P= 0.001). Although both the South American and Middle Eastern findings are statistically significant, it is important to recognize that both groupings were composed of three studies each. The Asian group demonstrated a positive relationship between the 2R allele and ADHD, but the results were insignificant: OR 1.65 (0.40–6.73, Z= 0.69, P= 0.49). We also assessed publication bias for the European-Caucasian group and found that publication bias does exist in this field (see Figure 3).

Heterogeneity among studies

I squared ($I^2$) is a test for percentage of variability between studies due to heterogeneity of the studies themselves rather than sampling error. An $I^2$ value over 50 is significant cause to question whether grouping studies together is valid. When grouped together, our original 12 studies in the Caucasian category yielded a high $I^2$ of 65.9. After removing Hawi et al., 2000 and Johansson et al., 2007, $I^2$ was 29.691. Removing these two studies also lowered the Q-value (another test for heterogeneity of studies) from 32.4 to 12.8, and changed the OR for European–Caucasians from 1.64 to 1.84 (152–22; Z=6.25, P= 0.00002).

Similarly, when grouped together, our original six studies in the Asian category yielded a high $I^2$ value: 97.5. After removing Kim et al., 2005 we saw a drop in $I^2$ to 66.7 as well as a large drop in the association between the 2R allele and ADHD from OR 1.65 to OR 0.96 (0.59–1.55, Z= –0.17, P= 0.87). The following results are all calculated based on the studies with Caucasian subjects (including Hawi et al., 2000; Johansson et al., 2007) unless stated otherwise.

Sex differences

Among the studies of Caucasian subjects, the percentage of males made no difference to the size of the odds ratio. Groups with 49.5–72% males were classified in the Low category; studies with 81.3–100% males were classified in the High Category. No studies fell into the 73–81% range
between High and Low groupings. The Low male percentage category had a slightly higher OR 1.74 (1.07–2.82, \(Z=2.23\), \(P=0.026\)) than the High male percentage category OR 1.44 (0.79–2.60, \(Z=1.19\), \(P=0.24\)). Since the relationship between the 7R and ADHD is similar the same in both Caucasian and South American groupings, we added the South American studies to this analysis and found an even greater similarity between High and Low categories: High OR 1.63 (1.06–2.52, \(Z=2.21\), \(P=0.027\)), Low OR 1.74 (1.07–2.83, \(Z=2.23\), \(P=0.026\)).

Effects of different ADHD diagnoses

There was a slight variation in the strength of the DRD4 7R ADHD association depending on the confidence in which the ADHD diagnoses were made. Low confidence grouping demonstrated relatively high OR 1.92 (1.44–2.55, \(Z=4.49\), \(P<0.001\)); the High grouping demonstrated a lower OR 1.34 (0.54–3.28, \(Z=0.63\), \(P=0.53\)), and the Medium grouping also showed an even lower OR 1.32 (0.90–1.94, \(Z=1.44\), \(P=0.15\)).

Effects of different recruitment techniques

There was a slight variation in the strength of the DRD4 7R ADHD association depending on the confidence in which the ADHD diagnoses were made. Low confidence grouping demonstrated relatively high OR 1.92 (1.44–2.55, \(Z=4.49\), \(P<0.001\)); the High grouping demonstrated a lower OR 1.34 (0.54–3.28, \(Z=0.63\), \(P=0.53\)), and the Medium grouping also showed an even lower OR 1.32 (0.90–1.94, \(Z=1.44\), \(P=0.15\)).

DISCUSSION

The strength of association between ADHD and the DRD4 7-repeat allele was significantly different for the Caucasian and Middle Eastern subgroups. We also found that the
association was comparable between South American and Caucasian groups. Although the effect size was substantial and statistically significant, it is important to keep in mind that the Middle Eastern and South American groups only had three studies; the inclusion of more studies could potentially reduce or nullify this effect. Future studies in psychiatric genetics could easily add cultural specificity to their conclusions by stratifying groups according to ancestral background when analyzing data.

We did not find strong moderators of the ADHD DRD4 7R association, although again were limited in power to detect such effects. More studies would have to be combined in order for future research to come to definite conclusions about the effects of methodological differences. We also found that the strength of the association was not affected by gender differences in the sample, in apparent conflict with a previous report (El-Faddagh et al., 2004). However, we note that our data was lacking in male/female ratios in control groups, and such data as well as more studies might be necessary to fully elucidate the relationship between sex and the strength of the ADHD DRD4 7R connection.

The rigor of ADHD diagnosis did not have a significant impact on the strength of the association, but again our power was limited to assess this with high confidence. Previous research on diagnostic quality affecting diagnosis rates has demonstrated that studies using higher diagnostic standards diagnosed fewer individuals (Rohde et al., 2005). This would imply that the lower diagnostic standards used by a study the lower OR assessing ADHD and the 7R allele.

**Relation to cultural neuroscience**

This world-wide meta-analysis examined the association of ADHD and the DRD4 VNTR in several racial/ethnic groups, which, given the data we had access to, were confounded with potential effects of culture. These cultural differences are important for several reasons. Perhaps the most interesting possibility is that cultural environment can interact with the genotype to alter the phenotypic expression within a given culture. We also note that there are differences in defining and diagnosing psychiatric disorders (Mezzich 1995). It is possible that differences in ADHD diagnosis may also serve as an explanation for the difference in associations between DRD4 and ADHD between European and Middle Eastern people, although it would be surprising if
this could account for all of the differences we found. In our samples, the rigor of ADHD diagnosis did not strongly moderate the association, and so culture based differences in diagnostic criteria might be small as well, in terms of their impact on the strength of the ADHD-DRD4 7R association. Another factor contributing to the difference in association across cultures may have to do with differences in parenting and the children’s response to parenting types. Studies have demonstrated that genotype and race may have an effect on how children react towards parenting behavior (Sheese et al., 2007; Propper et al., 2007) and how it is interpreted by the child (Deater-Deckard and Dodge, 1996). Socio-economic status been found to moderate externalizing behavior and may also contribute to the difference in association between ADHD and DRD4 across racial groups (Deater-Deckard et al., 1998).

It is likely that the 7R allele has undergone positive selection for thousands of years (Ding et al., 2001). Given the worldwide heterogeneity of the 7R allele (Chang et al., 1996) this evidence brings up a question: if this allele has been undergoing positive selection, then why is it that many people possess this gene in such varying percentages? Most species inhabit generally the same environment and remain endemic to a single ecological terrain. Humans on the other hand occupy wide ranges of ecological climates. Just as different terrain types contribute to the variability of human environments, differences in human culture add entirely new levels of complexity to the environment humans occupy as well. Additionally, greater rates of migration have been associated with higher prevalence of the 7R allele (Chen et al., 1999), and research studying worldwide prevalence of the 7R allele has demonstrated its highest prevalence in groups who underwent the most long distance migrations (Chang et al., 1996). This finding relates to the modern population of colonized lands. Are these populations composed of individuals more likely to have the 7R allele? Are there cultural ramifications of the above average presence of this phenotype in populations of countries that were colonized?

It is difficult to define whether or not cultural differences actually influence selection pressure enough to influence the biology of a people, but future research in the field of cultural neuroscience may reveal insight into this question. This meta-analysis demonstrates the importance of making ethnic/cultural distinctions in neuropsychiatric genetics, but more importantly our findings broach the need for medical research to be wary of applying techniques and treatments equally to all racial groups. Cultural and genetic factors may both play a role in European Caucasians’ and Middle Eastern individuals’ difference in ADHD DRD4 7R association. Future research could attempt to parse these moderating factors apart by studying individual ethnic groups within a larger non-ethnic specific cultural context. For example, obtaining a sample pool of people of Middle Eastern ancestry within America.
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