Species-specific partitioning of soil water resources in an old-growth Douglas-fir–western hemlock forest
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Summary Although tree- and stand-level estimates of forest water use are increasingly common, relatively little is known about partitioning of soil water resources among co-occurring tree species. We studied seasonal courses of soil water utilization in a 450-year-old Pseudotsuga menziesii (Mirb.) Franco–Tsuga heterophylla (Raf.) Sarg. forest in southwestern Washington State. Soil volumetric water content (θ) was continuously monitored with frequency domain capacitance sensors installed at eight depths from 0.2 to 2 m at four locations in the vicinity of each species. Vertical profiles of root distribution and seasonal and daily courses of hydraulic redistribution (HR), sap flow and tree water status were also measured. Mean root area in the upper 60 cm of soil was significantly greater in the vicinity of T. heterophylla trees. However, seasonal water extraction on a root area basis was significantly greater near P. menziesii trees at all depths between 15 and 65 cm, leading to significantly lower water storage in the upper 65 cm of soil near P. menziesii trees at the end of the summer dry season. Greater apparent efficiency of P. menziesii roots at extracting soil water was attributable to a greater driving force for water uptake rather than to differences in root hydraulic properties between the species. The dependence of HR on θ was similar in soil near both individuals of both species, but seasonal maximum rates of HR were greater in soil near P. menziesii because minimum values of θ were lower, implying a steeper water potential gradient between the upper and lower soil that acted as a driving force for water efflux from shallow roots. The results provide information on functional traits relevant for understanding the ecological distributions of these species and have implications for spatial variability of processes such as soil respiration and nutrient cycling.
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Introduction

Although tree- and stand-level estimates of forest water use are increasingly common, relatively little is known about spatial and temporal partitioning of soil water resources among co-occurring tree species. At the canopy level, eddy covariance techniques are providing increasingly detailed information about daily and seasonal courses of forest evapotranspiration (ET) and the key environmental variables that influence it (e.g., Berbigier et al. 1996, Irvine et al. 2004, Unsworth et al. 2004). Nevertheless, these techniques do not permit evaluation of the relative contributions of co-occurring species to daily and seasonal courses of ET unless compatible measurements are made at the individual tree and species scales. Sap flow techniques provide a means of estimating the contributions of individual species to canopy transpiration in mixed stands, provided the populations of trees sampled are representative of the composition of the stand as a whole (Sala et al. 2001, Bovard et al. 2005, Ewers et al. 2005, Fiora and Cescatti 2006). When used concurrently with eddy covariance measurements, continuous measurements of sap flow facilitate partitioning of canopy ET among individual species and soil and understory components over daily and seasonal timescales (Köstner et al. 1992, Lousau et al. 1996, Hutley et al. 2001). However, relating sap flow to the variables driving the daily dynamics of canopy transpiration is more complex because capacitance results in lags between changes in canopy transpiration and flow measured near the bases of the trees (Goldstein et al. 1998), especially in stands containing large trees (Phillips et al. 2003).

In studies on the uptake of soil water, comparisons of the stable isotope composition of soil and xylem water have revealed species-specific differences in relative reliance on water sources located at different depths (Ehleringer and Dawson 1992). Increasing reliance on progressively deeper sources of soil water as seasonal drought intensifies has also been documented using stable isotope techniques (White et al. 1985, Meinzer et al. 1999) and techniques for continuous measurement of soil water content at multiple depths (Warren et al. 2005). Although stable isotopes have proven useful for identifying differences among species in broad reliance on shallow versus deep soil water sources, quantifying fractions of water taken up from different depths using isotope mixing models is problematic unless the isotopic composition of soil water changes vertically in an unambiguous manner (Jackson et al.
Species-specific differences in vertical partitioning of soil water resources can be expected to result in considerable horizontal heterogeneity in vertical profiles of soil water storage, especially in seasonally dry environments.

Soil properties and processes often exhibit a high degree of spatial heterogeneity in natural forest stands, but the extent to which this is linked to heterogeneity in water utilization by vegetation is largely unknown. Certainly, soil physical properties can vary substantially over short vertical distances (Warren et al. 2005) and corresponding horizontal heterogeneity associated with variation in attributes such as parent material and deposition of organic matter occurs. Nevertheless, differences in soil water utilization among dominant species are likely to exert a strong influence on spatial variation in soil processes and competitive interactions among species. For example, both the root (Bryla et al. 1997, Irvine et al. 2005) and microbial (Falk et al. 2005, Tang et al. 2005) components of soil respiration diminish as the soil dries. In addition, localized differences in the timing and extent of soil water extraction are likely to affect the establishment and survival of understory species.

Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco) and western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla* (Raf.) Sarg.) are among the most abundant and widely distributed coniferous species in western North America. They often co-occur in mixed stands, but their distributions extend to different extremes along a moisture gradient. Douglas-fir occurs in drier, interior sites, from which western hemlock is excluded, and western hemlock is found in wet coastal areas of British Columbia and southeast Alaska, where Douglas-fir is largely absent (USDA Forest Service 1990). Limited comparative data point to some physiological traits associated with the differences in abundance of the two species along an aridity gradient. Douglas-fir generally exhibits more negative minimum leaf water potentials than western hemlock (Bauerle et al. 1999, McDowell et al. 2002, Meinzer et al. 2004a) and its xylem is less vulnerable to embolism than that of western hemlock (Kavanagh and Zaerr 1997, Sperry and Ikeda 1997), consistent with Douglas-fir’s occurrence in drier environments. When they occur in the same stand, the early successional shade-intolerant Douglas-fir is eventually replaced by the more shade-tolerant western hemlock in the absence of severe disturbance (Franklin and DeBell 1988, Franklin et al. 2002). The two species are also reported to differ in rooting habit, with Douglas-fir roots usually penetrating to greater depths than those of western hemlock, depending on soil characteristics and depth of the water table (USDA Forest Service 1990).

We hypothesized that the different ecophysiological characteristics of *P. menziesii* and *T. heterophylla* are reflected in different patterns of soil water utilization, leading to spatially distinct patterns of soil water extraction and storage in the vicinity of groups of trees of each species. We tested this hypothesis in a 450-year-old Douglas-fir–western hemlock forest by continuously monitoring vertical and horizontal variation in soil volumetric water content during consecutive seasonal dry periods between 2002 and 2005. Frequency domain capacitance probes with annular sensors allowed us to characterize detailed time courses of soil water extraction at eight depths, from 20 to 200 cm, at four locations in the vicinity of large individuals of each species for a total of eight locations. Measurements of the vertical distribution of roots near each species and of sap flow and leaf water potential in both species provided additional context for interpretation of spatial and temporal patterns of soil water extraction and storage.

### Materials and methods

#### Site description

The study took place between 2002 and 2005 at the Wind River Canopy Crane Research Facility (WRCCRF), Wind River Experimental Forest, in southwest Washington State (371 m a.s.l.; 45°49′13.76″ N, 121°57′6.88″ W) where the mean annual precipitation is 2223 mm, of which ~119 mm falls during June through September, and mean annual temperature is 8.7 °C. Seasonal precipitation patterns during the study period are shown in Table 1. Measurements were carried out in a 4-ha plot of old-growth (450-year-old) Douglas-fir, western hemlock and western red cedar (*Thuja plicata* Donn) forest under the canopy crane. Stand density was 427 trees ha⁻¹, and basal area 82.9 m² ha⁻¹. Douglas-fir (35 trees ha⁻¹), which were for the population that colonized the site between 1500 and 1600 AD, had a mean height of 52 m and a mean diameter at breast height (DBH) of 111 cm, whereas western hemlock (224 trees ha⁻¹) had a mean height and DBH of 19 m and 30 cm, respectively (Shaw et al. 2004). Western hemlock was successfully reproducing in the understory, with the largest individuals being < 250 years old and > 50 m tall.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Precipitation (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1970¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1874¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2022¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Missing data from the WRCCRF substituted by data from nearby (8 km away) Carson Fish Hatchery (http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliMAIN.pl?wacars).
Soil water content
Soil volumetric water content (θ) was quantified with multi-sensor, frequency domain capacitance probes (Paltineanu and Starr 1997, Brooks et al. 2002, Warren et al. 2005). These probes contained eight annular capacitance sensors (EnviroSCAN, Sentek Pty. Ltd., Adelaide, Australia) capable of quantifying minute changes in θ (± 0.003%). Each probe was inserted into a ~6 cm diameter PVC access tube, to a depth of 2 m, with sensors centered at 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 100, 150 and 200 cm depths. The sensors measured changes in the soil dielectric constant across about a 10-cm diameter sphere of influence surrounding the sensor, and thus integrated across all components of the soil profile, including roots and rocks, between 15 and 205 cm in diameter. Water content was estimated on average soils was supplied, the results of a field calibration undertaken by Warren et al. (2005) at the same site were used to ensure the accuracy of absolute values of θ. Volumetric water content was measured every 10 min and recorded by a data logger (Model RT6; Sentek Pty. Ltd.). Data reported here were collected during four consecutive seasonal dry periods between 2002 and 2005. In 2002, four probes were installed at the locations shown in the lower left of Figure 1. In 2003, an additional four probes were installed at the locations shown in the upper right of Figure 1, allowing concurrent measurements at eight locations for 2003–2005. Probes were preferentially installed between pairs of trees of the same species to minimize the influence of roots of other species. Distances from the probe to the closest tree of each pair ranged from 1 to 4.5 m for *P. menziesii* (mean = 2.75 m), and from 2 to 5 m for *T. heterophylla* (mean = 3.06 m). Mean DBH of the trees represented in Figure 1 was 1.10 ± 0.08 m for *P. menziesii* and 0.62 ± 0.07 m for *T. heterophylla*. Rates of soil water extraction and hydraulic redistribution, the passive movement of water via roots from wetter to drier portions of the soil, were calculated as described by Warren et al. (2005).

Sap flow and leaf water potential
Variable length, heat dissipation sap flow probes with a heated and a reference sensor, each 10 mm in length at the probe tip (James et al. 2002), were installed in May 2002 to determine sap flux at multiple radial depths near the base of the north side of the trunk of three *P. menziesii* and two *T. heterophylla* trees with mean diameters at breast height of 1.2 and 0.9 m, respectively. In *P. menziesii*, probes were installed at radial depths of 1.5, 3.0, 4.5 and 6 cm. In *T. heterophylla*, which has deeper sapwood, probes were installed at 1.5, 5.5, 9.5 and 15 cm. For probe installation, two 38-gauge (2.58-mm-diameter) holes, separated axially by 10 cm, were drilled into the sapwood. The sensors were coated with thermally conductive silicone heat sink compound before insertion. All probes were protected from potential sunflecks by reflective insulation. Signals from the sap flow probes were scanned every minute and 10-min means were recorded by a data logger (CR10X, Campbell Scientific Corp., Logan, UT) equipped with a 32-channel multiplexer (AM416; Campbell Scientific). Concurrent differential voltage measurements across the copper thermocouple leads were converted to a temperature difference between the heated and reference sensor (ΔT), which was converted to sap flux (J; g m⁻² s⁻¹) based on the empirical calibration of Granier (1985):

\[ J = 119k^{1.231} \]

where \( k = (\Delta T_m - \Delta T)/\Delta T \) and \( \Delta T_m \) is the temperature difference when sap flux is assumed to be zero. The mass flow of sap corresponding to each probe (F; g s⁻¹) was calculated as:

\[ F = J/A \]

where \( A \) (m²) is the cross-sectional area of the sapwood, calculated as the ring area centered on the 10-mm-long sensor and extending to midway between two sensors of successive depth. The innermost sensor was considered to measure the sap flux to the sapwood inner boundary, which was estimated from the x-intercept of a curve fitted to a plot of \( J \) versus sapwood depth. Whole-tree water use (kg h⁻¹), was calculated as the sum of the four values of \( F \) measured along the radial profile. A weighted mean value of \( J \), for the entire sapwood cross-sectional area was also calculated by summing values of \( J \) for each radial depth after multiplying them by the corresponding fraction of the total sapwood area represented by each radial sensor. Sap flow was monitored only in 2002.

Figure 1. Map of study site showing locations of frequency domain capacitance probes, nearest individuals of *P. menziesii* (PSME) and *T. heterophylla* (TSHE) greater than 20 cm DBH, and canopy crane tower. Origin of graph is at about 45°49.169′ N, 121°57.162′ W.
The canopy crane and its suspended gondola provided access to the upper crowns of several large individuals of each species for measurements of leaf water potential ($\Psi_L$) with a pressure chamber (PMS Instrument Company, Corvallis, OR). Daily courses of $\Psi_L$ were measured during July and August on seven dates between 2002 and 2004. Typically, at each sampling time, two to three shoot tips from each of three trees of each species were collected for determination of $\Psi_L$.

**Results**

Soil cores ($n = 8$) were collected near each location where $\theta$ was measured (Figure 1). The mean distance to the nearest tree was 3.5 m for both species. A custom-built soil auger fitted with a 5.1 cm hole-saw was used to extract 1-m-deep cores beginning just below the litter layer. The cores were sectioned by depth (0–20, 20–40, 40–60, 60–80, 80–100 cm), and the samples were sealed in plastic bags and kept at 4 °C until the roots could be separated and analyzed. Roots, large organic material and coarse debris were removed from the soil samples by passing soil through a 2.0-mm mesh screen. Roots and soil samples were retained for analysis. Sieved root samples ($n = 8$ for each depth) were manually separated from the remaining soil material with the aid of tweezers and a hand lens. Root material was washed in distilled water and separated into three size classes: fine roots (< 2 mm), medium roots (2 – 5 mm) and coarse roots (> 5 mm). Visibly dead and decaying roots were removed. Projected root surface area was imaged with a scanner (Model 5400c, Hewlett-Packard, Palo Alto, CA). Public domain Java image processing software (ImageJ, Research Services Branch, National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD) was used to determine the number of data-containing pixels in each image, resulting in a relative projected root surface area for each image. Projected root surface area was adjusted by $\pi$ to achieve total root surface area, based on the assumption that root cross sections were circular. The scanner and software were calibrated against known areas of dark paper cut into small root-shaped segments. A calibration curve was generated that related pixel number to area (Sigma Plot 7.101, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Root areas are reported as $\pi$ times the number of pixels in each image, resulting in a relative projected root surface area. Root area was determined. Soil water extraction was summed over a 100-day nearly rainless period between June and September 2005.

**Data analysis**

Comparisons among species for root area, $\theta$ at which soil water extraction reached zero, minimum seasonal water storage in the 15–65 cm layer, and leaf water potential were made by one-way ANOVA. The remaining comparisons and trends were analyzed by linear regression.

**Results**

In the vicinity of both species, total root surface area was greatest at the shallowest depth and decreased at first sharply, then gradually with increasing soil depth (Figure 2a). In the upper 100 cm, root area was consistently lower near *P. menziesii* trees, but not significantly different from that near *T. heterophylla* trees at individual depths. However, mean root area in the upper 60 cm was significantly lower near *P. menziesii* trees ($P < 0.05$). Differences in root area accounted for about 86% of the variation in seasonal water extraction among soil layers for combined data from both species (Figure 2b). Soil water extraction increased asymptotically with increasing root area, and therefore with decreasing depth. Asymptotic regressions fitted to the individual species data yielded $R^2$ values of 0.90 and 0.99 for *P. menziesii* and *T. heterophylla*, respectively, with *P. menziesii* showing greater water extraction than *T. heterophylla* for a given root area, but the 95% confidence intervals for the linearized data overlapped.

When the seasonal water extraction data in Figure 2b were normalized by root area and plotted as a function of soil depth, the resulting apparent efficiency of water extraction on a root area basis increased linearly with depth (Figure 3). Water extraction per unit root area was significantly greater near *P. menziesii* trees ($P < 0.05$) at all depths between 15 and 65 cm. When soil water content was relatively high near the beginning of the summer dry period, rates of water extraction

![Figure 2](image_url)
from the upper 15 to 35 cm of soil were similar near both species (Figure 4a). However, as $\theta$ approached 0.20 m$^3$ m$^{-3}$ rates of soil water extraction became greater near $P$. menziesii trees. Extrapolated values of $\theta$ at which soil water extraction would reach zero were 0.11 and 0.14 m$^3$ m$^{-3}$ for $P$. menziesii and $T$. heterophylla, respectively, for the data shown in Figure 4a. These values were consistently lower in the vicinity of $P$. menziesii trees during all years for which sufficient data were available (2003–2005, $P < 0.002$). It should be noted that lower extraction rates at high water contents were associated with lower evaporative demand early in the growing season.

Mean daily hydraulic redistribution (HR) per unit root area increased linearly as $\theta$ in the upper soil declined seasonally (Figure 4b). The dependence of HR on $\theta$ was similar in soil near individuals of both species, but seasonal maximum values of HR were greater in soil near $P$. menziesii trees because minimum values of $\theta$ were lower, implying a steeper water potential ($\Psi$) gradient between the upper and lower soil that acted as a driving force for water efflux from shallow roots.

Vertical profiles of $\theta$ near the end of the summer dry period showed consistent patterns across multiple years (Figure 5). In the upper 65 cm, the soil was consistently drier in the vicinity of $P$. menziesii trees than near $T$. heterophylla trees prior to drought-breaking rainfall events. Although there were no significant differences in $\theta$ at individual depths, total water storage in the 15 to 65 cm soil layer was always significantly lower near $P$. menziesii trees near the end of the dry season (Figure 5). Total water storage in the 15 to 205 cm layer was nearly identical in the vicinity of trees of each species.

When rates of soil water extraction from the 15 to 65 cm layer were calculated at an hourly timescale, they increased linearly ($P < 0.001$) with the difference between the current leaf water potential and predawn leaf water potential ($\Delta \Psi_L$, Figure 6). The latter is an index of the driving force for water movement from the soil to the leaves. Although hourly rates of soil water extraction increased with $\Delta \Psi_L$ in a similar fashion near each species, maximum rates of extraction and values of $\Delta \Psi_L$ were greatest near $P$. menziesii trees. Mean minimum $\Psi_L$, measured on seven dates during the summers of 2002–2004, was 0.4 MPa more negative ($P < 0.01$) in $P$. menziesii (–2.4 MPa) than in $T$. heterophylla (–2.0 MPa), whereas predawn $\Psi_L$ during the same time period (about –0.92 MPa) did not differ significantly ($P = 0.48$). Values of $\Delta \Psi_L$ were not corrected to ground level because sampling heights for the species did not differ by more than 2–3 m.

Total daily sap flux was greater in $P$. menziesii than in $T$. heterophylla throughout most of the 2002 growing season, with rates for the two species tending to converge by September (Figure 7a). Rates of water extraction from the upper 205 cm of soil in the vicinities of $P$. menziesii trees and $T$. heterophylla trees exhibited seasonal time courses consistent with the species-specific patterns of sap flow (Figure 7b). Seasonal courses of soil water extraction during 2003–2005 were consistent with those observed during 2002 in that rates
of extraction tended to be greater near *P. menziesii* trees early in the season, with rates near both species converging by mid to late season (Figure 8). In 2003, measurements were not initiated until mid-season when rates of soil water extraction had apparently already converged. Daily courses of sap flow mea-
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Figure 5. Vertical profiles of $\theta$ in the vicinity of *P. menziesii* (PSME) and *T. heterophylla* (TSHE) trees at the end of the summer drought period in three consecutive years. Horizontal bars represent standard errors ($n = 4$). In the lower portion of each panel, water content was integrated over the depth intervals shown, to obtain values of total soil water storage.
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Figure 6. Rates of soil water extraction from the 15 to 65 cm layer near *P. menziesii* (PSME) and *T. heterophylla* (TSHE) trees on a (a) ground area and (b) root area basis in relation to the difference between predawn leaf water potential and the current leaf water potential ($\Delta \Psi_L$). Water extraction was lagged by 1 h with respect to $\Delta \Psi_L$ to account for capacitance effects on flow along the soil–leaf pathway. Measurements of soil water extraction and $\Delta \Psi_L$ were obtained on July 28, 2004.
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Figure 7. Seasonal courses of: (a) mean daily sap flux in *P. menziesii* (PSME) and *T. heterophylla* (TSHE) trees; and (b) soil water extraction from the 15 to 205 cm layer in the vicinity of individuals of the two species. Precipitation events are indicated by vertical bars.
sured under clear dry conditions during the summer of 2002 showed that flow consistently began earlier and rose more sharply in *P. menziesii* (Figure 9). Consequently, maximum rates of sap flow were attained 1 to 4 h earlier in *P. menziesii* than in *T. heterophylla*, depending on the time of year. On July 9, sap flow remained at or above 90% of its maximum value for about 8 h in *P. menziesii* and only 5.5 h in *T. heterophylla*. On August 9, the duration of near maximal sap flow had diminished to 4.5 h in both species. On September 9, the duration of near maximal sap flow had shortened to about 4 h in *P. menziesii* and 3 h in *T. heterophylla*.

**Discussion**

Our results point to species-specific differences in the ability to extract soil water that are consistent with the ecological distributions of Douglas-fir and western hemlock. Seasonal water extraction from the upper soil layers in the vicinity of large Douglas-fir trees continued to lower values of θ more rapidly than in the vicinity of western hemlock trees, leading to about 20 mm lower seasonal minimum water storage in the upper 65 cm of soil near Douglas-fir trees. Although roots from soil cores collected near the frequency domain capacitance probes were not identified at the species level, the results indicated that patterns of soil water utilization were closely associated with the proximity of large individuals of Douglas-fir versus western hemlock. Furthermore, measurements of whole-tree sap flow and water status provided independent confirmation of species-specific differences in relevant water relations traits.

**Root distribution and properties**

Despite significantly lower root area in the upper 60 cm of soil near Douglas-fir trees compared with western hemlock trees (Figure 2a), seasonal water extraction on a root area basis was consistently greater in Douglas-fir over this range of depth (Figure 3). Two principal mechanisms seem likely to have contributed to the apparent greater efficiency of Douglas-fir roots at extracting soil water: greater root hydraulic conductance and a greater driving force for water uptake. The former would enhance rates of water uptake at a given driving force, whereas the latter would enhance both the rate of water uptake and the total amount of water extracted from a given volume of soil. Our results suggest that Douglas-fir trees generated greater maximum driving forces for root water uptake (Figure 6), which resulted in greater seasonal depletion of water in the upper 65 cm of the soil profile (Figures 4a and 5). Root hy-
aldraulic conductance was not measured directly, but similar relationships in the two species between ΔΨ and rates of soil water extraction on a root area basis (Figure 6b), and between θ and hydraulic redistribution on a root area basis (Figure 4b) imply that their root hydraulic properties were similar.

In both species, increasing investment in root area between 100 and 20 cm depth (Figure 2a) yielded diminishing returns in terms of seasonal water extraction (Figure 2b). However, because nutrient availability is typically greater near the soil surface than at depth, the marginal gain in nutrient uptake per increment of root area may have been greater than that of water extraction. The exponential increase in root area between 100 and 20 cm was largely attributable to the increasing abundance of fine roots (Warren et al. 2005). The role of fine roots in meeting transpirational demands is usually emphasized because of their greater abundance (Warren et al. 2005) and permeability to entry of water than coarser suberized roots that penetrate to greater depths (Lindenmair et al. 2004). Nevertheless, rates of water extraction from the upper 205 cm remained relatively stable or even increased between June and August (Figures 7 and 8), whereas the relative contribution of roots in the upper 50 cm fell from about 50% in June to about 20% by the end of August (data not shown), implying that increased water uptake by deeper coarse roots, and possibly fine roots associated with them, compensated for diminishing water extraction by shallow roots (Bréda et al. 1995, van Rees and Comerford 1990, Lindenmair et al. 2004). Although Douglas-fir is reputed to develop a deeper root system than western hemlock, mean rates of water extraction between 100 and 200 cm depth were similar at 0.082 mm day–1 for Douglas-fir and 0.079 mm day–1 for western hemlock during the nearly rainless month of August 2005.

*Spatial patterns of soil water storage*

Consistently lower seasonal minimum values of θ in the upper 65 cm of soil near Douglas-fir trees (Figure 5) appear to have been a consequence of greater maximum driving forces for root water uptake in Douglas-fir than in western hemlock (Figure 6). The spatial patterns of seasonal minimum θ and water storage in the upper 65 cm of the soil profile observed in this study have a number of implications for plant, soil and successional processes. In the context of plant functioning, soil Ψ is a more relevant and robust indicator than θ of the physiological availability of soil water. Therefore, water release curves previously determined for soils at the study site (Warren et al. 2005) were used to estimate seasonal minimum values of soil Ψ. In 2005, a year with a long rainless period during the summer, the lowest values of soil Ψ were observed at the 20 and 30 cm depths, and ranged from a mean of –0.43 MPa at locations near Douglas-fir to –0.02 MPa at locations near western hemlock. Based on xylem vulnerability curves determined earlier for Douglas-fir roots at the study site (Domenc et al. 2004), the minimum values of soil Ψ observed were sufficient to provoke about 20% loss of root hydraulic conductivity due to embolism. However, in a nearby 24-year-old stand consisting almost exclusively of Douglas-fir, soil Ψ fell to –1.2 MPa (Brooks et al. 2006) and roots experienced 50% loss of hydraulic conductivity during the dry season of 2002 (Domenc et al. 2004). Xylem vulnerability curves were not determined for western hemlock, but based on their relative vulnerability to xylem cavitation (Kavanagh and Zaerr 1997, Sperry and Ikeda 1997), roots of western hemlock would likely exhibit greater seasonal loss of conductivity than those of Douglas-fir in shallow soil layers dominated by Douglas-fir roots. However, because soil Ψ did not decline below –0.02 MPa near western hemlock trees, their roots were probably unaffected by embolism during these years at these locations.

Our results further imply that, at the more arid extremes of its range, the ability of Douglas-fir to operate at more negative minimum leaf water potentials would enable it to reduce soil Ψ in the upper portion of the profile to a point at which western hemlock roots would no longer be able to extract water, thereby potentially preventing the establishment and survival of western hemlock seedlings. In the vicinity of Douglas-fir trees (Figure 4b), higher rates of HR delayed soil drying, but did not stabilize θ at a constant minimum value. Previous work in other ecosystems dominated by woody species suggests that HR does not stabilize θ until soil Ψ falls below about –1 MPa (Meinzer et al. 2004b). The localized distribution and abundance of shallow-rooted understory species that are less efficient than Douglas-fir in extracting soil water may also be influenced by spatial patterns of water storage in the upper soil profile similar to those observed in our study site. In contrast, at the wetter extremes of Douglas-fir’s range, where this species co-occurs with western hemlock, the greater shade tolerance of western hemlock would eventually enable it to replace Douglas-fir in the absence of severe disturbances such as stand-clearing fires.

The differences in water availability in the upper portion of the soil profile associated with the proximity of Douglas-fir trees versus western hemlock trees are likely to contribute to spatial variability in rates of soil CO₂ efflux, decomposition and nutrient release at our study site, particularly late in the dry season. Although horizontal and vertical heterogeneity in the distribution of roots and soil organic matter are undoubtedly significant determinants of the pronounced spatial variability of soil CO₂ efflux often observed in forests (Rayment and Jarvis 2000), a number of studies have documented a strong effect of soil water on soil respiration (Falk et al. 2005, Tang et al. 2005). Soil water deficits have been shown to reduce both the autotrophic (Bryla et al. 1997, Irvine et al. 2005) and heterotrophic (Tang et al. 2005) components of soil respiration.

*Temporal patterns of water use*

Douglas-fir and western hemlock exhibited different patterns of water utilization at both daily and seasonal time scales. Throughout the summer, sap flow consistently increased more rapidly in the morning and attained maximum values earlier in the day in Douglas-fir than in western hemlock trees (Figure 9). Because capacitance results in time lags between
changes in transpiration and changes in flow at the base of the tree (Goldstein et al. 1998), differences in the daily course of crown transpiration were probably more pronounced than suggested by the sap flow data. Earlier studies conducted at the site have shown that capacitance in the size class of trees studied here is substantial in both species, but greater in Douglas-fir (Phillips et al. 2003, Meinzer et al. 2006). Although differences in daily courses of sap flow are often related to crown height (Martin et al. 1997, 2001), the heights of the trees in which sap flow was measured were similar (52–58 m). The patterns seen in Figure 9 are likely to be accentuated in trees representing the mean height of each species in the stand, which was 52 m in Douglas-fir and only 19 m in western hemlock. The large difference in mean height would result in Douglas-fir crowns being illuminated earlier in the day and receiving high irradiances over a greater portion of the day.

At the seasonal time scale, total daily soil water extraction was typically greater near Douglas-fir trees than near western hemlock trees in the late spring and early summer, but rates of water extraction had largely converged by July to September depending on the year (Figures 7 and 8). The similarity in the seasonal patterns of sap flux and soil water extraction data collected concurrently during 2002, strongly suggests that the spatial and temporal patterns of soil water extraction characterized with the frequency domain capacitance probes were determined largely by the trees nearest to the locations where the probes were installed (Figure 1). Moore et al. (2004) working in a 450-year-old Douglas-fir–western hemlock forest in western Oregon also found that total daily sap flux was greater in Douglas-fir throughout much of the growing season, but that sap flux steadily converged and became nearly identical in the two species by September. These seasonal patterns imply that because rates of water utilization are intrinsically higher in Douglas-fir (Meinzer et al. 2005), its upper roots could experience limiting soil water deficits earlier in the dry season than those of western hemlock. Although roots of both species are able to tap more abundant water at depth, Warren et al. (2005), working at our study site, found that water extraction from the upper 2 m of soil decreased in a linear fashion as soil $\Psi$ at 20 cm declined, suggesting that the physiological status of shallow roots influences stomatal regulation of canopy transpiration independently of the status of deep roots (Domenc et al. 2004, 2006).
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