Out of touch with reality? Social perception in first-episode schizophrenia
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INTRODUCTION

The constitution of an empathic inter-relationship with other individuals is a crucial component of social cognition. From a neurobiological perspective, it has been suggested that multimodal brain regions underlying bodily self-experiences are also involved with the pre-reflective understanding of the feelings and behaviours of other individuals, establishing an inter-subjective link between self and other during social perception (Gallese, 2003a, 2003b; Gallese et al., 2004; Keysers and Gazzola, 2009).

However, it was also argued that distinguishing to whom these behaviours and feelings belong is crucial in order to maintain a coherent sense of self during social perception (Batson et al., 1987; Eisenberg et al., 2005; Karnath et al., 2003; Keysers et al., 2010; Lamm et al., 2011). Furthermore, specific brain regions involved with self-experiences and awareness (Craig, 2002; Farrer et al., 2003; Karnath et al., 2005; Tsakiris et al., 2007) rather appear to differentiate between self and other. For example, posterior insular cortex (pIC) showed opposite activation patterns for first-person tactile experiences (positive modulation) and the observation of affective tactile stimulations in other individuals (negative modulation) (Ebisch et al., 2011). In addition, overlapping activation for experienced and observed tactile stimulations is reported in brain regions that contain multisensory representations, like premotor cortex (PMC) or intraparietal sulcus, integrating motor representations with information from vision, touch, audition and proprioception (Bremmer et al., 2001; Makin et al., 2007; Ebisch et al., 2008).

Social perception may thus emerge as a multifaceted function relying on the dynamic interaction between vicarious processes grounding an experiential understanding of others’ feelings, and self-regulation processes (multisensory integration, self-other differentiation), allowing a coherent and unique sense of self (Batson et al., 1987; Cheng et al., 2007; de Waal, 2008).

Schizophrenia is a pervasive and complex neuropsychiatric disorder with prominent deficits in social cognition (Pinkham et al., 2003; Burns, 2006; Derntl et al., 2009). Social impairments may exist independently of neurocognitive impairments, they are related to functional outcome and community functioning, they are present during the prodromal phase of schizophrenia as well as in unaffected family members, and persist when patients are in remission (Couture et al., 2006; Bellack et al., 2007; Addington et al., 2008a; Phillips and Seidman, 2008; Horan et al., 2009; Eack et al., 2010; Fett et al., 2011). However, the exact nature of impaired social abilities in schizophrenia remains a topic of speculation and their underlying causes unknown.

In particular, although a crucial role has been attributed to the self- and its pre-reflective relationship with the external world in schizophrenic pathology since the early 19th century (Bleuler, 1911; Minkowski, 1927), it still remains an open issue whether functional abnormalities underlying the inability to interrelate with others in
schizophrenia specifically concern the inter-subjective domain or primarily have their roots in disturbances of self-experience and awareness (Parnas et al., 2002; Galles, 2003b; Fisher et al., 2008). For example, along with the loss of a coherent sense of self, the relationship and the distinction between self and other may blur (Sass and Parnas, 2003). From a neurobiological perspective, this postulates the concrete question whether patients with schizophrenia may show altered varia-
tious neural activations or rather aberrant neural processes underlying multisensory integration and differentiation between self and others’ bodily experiences during social perception.

Moreover, many studies have described specific impairments in the social perception of affective material in schizophrenia, including first-episode samples (Addington et al., 2008b; Huang et al., 2009; Dickey et al., 2010; Amminger et al., 2011). Often, affective perception was explicitly assessed. It remains unclear whether and how implicit social affective processing is affected in schizophrenia (Linden et al., 2010; Roux et al., 2010).

The present study aimed at investigating these issues by means of functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), focusing in particular on the role of brain regions involved in processing first-person somatosensory stimulation during social perception.

Touch may play a peculiar role in this context, constituting an elementary aspect of self-awareness (Husserl, 1989; Tsakiris et al., 2007). Moreover, somatosensation is considered a crucial component of social perception and empathy (Keysers et al., 2004; Avenanti et al., 2005; Blakemore et al., 2005; Bufalari et al., 2007; Ebisch et al., 2008; Pitcher et al., 2008; Schafer et al., 2009; Pihko et al., 2010; Wood et al., 2010; Bolognini et al., 2011; Cardini et al., 2011; Meyer et al., 2011; for a comprehensive review see Keysers et al., 2010).

For this purpose, 24 patients with first-episode schizophrenia (FES), a first manifestation of schizophrenia and an important condition to study primary aspects of the pathology without chronicity-related confounds and 22 matched healthy control (HC) participants underwent fMRI scanning during a social perception task. This task required them to watch video clips depicting actors experiencing neutral inanimate touch, or neutral or affective social touch, but without explicitly processing the sensory and affective characteristics of the depicted touch. A tactile stimulation task was added to map brain activation patterns related to first-person bodily experiences.

It could be hypothesized that FES patients during the visual perception of others’ tactile experiences show aberrant activation in brain regions involved with first-person tactile experiences, for example, the somatosensory cortices. Alternatively, FES patients may show altered activation patterns in brain regions differentiating between self and other conditions, or in multisensory regions grounding a coherent self-experience, possibly correlating with self-experience disturbances. Moreover, given the abnormalities in the processing of affective material in schizophrenia, altered activation patterns could be expected in particular when affective experiences are implicated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

Twenty-four out-patients with FES and 22 matched HC participants were included in the present study. All participating FES patients had a history of a single psychotic episode and all received a diagnosis of schizophrenia according to Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders - fourth edition (DSM-IV) criteria 6 months after the episode. Nineteen of the HC participants were the same as those reported in our previous study (Ebisch et al., 2011).

FES patients were evaluated by the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (First et al., 1996a), rated for symptom severity with the Positive And Negative Symptom Scale (PANSS) (Kay et al., 1987), and evaluated for the presence of basic symptoms (BSs) (Klosterkötter et al., 2001) by means of the Schizophrenia Proneness Instrument (SPI-A) (Schultze-Lutter et al., 2007) by trained psychiatrists. HC participants were evaluated by means of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis II personality Disorders (First et al., 1996b). Social abilities of the participants were assessed by means of the Empathy Quotient (EQ) questionnaire (Baron-Cohen and Wheelwright, 2004; Lawrence et al., 2004).

The study was approved by the local Ethics Committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants after full explanation of the procedure of the study, in line with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Demographic information and participant characteristics are provided in Table 1. Detailed information about participant inclusion is provided in the Supplementary Data.

fMRI data acquisition and paradigm

For each subject, whole-brain blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) contrast fMRI was performed (Philips Achieva 1.5T at the ITAB, Chieti). fMRI data acquisition parameters and procedures were the same as described in Ebisch et al. (2011). A detailed description can be found in the Supplementary Data.

Four rapid event-related fMRI touch observation runs (social perception task) and one block-design fMRI touch experience run (tactile stimulation task) were acquired for each subject.

The stimuli of the social perception task consisted of 208 randomized video clips of 2400 ms each, representing a touch event according to one of four experimental conditions. In the neutral inanimate condition (BRANCH), a wind-moving palm tree branch, moved by an invisible fan, touched either a male or female hand. In the animate or social conditions, either a male hand touched the back of a female hand or a female hand touched the back of a male hand by means of a neutral social touch (NEUTRAL) or an affective social touch that could have a negative (HIT) or positive (CARESS) valence. In addition to the touch video clips, 31 no touch video clips were randomly inserted in the visual runs, showing either a hand or palm tree branch moving near the hand of the other person, but without touching it. The video clips were separated by a fixation cross at the centre of the screen with a randomized duration (2400, 4800 and 7200 ms). Examples of the visual stimuli and the temporal course of the touch observation runs are visualized in Figure 1.

Participants were instructed to watch the video clips attentively during scanning and to mentally count the number of no touch video clips in every run. They had to report the counted number verbally to the experimenter during the break between two runs (mean = 8/run). The no touch trials were not included in the statistical analyses; this task was added to direct participants’ attention to the touch during the experiment, without requiring an explicit processing of the sensory and affective characteristics of the depicted touch. fMRI runs with more than two omissions were excluded from data analysis (HC:2/FES:0). There was no significant difference between the HC and the FES group with respect to task performance (P > 0.05).

During the tactile stimulation task, always run after the touch observation runs, the experimenter stimulated the back of either the right or the left hand by means of 1 Hz soft back and forth stroking with a washing glove covering the surface between the wrist and the knuckles.

fMRI data analysis

The fMRI preprocessing procedure was the same as described in Ebisch et al. (2011). A detailed description can be found in the Supplementary Data.
Table 1 Demographic information about the FES group and HC group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>FES group (N = 24)</th>
<th>HC group (N = 22)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>27.3 ± 4.8</td>
<td>27.5 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean time from psychotic episode (months, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>8 ± 5</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handedness score (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>65.3 ± 18.1</td>
<td>69.3 ± 15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/Female</td>
<td>16/8</td>
<td>12/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis (First episode) Schizophrenia</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intelligence Quotient (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>100 ± 8.5</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ (cognitive empath/ emotional reactivity/social skills)</td>
<td>38 ± 11.4 (12.4 ± 5.4/2.2 ± 5.2/6.3 ± 2.8)</td>
<td>45.4 ± 9.7 (14.5 ± 4.6/14.6 ± 4.4/8.1 ± 2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCID-II Cluster A</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCID-II Cluster B</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCID-II Cluster C</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS positive scale individual scores (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>16 10 9 14 17 16 21 14 12 16 18 12 10 12 13 11 13 8 13 9 11 15</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS negative scale individual scores (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>8 10 9 10 11 12 16 12 14 12 8 10 9 9 11 22 29 14 9 8 12 22</td>
<td>(12 ± 4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS general psychopathology scale individual scores (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>22 20 20 30 18 24 32 25 22 37 22 22 20 20 23 21 25 25 19 25 19 22 35</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCI-A total individual scores (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>138 28 74 91 115 71 67 5 0 114 40 36 97 17 12 27 42 22 83 42 45 40 49</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicationa</td>
<td>6 Quetiapine, 7 Risperidone, 3 Paliperidone, 4 Aripiprazole, 3 Olanzapine</td>
<td>1 Drug Free</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aNo significant differences between the HC and FES groups.
bSignificant difference between the HC and FEP group (P = 0.02).
cNo significant differences between the HC and FEP group.
dSignificant difference between the HC and FEP group (P = 0.02).

Fig. 1 Visual representation of the temporal course of the touch observation runs.

After a percent signal change normalization of the time series from the different runs, the fMRI parameters (β-values) estimated in individual-subject analyses were entered in a second-level voxel-wise random effects group analysis in order to search for activated voxels in relationship with the experimental paradigms (social perception task, tactile stimulation task). The fixation cross was used as baseline in the analysis of task-related BOLD response modulations. Statistical significance was assessed by means of paired t-tests.

The P-value of the statistical maps (P < 0.001 for touch observation, touch experience and conjunction analyses; P < 0.005 for between-group contrasts) and an estimate of the spatial correlation of voxels were used as input in a Monte-Carlo simulation (1000 simulations) to access the overall significance level and to determine a cluster size threshold (k) in order to obtain a significance level of P < 0.05 cluster level corrected for multiple comparisons (Forman et al., 1995).

Conjunction analysis
In order to determine overlapping or differential activation between the social perception task (touch observation) and the tactile stimulation task (touch experience), conjunction analysis was performed [(contrast: any touch observation condition vs baseline) ∩ (tactile stimulation vs baseline)] (Nichols et al., 2003), separately for the HC and the FES group. Overlapping activation was defined as a positive modulation of BOLD response, compared with baseline, by both the social perception and tactile stimulation task, whereas differential activation was defined as a positive modulation of BOLD response by one task, compared with baseline, and a negative modulation by the other task (P < 0.05 corrected, k > 5).

Between-group comparisons: voxel-wise analysis
Group statistical maps of the HC and FES group were compared for the social perception task (any touch observation condition vs baseline) by means of a voxel-wise random-effects analysis. In order to focus specifically on voxels activated by the tactile stimulation task as well as the social perception task, an inclusive mask was created including the voxels that were significantly active during the tactile stimulation task (P < 0.05 corrected, k > 5). This mask was based on significant voxels in either the HC or FES group in order to avoid a bias that may emerge when using a mask based on one of the groups for subsequent between-group contrasts. Voxel-wise between-group contrasts were also performed without a mask (P < 0.05 corrected, k > 8).

Between-group comparisons: pIC regions-of-interest analysis
Ebisch et al. (2011) showed that pIC differentiates between self and other conditions when affective experiences are implicated, whereas
schizophrenia has been associated with a dysfunctional social perception of affective information as well as an altered self-other distinction, the latter also related to impaired pIC functioning (see ‘Introduction and Discussion’ section). Given that differential activation for touch experience and observation in pIC was clearly present in the HC group, but absent in the FES group (see ‘Conjunction analysis’ under ‘Results’ section; Figure 2A), it was investigated more specifically whether FES patients showed significant alterations concerning the differentiation between self and other during social perception in pIC.

Independent regions-of-interest (ROI) were created with a 6-mm radius (Poldrack, 2007; Bastiaansen et al., 2011). These ROIs were centred on Talairach coordinates based on structural definitions of pIC (LH pIC: /C0 38, /C0 12; RH pIC: /C0 38, /C0 10; Taylor et al., 2009; Figure 2B). Analysis of variance was performed with diagnosis (HC/FES) as between-subject factor, observation condition (BRANCH/NEUTRAL/CARESS/HIT) as within-subject factor, and the average β-values extracted from the pIC ROIs as dependent variable.

Covariance analysis

β-Values of the individual patients extracted from the brain regions showing differential activation patterns between the HC and FES group were correlated with chlorpromazine equivalence values.

The relationship between BOLD responses during the social perception task in the FES group and symptom severity was investigated by means of voxel-wise covariance analyses between activation patterns for the different touch observation conditions, and PANSS and total SPI-A scores. In order to focus on brain regions also involved in first-person tactile experiences, group statistical maps (P < 0.05 corrected) of the tactile experience task in the HC and FES group were used as an inclusive mask. Covariance group statistical maps were thresholded at P < 0.05 corrected (k > 8), corresponding to a correlation coefficient of r > 0.50.

RESULTS

Conjunction analysis in the HC and FES group

Group statistical maps showed overlapping activation in the HC group between the touch experience and touch observation conditions in left hemisphere (LH) anterior and posterior secondary somatosensory cortex (a/pSII), ventral postcentral gyrus (vPostCG) and anterior superior parietal cortex (aSPC), right hemisphere (RH) ventral PMC (vPMC) and posterior superior temporal cortex (pST), and bilateral occipital–temporal cortex (OT) (Table 2). At an uncorrected statistical threshold (P < 0.001), overlapping activation was detected also in RH aSII and aSPC, and LH mid cingulate cortex (MCC).

Significant differential activation between the experience and observation of touch in the HC group was found in bilateral aSII (Table 2; Figure 2A and B). Whereas BOLD response in bilateral pIC was increased, compared with baseline, during the experience of touch, BOLD response was decreased, compared with baseline, during the observation of touch.

In the FES group, overlapping activation between the experience and observation of touch was partly similar to the HC group; no overlapping activation was found in LH temporal–occipital junction (TO) and MCC, and RH vPMC and RH pSII (Table 2). The absence of overlapping activation in LH MCC and RH vPMC might be explained by a lack of activation during both the touch experience condition and the touch observation conditions. The absence of overlapping activation in LH TO might be due to a lack of activation for the touch experience condition and in RH pSII due to a lack of activation for the touch observation conditions.

Moreover, no differential activation between the experience and observation of touch was found in bilateral pIC in the FES group, even at P < 0.01 uncorrected. Whereas pIC was positively modulated by the touch experience condition, modulation of activation in pIC during
the touch observation conditions was absent in the FES group (Figure 2A and B).

FMRI results regarding the touch experience and observation conditions separately in the HC and FES group are described in detail in the Supplementary Data.

Between-group comparisons: voxel-wise analysis

Voxel-wise contrasts including voxels that were also active during the tactile stimulation task yielded significantly increased activation in RH vPMC for the HC group, compared with the FES group, for the social perception task (Talairach coordinates: 50, 7, 32; cluster size = 13). Group statistical maps and graphs of the between-group contrasts within the touch experience mask are shown in Figure 3A–C.

Whole-brain voxel-wise contrasts showed additionally increased activation for the HC group in RH OT, RH fusiform gyrus and LH MCC/supplementary motor area (SMA), whereas increased activation for the FES group, compared with the HC group, was found in LH ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vMPFC) and RH occipital cortex (Figure 4).

Between-group comparisons: pIC ROI analysis

Between-group comparisons concerning the pIC ROIs demonstrated significant differences between the HC and FES group: a significantly stronger BOLD response decrease was found during the social perception task for the HC group, compared with the FES group [main effect LH pIC: \( F(1,44) = 5.990, P < 0.01 \); main effect RH pIC: \( F(1,44) = 4.975, P < 0.05 \)].

With respect to the individual touch observation conditions, a significantly stronger BOLD signal decrease was found in LH pIC in the HC group, compared with the FES group, specifically for the observation of affective touch, that is, CARESS \( [F(1,44) = 8.624, P < 0.005] \) and HIT \( [F(1,44) = 6.680, P < 0.01] \). Concerning RH pIC, between-group comparisons showed a significantly stronger BOLD signal decrease in the HC group, compared with the FES group, for the observation of a HIT \( [F(1,44) = 6.992, P < 0.01] \).

Graphs demonstrating the \( \beta \)-values of the individual conditions in both groups in the pIC ROIs are demonstrated in Figure 2B.

Covariance analyses

No significant covariance effect was found for chlorpromazine equivalences in the FES group, suggesting that there was no linear

Table 2 Brain regions showing overlapping or differential activation for experienced and observed tactile stimulation in the HC and first-episode psychosis group as detected by conjunction analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain region</th>
<th>HC</th>
<th>First-episode psychosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Talairach coordinates of peak t-value</td>
<td>Cluster size</td>
<td>Peak t-value conjunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH aSII</td>
<td>−57, −19, 19</td>
<td>1304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH pSII</td>
<td>−45, −28, 22</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH vPostCG</td>
<td>−57, −22, 34</td>
<td>1392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH aSPC</td>
<td>−33, −43, −46</td>
<td>1502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH TO</td>
<td>−51, −59, −2</td>
<td>1656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH vPMC</td>
<td>51, 8, 28</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH pST</td>
<td>54, −37, 16</td>
<td>839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH TO</td>
<td>51, −54, 4</td>
<td>487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH aSPC</td>
<td>33, −42, 46</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH pSII</td>
<td>49, −27, 22</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH MCC</td>
<td>−9, −27, 43</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH pIC</td>
<td>−37, −17, 11</td>
<td>613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH pIC</td>
<td>44, −13, 11</td>
<td>1266</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Positive t-values indicate overlapping activation, whereas negative t-values indicate differential activation patterns for the touch experience and observation conditions.

Fig. 3 (A) Conjunction analysis. Group statistical map \( (P < 0.05 \) corrected) of the HC group depicting activation clusters for the tactile stimulation task (blue), social perception task (red) and overlapping activation patterns for touch experience and observation (white; all absent in FES) in vPMC. (B) Masked voxel-wise analysis. Voxels showing significantly reduced activation in the FES group (yellow) in RH vPMC during the social perception task. (C) Graphs showing activation patterns \( (\beta \text{-values}) \) for the different touch experience and observation conditions in RH vPMC, and between-group differences \( \ast P < 0.05; \ast\ast P < 0.01 \).
relationship between medication dose and differential activation be-
 tween the HC and FES group.

Voxel-wise covariance analyses showed a significant negative rela-
tionship between BS and brain activation in the FES group during the
observation of a social touch (NEUTRAL/CARESS/HIT; $P < 0.05$ cor-
rected) in RH vPMC: the higher the total score on the SPI-A, the
weaker BOLD response in RH vPMC (Figure 5). No significant cor-
relations were observed for the observation of an inanimate touch
(BRANCH) or PANSS scores.

Concerning the EQ questionnaire, significantly lower total EQ scores
($P < 0.05$) and social skill subscale scores ($P < 0.05$) were found for the
FES group, compared with the HC group (Table 1). A significant
correlation was found in the FES group between total SPI-A score
and the Social Skill subscale score ($r = -0.45$, $P < 0.05$; Figure 6).

**DISCUSSION**

The current study aimed at investigating the neural mechanism under-
lying pre-reflective social perception in FES. In a previous

![Fig. 4](image) Whole-brain voxel-wise contrasts. Group statistical maps ($P < 0.05$ corrected) depicting different neural activation patterns during the social perception task between the HC and FES group in brain regions not activated by the tactile stimulation task, and corresponding graphs representing neural activation ($\beta$-values) for the different touch observation conditions and groups (*$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.005$; ****$P < 0.001$).

![Fig. 5](image) Group statistical maps and corresponding scatter plots showing significant correlations ($P < 0.05$ corrected, $r > 0.51$) between BS of the FES patients and neural activation ($\beta$-values) in RH vPMC during the different social touch observation conditions.

![Fig. 6](image) Correlation between social skill score (x-axis) and BS severity (y-axis).
neuroimaging study, it was shown that a neural network involved in first-person tactile experiences may underpin the sharing of others’ bodily feelings on the one hand, and, on the other hand, self-related processes concerning multisensory integration and self-other distinction during social perception (Ebisch et al., 2011). Here, the same experimental paradigm was used to answer the question whether in FES, compared with HC participants, functional abnormalities during social perception of other individuals’ affective tactile stimulation specifically concern the intersubjective domain or primarily have their roots in disturbances of self-experience.

**vPMC and a coherent sense of self**

With respect to brain regions showing overlapping activation for touch observation and experience, a significant difference between the HC and FES group was found for all the touch observation conditions in RH vPMC. BOLD response was significantly weaker in the FES group in all cases.

The coordinates of the vPMC cluster in the present study (50,7,32) are strikingly similar to the coordinates reported by other studies: 52,10,30 (Bremmer et al., 2001); 53,11,12 (Makin et al., 2007). This suggests that this region reflects the putative human homologue of monkey preemotor area F4 (Bremmer et al., 2001; Buccino et al., 2001; Galati et al., 2001; Makin et al., 2007; Serino et al., 2011). Indeed, consistent with the multisensory properties of F4, in the present study this region responded to visual as well as somatosensory events in the HC group (Rizzolatti et al., 1981a, 1981b; Bremmer et al., 2001). It has been proposed that this region could be involved in the integration of multisensory information from vision, touch and proprioception onto the motor representations of different body parts (Fogassi et al., 1996a, 1996b; Graziano, 2001; Rizzolatti et al., 2002).

In the present study, activation in vPMC for the experience and observation of touch likely reflects a monitoring/integration of multisensory information, including proprioceptive, visual and tactile self-experiences, related to one’s body in different situations. Adequate self-monitoring of multisensory information is crucial for the experience of a coherent sense of self and other (Parnas et al., 2002).

The lack of activation in RH vPMC in the FES group would suggest a disruption of an integrated multisensory representation of the bodily self. Interestingly, activation in this region in the FES group correlated negatively with BS severity: activation in vPMC decreased with augmented symptom severity. This relationship was consistently found between the social touch observation conditions and BS severity, but not with the degree of positive or negative symptoms. BS represent subjective experiential disturbances in the domains of cognition, perception, bodily experience, action and emotion (Huber, 1983; Klosterkotter, 1992). Therefore, the present results support a close link between impaired multisensory representations and a disrupted sense of a coherent self in everyday life (Parnas et al., 2002). A breakdown of self-monitoring has been suggested before in schizophrenia (Frith, 1987; Blakemore et al., 2000; Vinogradov et al., 2008) and vPMC could be a key structure underlying this link.

Such alteration could reasonably lead to the blurring of self boundaries and confusion in the inter-relationship with others (Sass and Parnas, 2003). Indeed, it was reported that schizophrenic patients with high self-monitoring skills had better social skills (Ihnen et al., 1998). This interpretation offers an intriguing hypothesis for further investigations regarding the multisensory properties of vPMC in relationship with self-experience disturbances. Moreover, given that BS remain stable during the entire disease progression, including the prodromal phase of schizophrenia, the study of their relationship with cortical processes and social deficits would be useful also from a clinical point of view, especially in the light of preventive approaches and an early diagnosis of schizophrenia (Addington et al., 2008a; Schultze-Lutter, 2009).

**pIC and self-other distinction**

A second brain region of interest where differences were identified between the HC and FES group was pIC. In the HC group, BOLD response in pIC was positively modulated, compared with baseline, during first-person experience of touch, but negatively modulated (deactivated), compared with baseline, during the observation of touch in another individual. In contrast, differential activation for first-person touch experiences and the observation of touch in another individual was absent in the FES group; no deactivation was found in pIC during the observation of touch, though normal activation patterns were found in pIC for first-person touch experiences. Deactivation in pIC in the HC group was specific for the observation of social affective touch (Ebisch et al., 2011). Accordingly, significant differences in LH pIC between the HC and FES group were found specifically for the observation of affective social touch, either with a positive (caress) or with a negative (hit) valence in LH pIC. Significant differences in RH pIC between the HC and FES group were found specifically for the observation of a hit.

pIC is considered a central brain region for interoception (Craig, 2002). Thalamo-cortical pathways may provide a direct representation of homeostatic afferent information to pIC that interacts with limbic, somatosensory and motor regions, subserving the awareness of bodily feelings (Augustine, 1996; Saper, 2002; Critchley, 2005; Craig, 2009). Regarding the cutaneous senses, pIC could constitute the primary cortical locus of an interoceptive system regulating threatening (Craig, 2002) or comforting (Olausson et al., 2002; Loken et al., 2009) information from the skin.

In accordance with the idea of pIC as a central cortical node in a system constituting a neural representation of ‘the material me’ (Craig, 2002), empirical evidence also suggests that pIC is involved in self-awareness. A PET study in healthy adults showed a positive relationship between the subjective experience of the rubber hand illusion (RHI: a condition in which an observed rubber hand is subjectively experienced as if it actually were one’s own hand; Botvinick and Cohen, 1998) and neural activation in pIC (Tsakiris et al., 2007). pIC has also been related to body part awareness in anosognosia patients with hemiplegia/hemiparesis (Karnath et al., 2005), and to the sense of agency (Farrer et al., 2003).

Finally, fMRI evidence suggests that pIC is involved in social perception. Opposite activation patterns for the experience and observation of touch suggest that pIC differentiates between self and other conditions during social perception when affective experiences are implicated (Ebisch et al., 2011). An inhibitory mechanism at the level of pIC during social perception may facilitate the observer to distinguish at the phenomenal level to whom the observed tactile experience belongs.

Hence, the reduced BOLD suppression in pIC reported here in FES could indicate an impaired differentiation between self and other conditions during social perception. We propose that the absence of deactivation in pIC during the observation of touch in another individual in FES reflects a deficit in the pre-reflective suppression of self-oriented affective arousal, which likely normally contributes to the differentiation between self and other conditions.

Indeed, previous studies in schizophrenia revealed confusion in the attribution of events in the external world to their origin (Bentall et al., 1991; Blakemore et al., 2000; Franck et al., 2001; Vinogradov et al., 2008; Voss et al., 2010). A relationship has been demonstrated between aberrant pIC activation and an impaired sense of agency in
schizophrenia (Farrer et al., 2004). Furthermore, the RHI, associated with pIC functioning (Tsakiris et al., 2007), has been found enhanced in schizophrenic pathology (Peled et al., 2000; Morgan et al., 2011).

It remains unclear what may be the dysfunctional neural mechanism underlying the observed reduced suppression of BOLD response in pIC during affective touch observation in FES. Possibly, it could be based on altered connections involved in top–down control processes. Future (functional) connectivity studies are urged to elucidate this issue.

**Between-group differences in other brain regions**

Some differences could be observed between HC and FES in brain regions not involved in first-person tactile experiences, too. Stronger activation in the HC group during the observation of others’ tactile experiences, compared with the FES group, was found in higher order visual areas (ventral fusiform and OT cortex; Downing et al., 2006; Peelen and Downing, 2007) and regions underlying action–perception coupling (MCC/SMA; Vogt, 2005; Dayan et al., 2007). These results may suggest a reduced involvement of brain regions related to the visual and multimodal processing of bodies, animate objects and motion in FES. In contrast, increased activation in the FES group, compared with the HC group, in occipital cortex during the observation of the touch videos, may indicate a stronger involvement of low-level visual cortices in FES patients.

Finally, weaker deactivation was observed in vMPFC in the FES group, compared with the HC group, during touch observation. vMPFC has been associated with the coding of the self-relatedness of stimuli (Northoff, 2007), self-regulation (Heatherton, 2011) and dysfunctional self-processing in schizophrenia (van der Meer et al., 2010). However, since vMPFC often is characterized by task-negative activation patterns (Gusnard and Raichle, 2001), possibly indicating increased self-related processing during periods of rest, it remains to be established whether the observed decreased deactivation in vMPFC in FES may be caused by a reduced activity during periods of rest (fixation cross) or by a failure to suppress vMPFC activity during touch observation (Northoff, 2007). Whereas the former can be interpreted as dysfunctional self-related processes, the latter may suggest that FES patients fail to disengage self-related processes during social perception or to distinguish between self and other conditions.

**General discussion and conclusions**

In conclusion, the present study supports the hypothesis that social perception at a pre-reflective level in FES is primarily characterized by altered neural activation patterns underlying disturbances of self-experience and self-related processing, concerning both self-other distinction and a multimodal representation of the bodily self. No abnormalities were found in FES with respect to shared activation between experienced and observed touch in somatosensory cortices. Moreover, significant involvement of anterior insula for the hit and careess videos in the HC as well as in the FES group (see Results in Supplementary Data) could be indicative for distinguishing at the neural level the affective visual touch stimuli from the neutral stimuli in both groups (Menon and Uddin, 2010).

Nevertheless, self-reported social skills scores regarding an intuitive understanding of social situations were significantly reduced in the FES group and negatively correlated with BS, reflecting disturbed subjective self-experiences. BS also negatively correlated with neural activation in RH vPMC in the FES group. Therefore, self-experience disturbances in schizophrenia may extend to the social domain as well (Parnas et al., 2002; Gallese, 2003b; Sass and Parnas, 2003). For example, an incoherent sense of one’s self-experiences accompanied by the loss of grip on the world may lead to a fading of the very distinction between self and other, and an incapacity to intuitively grasp the meaning of social situations.

Differently from previous studies (Kohler et al., 2000; Phillips et al., 2003; Marjoram et al., 2005; Shean et al., 2005), no relationship was detected between brain activation during social perception, social abilities and positive and negative symptoms. A possible explanation for this is that the included patients had a very recent illness onset and relatively low PANSS scores. Further studies will be needed to investigate patients with more pronounced positive and negative symptoms as well as chronic samples for a better understanding of the relationship between psychotic symptoms, altered social perception, and its progress over time.

There is growing evidence that social cognition deficits are related to social dysfunction in schizophrenia. The present results shed new light on the cortical basis of how self-experience disturbances in schizophrenia pervade the social domain at a relatively early stage. However, the present findings also depict dysfunctional social perception in schizophrenia as a complex impairment at multiple neural processing levels, rather than being confined to brain regions involved with first-person bodily (tactile) experiences.
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