

Figure S1: Estimated (black) and true (red) lineages through time for four simulation scenarios in (To et al., 2015). Plots A-D correspond to different simulation scenarios corresponding to labels 750_3_25, 750_11_10, 995_3_25, and 995_11_10 in (To et al., 2015).



Figure S2: Estimated TMRCA four simulation scenarios in (To et al., 2015) . Each point is based on a single simulation replicate. Plots A-D correspond to different simulation scenarios corresponding to labels 750_3_25, 750_11_10, 995_3_25, and 995_11_10 in (To et al., 2015).



Figure S3: Comparison of estimated mean substitution rates using *treedater* and several other methods using the simulations in (To et al., 2015). Plots A-D correspond to different simulation scenarios corresponding to labels 750_3_25, 750_11_10, 995_3_25, and 995_11_10 in (To et al., 2015). Note that estimates with RTT and QPD were recycled from an earlier publication ((To et al., 2015)) and are shown with unfilled points.



Figure S4: Comparison of the distribution of edge lengths (in years) obtained from the maximum clade clade credibility tree and from treedater applied to a maximum likelihood tree, both reconstructed from the 1610sequence Ebola virus dataset. The maximum likelihood tree has a large number of zero-length branches that are absent in the Bayesian reconstruction.